

Galbiati / Soramäki: An agent-based model of payment systems

Discussion by

Cornelia Holthausen, ECB

BoE / ECB Conference, 13 November 2007

Analysis of liquidity provision in RTGS systems

- RTGS systems require high degree of liquidity (if not: delay, gridlock)
- Probability of banks to face delay in payments depends on aggregate liquidity in the system
- Model determines this aggregate level
 - Banks make payments to each other during a day
 - May need to delay payments if funds are not sufficient
 - Delays are costly
 - Banks decide on liquidity input at beginning of day in non-cooperative fashion

The payoff function

- Banks' decision variable: how much liquidity to place into the system at the beginning of day $l_i(0)$
- $l_i(0)$ minimizes total cost
 - = cost of placing liquidity
 - + expected cost of facing payment delay
- The more liquidity a bank commits,
 - the higher the liquidity cost (fully internalized)
 - the lower the expected cost of delay (partially internalized)
- The more liquidity other banks commit
 - the lower the expected cost of delay

Liquidity demand and equilibrium

- Once $I_i(0)$ is determined, actions are automated
 - Rule: send payment when liquidity balance is positive
- “Fictitious play”: repetition of daily game to learn behavior of others
- Results:
 - Higher cost of delay \rightarrow higher liquidity input
 - Higher liquidity input \rightarrow shorter average delay
 - Comparison with collective-cost-minimization: Non-cooperative game performs worse only for high levels of delay cost

Further results

- Network size
 - Result: fewer system participants → higher efficiency (netting ratio = liquidity needed per payment increases)
 - Reason: higher share of delay costs is internalized
- Operational incidents (liquidity sink)
 - Result: only for very high delay costs bank reduce liquidity
 - How does liquidity provision depend on number of banks in system?

Further comments and suggestions

- Price of delay excessive? Should not exceed 100% of payment (i.e. be < 2)
- Economic intuition sometimes difficult to grasp
 - Be explicit about objective function / choice variables
 - Solve model for $T = \text{small}$?
- Collective cost-minimization: how does optimality depend on network size?
 - intuition: more internalization of cost of delay

Further comments and suggestions

- Endogenous liquidity supply
 - what if liquidity input could be altered during the day?
 - intraday credit / withholding of liquidity
- Policy implications
 - How to avoid insufficient liquidity provision / gridlock
 - limit network size?
 - generous provision of intraday credit?
 - monitoring and information dissemination about liquidity sink?