

9 May 1833

156

Thursday 9<sup>th</sup> May 1833

Present,

Mr. Glibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram.

The Proceedings of the  
two last Committees were read.

Depositions were read  
against William Stott for uttering  
in Lancashire  
William Stott two forged Notes, — one a forged £5.  
and Bank of England Note, and the  
Moses Barnes other a forged £5. Manchester  
Branch Bank Note, and also  
against Moses Barnes as connected  
with Stott in the said utterances.

Resolved

The Parties  
not to be prose-  
cuted

That the said Parties  
be not prosecuted unless further  
evidence can be obtained

James Burgh & Son

James Burgh & Son of  
149 Aldersgate Street, Indorsers of  
2 Bills amounting to £5000. given  
as collateral Security by Morel & Seddon,  
request



157 9 May 1833

request the Bank will grant them a fortnight's indulgence to enable them to consult their legal adviser Mr. Pocock, who is at present from town, when they hope they shall be ready to submit a satisfactory arrangement.

The Committee directed Mr. Lawrence to inform Messrs. Burgh & Son, that the Bank will not object to give any reasonable accommodation in point of time, provided they can offer adequate security.

to have reasonable accommodation on giving adequate security.

6<sup>th</sup> June 1833

Thursday 6<sup>th</sup> June 1833

Present,  
Mr. Hibbert  
Mr. Porcher  
Mr. Wigram.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

And<sup>rs</sup> Edward

A Letter being read from Andrew Edward of 11 New Street Bishopsgate Street, applying for further  
Ce-



6 June 1833

applies for further remuneration

remuneration for his trouble in connection with the trial of John Parker,

Resolved

nothing more to be given.

That Mr. Edward be informed that he has already been paid as much as in the opinion of Mr. Freshfield his services deserved, and that nothing further will be given. —

Liverpool report of forged notes passing by Baater

Extracts of Letters from the Agent at Liverpool relating to the passing of forged Notes by a Man of the Name of Baater and others of Birmingham, being read,

Messrs. Freshfield Son to follow up the enquiry

The Chairman reported that instructions had already been given to Messrs. Freshfield and Son to follow up enquiries respecting these Parties.

Hull report of a forged note left by a Person unknown.

An Extract of a Letter from the Agent at Hull was read, respecting a forged Bank of England Note, attempted to be passed by a Countryman, unknown, who left the Note at the Branch & has not since called for it.

The Extract with the note - returned to Mr. Turner of the Branch Bank Office.

Mr



6<sup>th</sup> June 1833

M<sup>r</sup>. Lawrence's List was laid before the Committee and considered viz:

Hugh Hamill  
a Bankrupt

Hugh Hamill of the Poultry, Bankrupt, against whose Estate the Bank proved £341.3. - and upon which three Dividends have been received, and another of 8<sup>d</sup> in the £. - is now declared requests the Bank will grant him some Remuneration for his exertions in obtaining monies due to his Estate, which enabled the official Assignee to make the present dividend.

to be allowed half  
the Amount of One half the Dividend now further  
a Dividend gained  
by his exertions

The Committee agreed that payable, be allotted to the Bankrupt, in consideration of his extraordinary exertions to obtain it.

John Wells applies  
for his Certificate  
to be signed

John Wells of Hull a Bankrupt, against whose Estate the Bank proved £502.1.1. requests the Signature of the Bank to his Certificate.

refused

Resolved, That no Dividend having been paid, the Bank cannot sign the Bankrupt's Certificate.

Mess<sup>rs</sup>



6<sup>th</sup> June 1833

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Winstanley  
& Sons' Bill for  
valuing Mess<sup>rs</sup>  
Tait & Son's Pre-  
mises to be paid

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Winstanley & Sons of 10

160  
Paternoster Row, who were directed by  
the Committee of the 1<sup>st</sup> April 1829,  
to survey and value the Premises  
of Tait & Son of Bermondsey, &c.  
to submit their account of Charges,  
amounting to £57.16. -

The Dep<sup>y</sup> Governor's  
Order for the  
Amount was given  
to Mr. Lawrence on  
the 10 June.

Resolved

That it be recommended  
to the Governor to order Payment  
of the same.

Colin Campbell

Colin Campbell of New City  
Chambers, Merchant, Discounter  
of a Bill for £5000. overdue,

Robert Richards of 15 Bishop-  
gate Street, Merchant, Acceptor  
of the said Bill, being reported,

to replace with  
other Security  
the Acceptance  
Rob<sup>t</sup>. Richards  
which is overdue

Resolved

That Notice be given to  
M<sup>r</sup>. Campbell that the acceptance  
of Robert Richards is overdue  
and must be replaced by other  
Security.

A meeting of the  
Creditors of Sir  
Peter Pole & Co.

A meeting of the Creditors  
of Sir Peter Pole & Co. having been

con-



6<sup>th</sup> June 1833

convened to be held at the George and Vulture Tavern, Cornhill, on Wednesday the 12<sup>th</sup> June, at one o'clock,

to be attended by Mr. Lawrence

The Committee directed Mr. Lawrence to attend.

Miss<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
Sons Bill for Law Charges  
Law Charges post amounting to £1854.16.11. -  
poned consideration having been referred by the Court,  
to this Committee for Examination,  
the consideration thereof was  
postponed till the next meeting

13<sup>th</sup> June 1833

Thursday 13<sup>th</sup> June 1833

Present,

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz:

George



13 June 1833

Geo. & Tho. Fitch's  
Proposed composition

162

George and Thomas Fitch of 66  
Bishopsgate Street Cheesemongers,  
Acceptors of 4 Bills amounting to  
£1057. 9. 8. discounted with Marshall  
and Dale, overdue, request the Bank  
will accept with their other Creditors,  
a Composition of 9/- in the £. —  
payable as follows, namely 2/- in  
2 months, 2 in 4 months, 2 in  
6 months, 1 in 8 months, 1 in 10  
months, and 1 in 12 months; the  
2 last Instalments to be secured.

agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

James Burgh's  
offered Security

Mr. James Burgh, of the  
Firm of James Burgh & Son, of  
149 Aldersgate Street, in reply to  
an application for adequate  
Security, requests the Bank  
will accept a lien upon the  
Title Deeds of a Freehold Estate  
at Spington in Kent, mortgaged  
by his late Father, to Messrs  
Hoare & Co. of Fleet Street.

accepted, — but  
Mr. Burgh to say  
what time he  
will require.

Resolved,  
That the Security be  
accepted, but that Mr. Lawrence be  
directed to enquire and report what  
time Mr. Burgh will require.

Messrs



13 June 1833

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield,  
report of Mr. Leach

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield reported that Mr. Leach has been with them on the subject of the Balance of his debt to the Bank which he proposes to pay in three Instalments at intervals of three months, the first on the 2<sup>d</sup> July, three months from the last payment. — the others on the 2<sup>d</sup> October and the 2<sup>d</sup> January.

Mr. Leach considered that he had made this proposal some time since but from some misapprehension it has not been communicated to the Bank before.

### Resolved

time to be granted

That the time be granted but that Mr. Leach be informed, that the Bank Solicitors will have orders to proceed if the proceedings will be commenced. Instalments should not be paid punctually.

