

Discussion of
**The Inflationary Costs of Extreme Weather in
Developing Countries**

by A. Heinen, J. Khadan, E. Strobl

CEP, Bank of England Conference

November 2016

Ted Loch-Temzelides

Rice University

Issue

- **Measurement of climate change related damages (impacts)**
 - Connecting changes in climate variables to economic impacts
 - Sea level, agriculture, labor productivity, diseases, tourism
- Necessary for the assessment of climate change policies
- Involves complex interactions between human and natural systems
- **Weakest link in climate modeling**
- Two main approaches
 - Damage functions (aggregate)
 - Case-by-case damages using local data

This paper

- Caribbean islands (Jamaica)
- Carefully constructed hurricane destruction indexes from
 - Wind (non-linear in speed)
 - Flooding (linear in precipitation)
- Document extreme weather effect on **inflation**
- Welfare implications
- **Finding:** Expected welfare loses small, but sizable if rare event occurs

Inflation

- Inflation about 4.8% annually over 2001-2012
 - Rises over hurricanes
- Regress inflation rate on contemporaneous hurricane/flood indices
 - Both have a positive and significant effect on monthly inflation
 - Evidence of persistence sensitive to hurricane threshold
- Is this expected?
- Is it a problem?

Welfare Costs

- Jamaica
- Measure change in households' consumer surplus
 - Compensating variation: change in expenditure needed to maintain constant utility after change in prices due to hurricane/flood
 - Hurricanes, floods rare events; not independent
- Significant findings
 - Distributional effects across income groups
 - Nonlinearities in severity of hurricanes
 - Threshold sensitivity

An RBC Perspective

- Extreme weather event like an aggregate productivity shock
 - Some inflation is what we should expect
- **Given** frequency of such events, inflation an **optimal** response of the economy to the shock
 - Given weather shock, less inflation not necessarily better
- Hurricane is bad news
- **GIVEN** hurricane, inflation might not be
- Policy implications

Welfare Costs (ctd.)

- Welfare costs of inflation usually small
 - Lucas (10% inflation cost is 1% of GDP)
 - Cooley and Hansen (10 per cent inflation is 0.4% of GNP)
 - Imrohroglu (10 per cent inflation is 1.1% of GDP)

What is Different Here?

1. “General equilibrium” effects:
 - Producer surplus
 - Inflation re-distributional (borrowers/lenders, etc.)
2. It pays off to look closer:
 - Heterogeneity of population/damages
 - Developing economy, large fraction at/near poverty
- More research needed
- Looking at specific episodes to determine mapping from climate to economic damages to welfare is a promising direction
 - Not yet convinced inflation at the center