Anonymous  
French Letter  
reporting the cir-  
culation of forged  
Bank of England  
Notes in France

An anonymous French Letter was read, reporting the Circulation of forged Bank of England Notes in France, — the writer proposing to detect the Parties on being well rewarded.

Charles



Ch<sup>d</sup> Christmas's  
Disbursements

Charles Christmas's Disbursements from February to May inclusive (£1.13.2½) for making enquiries and obtaining information on various matters connected with the passing of forged Notes &c., were recommended to the Governor for payment.

Joshua Freeman's  
Disbursements

Joshua Freeman's Disbursements during the month of April (17/1<sup>9</sup>) for attending at the Old Bailey and elsewhere on the Subject of forged Notes, were recommended to the Governor for payment.

Miss<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield's  
Bill for Law  
Charges exam-  
ined.

Miss<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield and Sons Bill for Law Charges, amounting to £1854.16.11 for the half year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> March Last, having been referred by the Court of Directors on the 30<sup>th</sup> Ult<sup>o</sup> for the consideration of this Committee, and the following Abstract thereof, together with the Bill itself having been examined. viz.

Abstract



165. 13<sup>th</sup> June 1833  
1733

Abstract of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son's Bill for Six Months to the 31<sup>st</sup> March 1833

Charges for	Business	Attendance	Fees to Counsel	Accidental Expenses	Stamps	Total
Chancery . . . . . (14 Suits)	61. 10. 2	37. —. 2	42. 19. —	40. 16. 1	Pa. 16 13.	182. 5. 5
Do respecting the proceedings consequent on the forgeries of Wyndham . . . . . (58 Suits)	— 5. —	2. —. —	— —. —	— 2. —	Pa. 13.	2. 7. —
Exchequer . . . . . (4 Suits)	88. 4. 6	36. —. —	4. 9. —	24. 18. 5	Pa. 14 28. Pa. 29 33.	153. 41. 41
Common Law . . . . . (4 Suits)	17. 10. 8	9. 13. 4	4. 9. —	5. 5. 6	1. 1. —	37. 19. 6
Do respecting the proceedings consequent on the forgeries of Wyndham . . . . .	4. —. —	9. 18. 4	— —. —	1. 5. —	Pa. 34 35.	15. 3. 4
Criminal Prosecutions . . . . . Prosecuted . . . . . 9 } Proceedings against . . . . . 3 } 12.	281. 17. 2	222. 2. 4	202. 10. —	485. 19. —	Pa. 37 95.	1492. 8. 6
Measures to detect forgeries &c	3. 49. 2	32. 6. 8	— —. —	36. 13. 3	Pa. 96 108	72. 19. 1
General Business . . . . .	78. —. —	74. 15. 4	11. 3. —	34. 3. 10	Pa. 109 144.	198. 2. 2
	535. 6. 8	423. 16. 2	265. 10. —	629. 3. 1	1. 1. —	1854. 16. 41
Total Money received by the Solicitors . . . . .						67. —. 7
						1787. 16. 4



13 June 1833

166

The following Report to the Court was agreed to. viz:

Report on the Solicitors Bill for Law Charges

The Committee for Law Suits Report to the Court of Directors

That they have investigated Messrs. Freshfield and Son's Bill for Law Charges from Michaelmas Term 1832 to the 31<sup>st</sup> March last, amounting to £1854.16.11, and they find it to be composed of the following particulars, viz:

Expences attendant on 14 Suits in Chancery — £182.5.5

D<sup>o</sup> respecting the proceedings consequent on the forgeries of Henry Tauntleroy — 2.7.—

Expences attendant on 58 Suits in the Court of Exchequer, principally for removing restraints on Juries — 153.11.11

Expences attendant on 4 Suits at Common Law — 37.19.6

D<sup>o</sup> respecting the proceedings consequent on the forgeries of Henry Tauntleroy — 15.3.4

Carried forward — £391.7.2



167. 13 June 1833

Brought forward ----- £391..7..2

Report on the  
Solicitors' Bill  
continued

Expenses attendant on  
Criminal prosecutions  
against 9 Persons. viz:

1 For the Forgery of a Power  
of Attorney - and  
1 for the recovery of a Bill  
of Exchange (both of whom  
were convicted) £275..15..6  
and

7 for uttering & having  
in possession forged  
Notes &c. (6 of whom  
were convicted) ----- 863..0..10

Also preliminary  
proceedings against  
2 persons for uttering  
forged Notes ----- 45..13..-

908..13..10

and against one for  
the forgery of a Cheque  
on the Bank ----- 7..19..2

1192..8..6

Expenses attendant on the  
measures taken to detect  
the utterers of forged Notes ----- 72..9..1

Account of the  
Solicitors' Bills

General Business -----

198..2..2

£1854..16..11

24th Decr 1831 £2158..16..1  
Receipts 332..2..2  
Balance £1796..6..11  
25th Decr 1831 272..13..3  
Receipts 312..9..11  
Balance £1500..3..4  
31st Decr 1832 £1339..5..5  
Receipts 183..9..8  
Balance £1150..15..9  
30th Feb. 1833 £1187..16..0  
Receipts 117..5..10  
Balance £1070..11..-

Deduct Money recd by the  
Solicitors for Costs &c. viz.

In 2 Suits ----- £59..--..7

In 6 Suits in the Ex-  
chequer, for discharg-  
Distringases under  
orders of the Court ----- 8..--..-

67..--..7

Balance due to the Solicitors £1787..16..4



Report on the  
Solicitors' Bill  
continued

168

In the foregoing Amount of  
1854 "16"11. The Charge for Drawing  
Briefs &c. and other general business  
is £ 535 "6"8  
For personal attendance £ 423 "16"2  
£ 959 "2"10  
For Money advanced in  
Fees to Counsel £ 265 "10" -  
Incidental Expenses  
(being Money paid) - 629 "3"1  
Amount Barrels - - - - - 1 "1" - 895 "14"1  
30 Sep. 1832 £ 1187 "16"10 £ 1854 "16"11

The Sum total of this Account exceeds that of the former half year by upwards of £ 650. - a difference arising solely from the expense of Criminal Prosecutions, in the number of which, there is an increase of seven upon the preceding half year.

The Sums charged by the Solicitors for their attendance at the Bank, and giving their opinions on Questions arising out of the general Business of the Establishment, are of less amount than usual. - And it appearing that the rate of charge has been made



169 13 June  
1833

in conformity with the established  
Report on the practice, the Committee recommend  
Solicitors Bill that the sum of £1787<sup>16</sup> 4. be  
paid to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son,  
being the balance of their present  
Bill for Law Charges to the 31<sup>st</sup>  
March last.

concluded.

Mem. presented  
to the Court on the  
20 June and ap-  
proved.

(signed)  
Sam<sup>l</sup> Hibbert  
Chairman

Bank of England  
13<sup>th</sup> June 1833

A Reference to  
the Drawing  
Office Com<sup>tee</sup>  
respecting Law  
Charges for an  
overpayment  
by Francis Poole

The Committee having  
observed in the Solicitors Bill  
a charge of £10. 0. 10. for pro-  
ceedings instituted against Mr.  
Thomas Hurst Salmon for a  
sum of £38<sup>1</sup> 8. overdrawn on  
his Account with the Bank,  
and which sum was paid to  
him by Francis Poole a lent  
Clerk to the Drawing Office; -  
beg to refer the said charge to  
the consideration of the Committee  
of Inspection for the Drawing Office  
&c.



20<sup>th</sup> June  
1833

170

Thursday 20<sup>th</sup> June 1833

Present,

Mr. Hibbert  
Mr. Porcher

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

Depositions  
ag<sup>t</sup> Rob<sup>t</sup>. Pascoe

Depositions were read  
against Robert Pascoe for uttering  
a forged £5. Bank of England Note  
in Devonshire, and the Committee  
having heard Mr. Freshfield's opinion  
thereon,

not to be pro-  
secuted.

Resolved, That the said Robert  
Pascoe be not prosecuted

Colin Campbell  
Solicits indulgence  
till 28<sup>th</sup> August.

Upon reading a Letter  
from Mr. Colin Campbell, soliciting  
the indulgence of the Bank in re-  
ference to the overdue Acceptance  
of Robert Richards for £5000. to the  
28<sup>th</sup> August next.

not granted, and  
Mr. Freshfield to  
write both to him  
and the Acceptor.

Resolved, That Mr. Freshfield be directed  
to inform the Drawer and Acceptor of this  
Bill, that further time cannot be given,  
but that the Acceptance must be replaced  
by other Security.



11 July 1833

Thursday 11<sup>th</sup> July 1833

Present,

Mr. Hubbert

Mr. Wigram

Mr. Grenfell.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

Further depositions  
read against  
William Stott

Further depositions having been read against William Stott for uttering 2 forged £5 Bank of England Notes in Lancashire.

Resolved,

not to be  
prosecuted

That the said William Stott be not prosecuted; - it appearing that the Constable had held out a promise of impunity for the purpose of eliciting admissions from the prisoner.

Mr. Lawrence's List

Mr. Lawrence's List was laid before the Committee and considered, viz:

James Burgh  
requests to be allowed  
to liquidate his  
debt by annual  
instalments of £500

Mr. James Burgh of No. 119 Aldersgate Street, in reply to an application to state what time he would require for the payment of his debt due to the Bank, requests through his Solicitors Messrs. J. & J. Pocock, that the Bank will allow him to liquidate the same by annual instalments of £500 each, but hopes to be enabled to make



11<sup>th</sup> July 1833

make earlier payments,  
Resolved,

172

Mr. Burgh to be  
allowed 2 years  
on his giving  
adequate security

That, upon adequate  
security being given, the Bank will allow  
Mr. Burgh 2 years for the payment of  
the sum due, that is to say - One half  
to be paid on or before 31 July 1834 - and  
the Balance on or before 31 July 1835.

S. Lorimer

Samuel Lorimer of Bristol,  
Discounter of a Bill for £100 at the  
Branch Bank at that place, overdue.

Jas. Lorimer

James Lorimer of Bristol,  
Drawer of the above Bill,

Thos. Sheppard

Thomas Sheppard of Bristol,  
Acceptor of the same

Mr. Wollaston thinks payment would  
be obtained on prompt and decisive  
application by the Bank Solicitor.

Ordered,

Mr. Freshfield  
to press for payment

That Mr. Freshfield be  
directed to press for payment of this  
claim.

George Ross  
requests the Bank's  
signature to his  
certificate

George Ross of Upper Clapton,  
Bankrupt, against whose estate the  
Bank proved £6609. 3. 4; Mr. Roger  
Gadsden of 14, Furnivals Inn, Solicitor,  
requests the signature of the Bank  
to his certificate.

not complied with

The same was not complied with.  
Mr. Ross not having paid any Dividend.  
William



173  
11<sup>th</sup> July 1833

William Jenkins  
requests indulgence  
till 1<sup>st</sup> Sept. next.

William Jenkins of Lime Street  
Square having paid £500 in part and  
on account of £1000, the first instalment  
due the 1<sup>st</sup> instant, from Robert Grant,  
as collateral security for Plummer & Wilson,  
requests indulgence for the payment of the  
remaining £500 until 1<sup>st</sup> September next.

agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

Ordered,

Mr. Freshfield to press  
for a settlement of  
Mr. Richards' Bill for  
£5000

That Mr. Freshfield be  
directed to press for a settlement, relative  
to Robert Richards's unpaid Bill for  
£5000.

18<sup>th</sup> July 1833

Thursday 18<sup>th</sup> July 1833

Present

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Grenfell

The proceedings of the last  
Committee were read.

The Committee then  
proceeded with the examination of Mr.  
Lawrence's books containing the accounts  
of the Unpaid Bills, and having com-  
pleted the same, agreed on the following  
Report to the Court.

viz:



18 July 1833

174

# The Committee for Law Suits Report to the Court of Directors.

Report on the  
Discounted Bills  
& Notes Unpaid

That the Committee having investi-  
gated the Accounts of the Overdue  
Discounted Bills and Notes Unpaid,  
beg to submit to the Court the following  
particulars, vizt

London  
Accounts

That the Balance of the Outstanding  
Debt on the 30<sup>th</sup> June last was £36219. 7. 10.

That the Sum of £3061. 0. 1 has been  
received in the last half Year on the  
Accounts of the Bankrupts or  
Insolvents, the Balances of which  
had from time to time been carried to the  
debit of the Account of Profit and Loss,  
— which Sum has been placed to the  
Credit of that account in the General  
Ledger

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> December  
last of the Accounts which accumulated  
in the half Year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> July  
1833 was £2648. 16. 11

On which has been received  
up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last... 1271. 14. 2

Leaving the Balance £7377. 2. 9  
the whole of which is expected to be  
received

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> December  
last of the Accounts which accumulated  
in



175/18 July 1833

in the half Year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> June 1832 was..... £27,940..10..10

On which has been received up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last..... 7,618..12..5

leaving the Balance £ 20,321..18..5

One fourth of which is recommended to be carried to the debit of the Account of Profit and Loss being..... 5,080..9..7

Balance remaining due. £15,241..8..10

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> December last of the Accounts which accumulated in the five Months ending the 30<sup>th</sup> June 1832 was..... £3,114..12..4

On which has been received up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last..... 1,012.. ..2

leaving the Balance.. £ 2,102..12..2

Of which Sum..... 500 - - is recommended to be carried to the debit of the Account of Profit and Loss

Balance remaining due £1,602..12..2

In the half Year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> December 1832 no Bills were dishonoured.

There are 2 New Accounts of Insolvents since the 31<sup>st</sup> December last whose Debts amount to... £6417..14..6

On which there has not been any thing received. Those 2 Accounts are recommended to remain for the present being of so recent a date.

the



18 July 1833

Branch Bank  
Accounts

176

The Committee have also to report to the Court that the Balance of the Outstanding Debt at the Branches on the 30<sup>th</sup> June last was £4339<sup>13</sup> 3.

That the Sum of £393<sup>12</sup> 10 has been received in the last half Year on the Accounts of the Bankrupts & Insolvents the Balances of which had from time to time been carried to the Debit of the Account of Profit and Loss, - which Sum has been placed to the Credit of that Account in the General Ledger.

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> December last of the Accounts which accumulated at the Birmingham Branch in the preceding two Years was £596<sup>18</sup> 11

On which has been received

up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last 74<sup>1</sup> 11

leaving the Balance £ 522<sup>17</sup> -  
the whole of which is expected to be received.

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec. last of the Accounts which accumulated at the Norwich Branch between the 31<sup>st</sup> July 1831 and 30<sup>th</sup> June 1832 was £4106<sup>8</sup> 6

On which has been received

up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last 389<sup>12</sup> 3

leaving the Balance £3716<sup>16</sup> 3

One half of which is recommended

to be carried to the Debit of the Account of Profit & Loss being 1858<sup>8</sup> 2

Balance remaining due £ 1858<sup>8</sup> 1

the



177. 18 July 1833

Report  
concluded

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec. last  
being £315. 2. 8 remaining on the Accounts  
at the Bristol Branch has since been  
received. At this Branch there is  
one new Account of £100 since the  
31<sup>st</sup> December last, on which not any  
thing has yet been received.

(Signed) Sam<sup>l</sup> Hibbert

Bank 23<sup>rd</sup> July 1833

Chairman

Mem: The Report was  
approved in Court 1<sup>st</sup> Aug. 1833

23 July 1833

Thursday 25<sup>th</sup> July 1833

Present

Mr Hibbert

Mr Higram

Mr Ghemfell

The Proceedings of the last Committee  
were read.

Mr Lawrence's  
List

Mr Lawrence's List was laid  
before the Committee and considered, viz:

Mathew J. Wellard  
again solicits the  
Bank's signature to  
his certificate

Matthew Jagon Wellard of the late  
firm of Bock and Wellard, Bankrupt,  
against whose estate the Bank proved  
£523. 18. 2, again solicits the signature  
of the Bank to his certificate, a dividend  
of  $\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{2}$  having been paid.

Declined, until  
2/3<sup>rd</sup> of the Creditors  
have signed

The Committee declined to give  
their consent until two thirds of the  
Creditors have signed the certificate. E.



25<sup>th</sup> July 1833

E. A. Whytt's  
premiums on  
policies of his  
Life Insurance  
to be paid.

Memorandum

The Dep<sup>y</sup> Gov<sup>r</sup>'s Order  
for £52. Was given  
to Mr. Lawrence on  
the 25<sup>th</sup> July.

178  
E. A. Whytt of New Broad Street,  
Merchant, now a Lunatic, a notice  
has been received from the Economic  
Life Insurance Society requesting the  
payment of £52. being the premiums on  
his policies assigned over to the Bank  
as collateral securities.

Mr. Lawrence requests the instructions  
of the Committee.

The Committee agreed that  
the premiums should be paid.

The following Report from  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield was laid before the  
Committee by Mr. Lawrence, viz:

Mr. Freshfield reports that pursuant  
to the order of the Law Committee he has  
written to

Samuel Lorymer, Discounter of a  
Bill for £100 at the Bristol Branch  
Bank,

James Lorymer, the Drawer,  
and Thomas Sheppard, the Acceptor.

a meeting of  
Sheppard's creditors  
on the 17<sup>th</sup> July

A letter has been received from  
Thomas Sheppard, the Acceptor, stating  
that a meeting of his creditors is convened  
for the 17<sup>th</sup> July.

Ja<sup>s</sup> Lorymer  
promises arrangements  
after

A letter has been also received  
from James Lorymer, the Drawer,  
promising



179 25<sup>th</sup> July 1833  
after the meeting of  
Sheppard's creditors

Mr Lawrence  
to write again

Further examinations  
against Barnes  
connected with Stott  
in the utterance of 2  
forged £5 Notes

promising some arrangement after the  
meeting of Sheppard's creditors.

Mr Lawrence was directed to  
write again for further information.

Upon reading further examinations  
taken by the Lancashire Magistrates  
to induce the Bank to prosecute Barnes  
connected with William Stott in the  
utterance of two forged £5 Bank of  
England Notes, it was

Resolved,

The Bank decline to  
prosecute, will send  
a competent witness to  
prove the forgery of the  
notes, when required

That the Bank cannot  
venture to prosecute on the sole evidence  
of such a witness as William Stott,  
but they are desirous of giving every  
assistance to the efforts making to  
convict Barnes, and will send a competent  
witness to prove the forgery of the notes,  
whenever required.

30 July 1833

Tuesday 30<sup>th</sup> July 1833

Present

Mr. Hibbert Mr. Wigram

Depositions against  
Elizabeth Sherman

Depositions being read against  
Elizabeth Sherman for uttering a forged  
£5 Bank of England Note at Birmingham

Resolved,

not to be  
prosecuted

That the said Elizabeth  
Sherman be not prosecuted.

Mr



30 July 1833

The certificate of  
Mr. J. Welland  
to be signed

180  
Mr. Lawrence having reported that  
more than two thirds of Mr. Welland's  
creditors had signed his certificate,  
it was agreed that the signature of the  
Bank should be added thereto.

The Bank to pay  
the expence of  
an Inspector to  
be sent from  
Manchester or Liverpool  
to Lancaster  
in the case  
Rex v Stott.

Mr. Freshfield having inquired  
whether the Bank will pay the expences  
of the Inspector to Lancaster to prove the  
forgery of the Notes, in the case Rex v Stott,  
and having stated that the late Governor had  
decided, a twelve month since, that the  
Bank should only pay in cases where  
they are the Prosecutors,

Resolved,

That under the peculiar  
circumstances of this case, the Committee  
Recommend that the Bank pay the  
expence of an Inspector, and that he  
be sent from Manchester or Liverpool.

8<sup>th</sup> August

Thursday 8<sup>th</sup> August 1833

Present

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

Mr. Grenfell

The



8 August 1833

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

A Letter from William Colston of Totness was read applying for payment of part of his Expenses amounting to £18. in the prosecution of Robert Osborne Pascoe for uttering a forged £5. Bank of England Note in Devonshire, the Committee having declined to prosecute.

Wm. Colston applies for his Expenses

in the prosecution of R<sup>t</sup>. O. Pascoe

The Letter sent to Mr. Freshfield.

Resolved,

That the consideration of this application be postponed, until it is ascertained by Mr. Freshfield whether the Prisoner Robert Osborne Pascoe was convicted, and what other circumstances are connected with this Case.

postponed for further information

The following Report from Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield was read  
Report on viz: 14  
8 Aug: 1833

An Application from Mr. Spurrier for his Expenses in the prosecution of Elizabeth Sherman

Mr. Spurrier's Letter returned to Mr. Freshfield

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield beg to Report a letter from Mr. Spurrier respecting the prosecution against Sherman (claiming his Expenses)

The Bank have occasionally assisted persons who have successfully prosecuted under special Cir



circumstances, but we know no case where they have assisted those who have prosecuted unsuccessfully after the case had been rejected by the Bank.

also on the Expediency of sending an Inspector from London to give evidence on a Trial at Lancaster

Messrs. Freshfield also beg to Report a Letter from the Solicitors engaged in the prosecution against Stott & Barnes, where the Bank proposed to send an Inspector at their own expence from Liverpool or Manchester.

The General Rule of Law is that the Maker of an Instrument is the most competent to prove the handwriting whether forged or genuine and therefore it has always been argued that the Signing Clerk should be called, but the Courts decided the evidence of the Inspectors to be sufficient on the ground that it was their duty to watch and superintend the preparation of genuine Notes in all the branches and therefore they were more competent than the signer whose knowledge was more limited. Messrs. F. fear that on a cross examination Inspectors from the Branches might be



183

8<sup>th</sup> Aug: 1833

be considered incompetent Witnesses - And further it would appear not desirable for the Bank to sanction their Inspectors from the Branches being sent to assize Towns: An Inspector might be taken from Hull to Lincoln or York and kept there a fortnight or from Newcastle to Carlisle and so from other parts of the Country to the great Inconvenience of the Branch - They would therefore suggest it as desirable to send an Inspector from London & have it understood that the Provincial Inspectors cannot attend Courts of Justice to give Evidence on the genuineness of Notes.

also a Letter  
from the Solicitor  
of Mr. C. Campbell

Letter returned to  
Mr. Freshfield

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield also beg  
to report a letter from the Solicitor  
of Mr. Colin Campbell.

(purporting that some arrange-  
ment is now making but not  
yet sufficiently matured and  
that it is quite impracticable  
to find a substitute for Mr. Richards  
in respect of his overdue acceptance)

Resolved,

That the Bank cannot  
allow the expences claimed by Mr.



Mr. Spurrier's  
Expenses not to  
be allowed.

184  
Mr. Spurrier in the case of prosecution  
of Elizabeth Sherman, who was ac-  
quitted; The Bank having previously  
declined to prosecute that Woman.

Resolved,

an Inspector to  
be sent into Lan-  
cashire from  
London

That an Inspector be  
sent from London in the case of  
Stott and Barnes.

Resolved

Minute on Mr.  
Colin Campbell's  
Application

That Mr. Colin Campbell  
be informed that the Bank may  
not be disposed to continue the Loan  
beyond the 28 of August, unless  
Satisfactory Security is in the mean  
time provided in lieu of Mr. Rickards  
Acceptance.

Mr. Laurence List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered viz:

Thomas Goodyear of Alders-  
gate Street Bankrupt, against  
application that  
the Bank will  
take 3 in the £ 162.4.1. requests, through Mr.  
William Taylor, of 30 King Street  
Cheapside Solicitor, that the Bank  
will accept a Composition of 3/  
in the £. and consent to the  
and consent Com-



185

8<sup>th</sup> Aug: 1833

Commission issued against him (Mr. Goodyear) being superseded, all to the Commission the other Creditors having agreed being superseded to the same.

agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

In the case of Vos & Essers, Bankrupts, against whose Estate the Bank paid £16253.12.4. and upon which an 8<sup>th</sup> dividend has been lately received of 11 $\frac{1}{2}$  in the £. amounting to £778.16.4. - Mr. Bankruptcy of George S. Graham of 3 Copthall Buildings, Vos & Essers to be returned to George S. Graham, the Official Assignee, begs to state that the above dividend should have been Official Assigned in the £. instead of 11 $\frac{1}{2}$ , he therefore solicits the repayment of the difference, amounting to £237.0.7. Mess<sup>rs</sup> Smith, Payne & Co. and Mess<sup>rs</sup> Prescott & Co. with most of the other Creditors having returned the Money overpaid to them.

and

recommended to the Governor accordingly

The Committee agreed thereto.

Resolved, That it be recommended to the Governor to order that the Sum of £237.0.7. be paid to Mr. Geo. S. Graham of 3 Copthall Buildings the Official Assignee in the Bankruptcy of Vos & Essers, being the amount of an overpayment in the 8<sup>th</sup> Div<sup>d</sup> on the Bankrupts Estate, lately received by the Bank.

The Dep<sup>y</sup> Governor's Order to Mr. Graham for £237.0.7. was given to Mr. Lawrence on the 15<sup>th</sup> Aug: 1833.



15<sup>th</sup> August  
1833

Thursday 15<sup>th</sup> August 1833

186

Present

M<sup>r</sup>. Porcher

M<sup>r</sup>. Wigram

M<sup>r</sup>. Grenfell.

The Proceedings of the last  
Committee were read.

M<sup>r</sup>. Freshfield's  
Report in the  
matter of Colin  
Campbell.

M<sup>r</sup>. Freshfield Jun: attended  
the Committee and reported a com-  
munication he had made in con-  
formity with the direction of the  
Committee of the 8<sup>th</sup> Inst<sup>h</sup> to Mess<sup>rs</sup>  
Blunt, Roy, Blunt and Duncan,  
Solicitors of M<sup>r</sup>. Colin Campbell, and  
that an Answer had been received  
from them dated the 13<sup>th</sup> Instant,  
which he now laid before the  
Committee, and which was to the  
effect, - that Security now exists  
for M<sup>r</sup>. Richards's Acceptance for  
£5000. - M<sup>rs</sup>. Mackillop, M<sup>r</sup>. Dent &  
M<sup>r</sup>. Young, having had regularly  
transferred to them (as Trustees)  
to pay all the Acceptances, or any  
renewals thereof Mortgage Debts in  
Surinam to the amount of £120,000.  
and Mess<sup>rs</sup> Blunt &c. therefore hope  
that there will not be any hesitation  
in



187

15<sup>th</sup>. Aug: 1833

hope the Bank  
will renew the  
<sup>other</sup> acceptances and  
allow Mr. Richards  
to stand over.

Mr. Freshfield  
was consulted and  
Letters were agreed  
upon to be written  
to

To Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Blunt  
&c.

in the Bank renewing the other ac-  
ceptances and allowing that of Mr.  
Richards to stand over, and if this  
be done a further plan of Security to  
the Bank can soon be offered.

The Committee having given  
the subject the fullest consideration  
and having consulted with Mr.  
Freshfield Jun: Theron, determined  
on the following Letters to be im-  
mediately addressed by the Bank  
Solicitors, to Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Blunt &c.  
and also to the several Acceptors  
of the other Bills. viz:

Gentlemen To Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Blunt &c.

We have submitted to the  
Governors and Directors of the  
Bank of England your Letter of  
the 13<sup>th</sup> Instant. It appears to us  
that you have altogether lost  
sight of the nature of the transaction  
between the Bank and Mr. Campbell.  
The Bank agreed to advance him a  
sum of £63,000. on the discount of  
Commercial Bills from time to  
time for a certain period: One of  
the Bills discounted by him has  
been dishonored and though repeatedly  
applied to during the last 3 months  
he has failed either to pay the Bill or  
substitute a discountable Bill for it.

under



Under such circumstances you ask the Bank to forbear proceeding against M<sup>r</sup>. Campbell on the ground that there is a collateral Security on some Estates in Surinam to which however they are no parties and for so which they will be only incidentally benefited. Supposing the Bank were induced to give time to M<sup>r</sup>. Campbell on this ground it could only be with the consent of all the other Parties to the Bill, but this is the least part of your application. It is not only asked that the Bank should allow the Bill in question to remain over but that the Bank should proceed to discount other Bills for M<sup>r</sup>. Campbell. It is however contrary to the Rules of the Bank to discount for any Person who leaves Paper in their Hands unpaid. We are therefore desired to make a communication to the several Acceptors of the other Bills

We are Gentlemen  
your most  
obed<sup>t</sup>. Serv<sup>ts</sup>

H & S.

New Bank Buildings

15 August 1833

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Blunt, Roy, Blunt & Duncan.

and

Letter



189

15<sup>th</sup> Aug:

1833

To Mess<sup>rs</sup> the  
Several Parties  
to the Bills

Letter to the several Acceptors

Sir

We are desired by the Governors and Directors of the Bank to address you as Acceptor of a Bill for £5000 now in their hands having been discounted by Mr. Colin Campbell. The Bill in question was discounted by Mr. Campbell together with others to the amount of £63,500. on the understanding that he should be allowed to renew them from time to time for a limited period. One of these Bills however having become due on the 25<sup>th</sup> May last was dishonored and Mr. Campbell though repeatedly applied<sup>d</sup> has neither taken it up nor provided a discountable Bill in its place. Under such Circumstances we think it due to you to apprise you that the renewal of your acceptance falling due the 28<sup>th</sup> Instant must become the Subject of further consideration by the Court of Directors unless Mr. Campbell should take up the Bill or provide a new discountable Security on or before Wednesday next.

We



15 August 1833

190

Addressed to  
each of the  
Acceptors.

we are Sir  
Yours mo. obed<sup>t</sup> Serv<sup>t</sup>  
F. & S.

New Bank Buildings  
15 Aug: 1833

List of Bills Discounted for Colin Campbell

Drawers	Acceptors	Indorsers	due	Amount
Colin Campbell & Co of Glasgow	Sir W <sup>m</sup> Young B <sup>t</sup>	Colin Campbell	28 Aug: 33	£5000.
Do	Ja <sup>s</sup> Mackillop	Do	Do	5000
Do	Allan Macdonald	Do	Do	5000
Do	John Ward	Do	Do	5000
Do	Henry Hervey	Do	Do	5000
Do	Tho <sup>s</sup> Wilkinson	Do	Do	5000
Do	Sir C. Forbes B <sup>t</sup>	Do	Do	5000
Do	Ja <sup>s</sup> Alexander	Do	Do	5000
Do	Rawson, Saltmarsh & Co	Do	Do	2500
Do	Gregson, Melville & Co	Do	Do	2500
Do	R <sup>t</sup> Richards (dishonored)	Do	Do	5000
Do	Erneas Mackintosh	Do	Do	5000
Do	Edw <sup>d</sup> Fletcher	Do	Do	5000
Do	Henry Porcher	Do	Do	3500
				<u>£63500</u>

21 Aug: 1833

Wednesday 21<sup>st</sup> August 1833

Present  
Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

Mr. Grenfell

The



21 Aug<sup>r</sup> 1833

The case of Colin  
Campbell resu-  
med consideration  
and Mr. Freshfield  
attend, the Com<sup>rs</sup>

The proceedings of the last  
Committee were read.

The consideration of the case of  
Colin Campbell was resumed, and it  
appearing that no name has been given  
in lieu of Mr. Richards', but that a  
proposition has been submitted with  
the view to give additional security to  
the Bank by an indorsement upon the  
Deed of Trust of the Surinam Estates,  
and Mr. Freshfield's opinion having been  
taken thereon - the Committee, under  
all the circumstances, agreed to make  
the following Report to the Court of  
Directors, viz:

The Committee for Law Suits  
Report to the Court of Directors

Report to the  
Court thereon

That in reference to the Loan  
of £63500 which was continued to  
Mr. Colin Campbell for one year from  
the 14<sup>th</sup> February last, an acceptance  
of Mr. Robert Richards for £5000 due  
the 25<sup>th</sup> May, has been dishonored; and  
repeated applications to Mr. Campbell  
for a renewed Bill or approved security  
not having been complied with, the  
Committee recommend that in the event  
of an approved Name not being obtained  
prior to the 28<sup>th</sup> instant, the Court

do



21 Aug<sup>r</sup> 1833

192

do authorize the Committee to conclude an arrangement upon the following basis, viz:

recommending further Security to be taken on trust Deed of the Surinam Property

That an Indorsement be made upon the present declaration of trust, giving the Bank a prior lien upon the Surinam Property for £63,500 on condition that all parties interested consent thereto, and that Sir William Young do give his acceptance for £5000 in lieu of the dishonored bill of Mr. Richards, which will be in addition to his acceptance, for a similar amount already in the Bank.

This arrangement will improve the Security of the Bank, by giving a direct and first charge upon the Surinam Property for all and each of the Bills, instead of the present indirect security for such proportion only as the value of the property may bear to the whole advance.

Signed Henry Porcher  
Chairman

Bank of England,  
21 August 1833

Mr. Freshfield's  
statement re-  
specting the Ap-  
plication of  
Wm Colston

Letter returned to  
the Solicitors.

In reference to the Minute of the 8<sup>th</sup> Instant Messrs Freshfield reported a Letter from Wm Colston of Tatnesh, further soliciting the Bank for payment of some part of



193<sup>rd</sup>  
21<sup>st</sup> Aug: 1833

no conviction  
took place

Mr. Colston's  
Expences cannot  
be allowed.

of his expences in the prosecution  
of Robt. O. Pascoe, for uttering a forged  
£5. Bank of England Note in  
Devonshire; and it appearing  
on reading the said Letter that  
no conviction took place,

The Committee agreed  
that Mr. Colston's Expences cannot  
be allowed, the Bank having  
previously declined to prosecute  
Pascoe.

24

22<sup>nd</sup> Aug:  
1833

Thursday 22<sup>nd</sup> August 1833

Present

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

Mr. Grenfell

The Chairman informed  
the Committee that the Committee's  
Report of the 21<sup>st</sup> Instant in the  
Court in the matter of Colin Campbell had  
Case of Colin Campbell, app.<sup>d</sup>  
this day been presented to the Court  
of Directors;

and  
referred back to  
be carried into  
effect.

That the Court had  
agreed thereto, and had referred the  
same back to the Committee for  
Law Suits to carry the proposu



22<sup>nd</sup> Aug:  
1833

Directions  
given to Mr. Freshfield  
accordingly.

Arrangement into effect.

Resolved

That Messrs. Freshfield  
and Son be directed to carry the  
same into effect forthwith.

Petition from  
Thos. Wilson for  
further remuneration

for assisting  
in the apprehension of T. P.  
Hollis

A Petition from Thomas  
Wilson of 7 Southwark Road, praying  
for an addition to the sum of £5.5.  
presented to him on the 20<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup>.  
last, as a reward for his assistance  
in the apprehension of Thomas  
Pelham Hollis, who altered a £10.  
Vote to a £50. and paid it away  
to Mr. Beardsworth of Birmingham.  
Having been read, and the former  
Minute in this matter having been  
referred to,

declined

The Committee declined the  
present petition of Mr. Wilson.

28 Aug. 1833

Wednesday 28<sup>th</sup> August 1833

Present  
Mr. Porcher  
Mr. Grenfell.



28<sup>th</sup> Aug<sup>r</sup> 1833

The acceptance of in lieu of the dishonored Bill of Mr. John Stewart Esq. of 22 Portman Square Robert Rickards in the matter of to be taken in lieu of Mr. Rickards' dishonored Bill, and Mr. Rickards' acceptance to be given up to Mr. Gordon.

Resolved,

That Mr. Stewart's acceptance be taken in lieu of Mr. Rickards', and that Mr. Rickards' acceptance be given up to Mr. Gordon, the Bearer of Mr. Stewart's acceptance.

11 Sept<sup>r</sup> 1833

Wednesday 11<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 1833

Present

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram.

The proceedings of the last Committee were read.

A Report having been considered from Messrs. Freshfield & Son on an application from Messrs. Lee and Taylor, Solicitors, of Rochdale, and of Charles Johnson, Constable, for part of the expenses incurred in the prosecution and

Messrs. Freshfield's Report on the applications of Messrs. Lee & Taylor, Charles Johnson of Rochdale for expenses in the conviction of M. Barnes.



11 Sept<sup>r</sup> 1833

Messrs Freshfield  
to make the said  
payments

Joshua Freeman's  
disbursements to  
be ordered payment

John Wood Deane's  
disbursements  
to be  
ordered  
payments.

Messrs Freshfield's report  
of the conviction of  
Wm Straus, for forging  
a divid.<sup>d</sup> in bankruptcy,  
proposing to address  
the Chief Justice for  
an improvement in  
the system

Proposal agreed to.

and conviction of Moses Barnes for  
uttering forged Bank Notes in Lancashire.  
**Resolved,**

That Messrs Freshfield  
and Son do make the payments recom-  
mended in their letter of the 11<sup>th</sup> instant.

Joshua Freeman's disbursements  
on attending at Chester and Lancaster  
on the trial of James Wilson and others  
and Moses Barnes, amounting to £34.13.  
were recommended to the Governor for  
payment.

John Wood Deane's disbursements  
for attending at Warwick on the trial of  
Richard Badcott and Edwin Bancatt  
for uttering forged Notes, amounting to  
£8.2.6, were also recommended to the  
Governor to be ordered payment.

Upon reading a letter from Messrs  
Freshfield and Son, reporting the conviction  
of William Straus at the Old Bailey for  
forging and uttering an Order for a  
Dividend in Bankruptcy, and proposing  
to address the Chief Justice of the Court  
of Bankruptcy to suggest an improvement  
in the system for the better protection of  
the Bank.

The Committee agreed to  
Messrs Freshfield's proposal.

A



197  
11<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 1833.

Job Butler  
requests payment  
for forged notes  
purchased &c

his application  
not attended to

A Letter being read from Job  
Butler, a constable of Birmingham,  
requesting the Bank to repay him his  
expences and the price he paid for  
some forged Notes he lately purchased,  
it was

Resolved,

That the Secretary be  
directed to inform Mr Butler that  
his application cannot be attended to.

2<sup>nd</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1833

Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> October 1833

Present,

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Grenfell

The Proceedings of  
the last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List  
was laid before the Committee  
and considered. viz:

James Loxymor  
to be written to

The indulgence granted to  
James Loxymor of Bristol, Drawer  
of a Bill for £100. discounted at the  
Bristol Branch Bank has expired.

Mr. Lawrence was directed to remind  
Mr. Loxymor of the circumstance.

311



2<sup>d</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1833

198

A proposition  
in the case of  
Charles Turner  
in reference to  
a Chancery Suit

In the Case of Charles Turner,  
Discounters of two Bills amounting  
to £5800. — Mr. Rich<sup>d</sup>. Heywood of  
Liverpool one of his Trustees, requests  
the Bank will allow their name  
to be used with his in a Chancery  
Suit about to be commenced by  
him for the recovery of £4000,  
thought to be illegally detained  
by the House of Salisbury & Co. of  
Liverpool. —

Postponed con-  
sideration.

The consideration thereof was  
postponed.

Suber & Payer's  
request that

In the Case of Suber & Payer  
of Union Street, White Chapel,  
Timber Merchants, & Acceptors of  
three Bills amounting to £2121.8.  
the Committee agreed on the 8<sup>th</sup> of March  
1832 to an Assignment of their  
Effects in Trust to Mr. Grenfell  
of the firm of Esdaile & Co. and Mr.  
Chapman of the firm of Overend  
& Co. — and Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Crowder and  
Maynard, Solicitors, now request  
that the Bank will concur with  
the above two firms, in releasing  
Mr. Suber, from further liability  
on his absolutely transferring the  
Securities in question.

Mr. Suber be re-  
leased from  
further liability

The



199) 2 Oct. 1833  
agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

Augustus Applegath's  
request

Augustus Applegath of  
Stamford Street Bankrupt Acceptor  
of a Bill for £450. 10. 8, requests  
through Messrs Crowder & Maynard  
that the Bank will agree with  
the other Creditors to accept a  
proposed offer of £2000. in full  
of all claims - the late Solicitor  
to the Estate not having accounted  
for the Monies he received.

agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

17<sup>th</sup> Oct: 1833

Thursday 17<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1833

Present

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Grenfell

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz:

James Loxymes's

James Loxymes of Bristol,  
Drawer of a Bill for £100. discounted  
with



17<sup>th</sup> Oct: 1833

Proposition

200  
with Samuel Lorymer, at the Branch Bank of that Place requests <sup>that</sup> The Bank will agree with his other Creditors to receive payment in full, with Interest at 4 - 8 - 12 and 18 Months, to be secured by Bills at the above dates, drawn by himself upon Thomas Sheppard, and endorsed by Samuel Lorymer, and Mess<sup>rs</sup> J. R. Milnot &c.

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

Mr. Dean's Application for his Certificate to be signed

Henry Dean, of Fore Street, Cripplegate, Bankrupt, against whose Estate the Bank proved £360.4.10. - requests the Signature of the Bank to his Certificate.

deferred consideration.

The Consideration of Mr. Dean's Application was deferred, no Dividend having been paid

Mr. Freshfield's opinion on the Application of Rich<sup>d</sup> Heywood

The Application of Mr. Richard Heywood of Liverpool, one of the Trustees of Mr. Charles Turner laid before the Committee on the 2<sup>nd</sup> Instant, having been referred to Mr. Freshfield for his opinion, the opinion is now submitted, recommending the Bank not to become a party to the Chancery Suit, as requested by Mr. Heywood.

Resolved



17<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1833

Resolved

The Application  
not complied  
with.

That the Application  
be not complied with and That  
Mr. Lawrence be directed to write  
to Mr. Heywood accordingly.

24<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1833Thursday 24<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1833

Present,

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Grenfell

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List  
was laid before the Committee  
and considered. viz:

Marshall & Dale's  
proposition

Marshall and Dale of  
Hays Wharf, Cheese Factors  
Discounters of 5 Bills amount<sup>g</sup>  
to £1417.11.6 overdue, - request the  
Bank will agree with all their  
other Creditors to accept a Compo-  
sition of 9/- in the £. to be paid  
in Cash.

Agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

In



24<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1833

202

Henry Dean's  
Certificate to be  
signed

In reference to the Minute of the  
17<sup>th</sup> Inst. respecting the application  
of Henry Dean of Iron Street, Mr.  
Lawrence reported that he has  
since been informed by Mr. Kitchen,  
the Official Assignee in Mr. Dean's  
Bankruptcy, that a Dividend  
has been declared of  $\frac{6}{100}$  in the £.—  
and is ready to be paid to the Creditors.

Ordered

That Mr. Dean's Certificate  
be signed.

31. Oct. 1833

Thursday 31. Oct. 1833

Present

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Grenfell

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz:

In



31. Oct. 1833

Application on  
behalf of James  
Forbes - to sign  
his certificate

In the Case of James Forbes  
of the late firm of Forbes & Russel,  
Wine Merchants, Bankrupts, against  
whose Estate the Bank praved  
£411 73. 7. 3. - Mess<sup>rs</sup> Appleby and  
Charnock of Raymond Buildings  
Grays Inn Solicitors, at the desire  
of the Assignees, request the Sig-  
nature of the Bank to Mr. Forbes  
certificate, to enable the Estate  
to have the benefit of his evidence.

postponed conside-  
ration.

The Committee postponed the  
Case for further Enquiry.

John Marshall  
applies for further  
Indulgence, which  
is

John Marshall of Birchin  
Lane, Merchant, requests the  
Bank will grant him 6 months  
further indulgence for the payment  
of his last Instalment amount<sup>g</sup>.  
to £658. 15. 3. due the 12<sup>th</sup> November  
next.

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

Rawles & Lance  
to have further  
indulgence

Rawles & Lance of Drury  
Lane, Carriers, Acceptors of 2 Bills  
amounting to £500. - regret their  
inability at present, to pay the  
balance of their last Instalment  
overdue amounting to £37. 5. 7.  
but trust to be enabled to discharge  
it in a short time.

The



31<sup>st</sup> Oct. 1833

204

The Committee agreed that the Indulgence to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Rawles & Lance, be extended until after Christmas.

James Simmes to have the indulgence required

James Simmes of the late firm of Corbett, Simmes & Co. of Wood Street Warehousemen, requests the Bank will receive payment of the debt due from him amounting to £829. 7. 10. by monthly instalments of £50. each, offering to deposit as Collateral Security a Policy of Insurance on his Life for £1000. —

on his depositing a Policy of Insurance on his Life for £1000. —

The Committee agreed that the Balance be paid by monthly Instalments of £50. each on Mr. Simmes depositing the Policy of Insurance above named, with the Bank as collateral Security.

7<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1833

Thursday 7<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1833

Present,

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Grenfell.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.



7<sup>th</sup> Nov. 1833

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
report an applica-  
tion from  
W<sup>m</sup> Brigg

for certain  
expenses con-  
nected with the  
prosecution of  
W<sup>m</sup> Hartley

Upon Reading a Report from  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield on a Claim of  
William Brigg Constable of Bradford  
in Yorkshire for  $\frac{1}{3}^d$  of the Sum of  
£42..3..6, being the amount of  
Expences incurred by him for  
information and the purchase  
of forged Notes connected with the  
prosecution and conviction of  
William Hartley at York in  
the Summer of 1832: The Country  
Bankers having agreed to pay  
the other  $\frac{2}{3}^d$ .

Resolved

That Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
be authorised to pay to Mr.  
William Brigg  $\frac{1}{3}^d$  of the  
Amount of his Expences as  
aforesaid, together with the further  
Sum of £3..3. — as recommended  
by them.



21<sup>st</sup> Nov: 1833

Thursday 21<sup>st</sup> Nov: 1833

206

Present,

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Grenfell.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

The subject of  
Jas. Forbes's ap-  
plication resu-  
med

In reference to the Minute of the 31<sup>st</sup> October, the Committee took into consideration a report of Mr. Freshfield Jun: on the subject of enquiries made of Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Appleby and Charnock as to the conduct of James Forbes of the late firm of Forbes & Russell, Wine Merchants, Bankrupts, whose Assignees have applied for the signature of the Bank to his Certificate, with the view to obtaining his evidence in certain proceedings materially affecting the Bankrupt's estate. &c.

Resolved

This Certificate  
not to be signed

That the Bank cannot consent to sign the certificate of Mr. Forbes, it appearing that he has taken part in withdrawing the assets of his House for the exclusive benefit of one creditor.

Mr.



207<sup>th</sup>

21<sup>st</sup> Nov. 1833

Mr Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz:

John B. Jackson  
requests indulgence

John B. Jackson of the late  
firm of Montgomery, Thompson  
and Co. of 6 Watling Street, requests  
the Bank will receive his Note  
of hand, as collateral Security for  
the payment of his overdue  
instalment amounting to £194<sup>..</sup>11.  
at 2 months date.

Resolved,

not to be accepted  
without collateral  
Security.

That Mr Jackson's  
offer cannot be accepted without  
collateral Security.

John Seabrook  
solicits the  
clemency of  
the Bank.

The indulgence granted  
to John Seabrook of 5 Cheapside,  
Carpenter, Acceptor of a Bill for  
£362<sup>..</sup>8.4, discounted with Albert  
Jones, having expired, - Mr.  
Seabrook requests the clemency  
of the Bank and Promises to make  
a large payment on account  
after Christmas.

the time will  
be given on the  
deposit of adequate  
collateral Security.

Resolved, That Mr Seabrook be  
informed, that the time asked for  
will be given, on the deposit of  
adequate collateral Security.

Agreedly



21<sup>st</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1833

208

The Solicitors' Bill, postponed consideration

Agreeable to the Minute of the Court of Directors of the 11<sup>th</sup> Instant, the Solicitors' Bill for Law Charges amounting to £2831.16.2 for the half year ending the 30<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> last, was laid before the Committee. — The consideration thereof was postponed till the next meeting.

27<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1833

Wednesday 27<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1833

Present

Mr. Libbert  
Mr. Wigram  
Mr. Porcher

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

The Solicitors' Bill considered

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Sons' Bill for Law Charges amounting to £2831.16.2. for the half year ending the 30<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> last, being taken into consideration and the following Abstract thereof, together with other documents having been examined. viz.



209  
27<sup>th</sup> Decr 1833

Abstract of New Freshfield & Son's Bill for 6 Months to 30 Sept 1833

Charges for	Business	Attendance	Fees to Counsel	Incidental Expenses	Stamps	Total
Chancery. . . . 17 suits	96. —	43. —	39. 18. 6	43. 2. 6	Pa 7/16	222. 1. —
Exchequer. . . 29 suits	42. 4. 10	29. 10	2. 7. —	11. 11. 7	7/20	85. 13. 5
Appeal to the House of Lords. conveyed on the Forgeries of 18 Bauntleroy	26. 14. 4	38. 2. 4	193. 14. 6	64. 2. —	29/51	322. 13. 2
Common Law 3 suits	9. 19. —	9. 12. 8	4. 9. —	3. —	32/56	27. —. 8
Criminal Prosecutions <sup>Prison</sup> Sept 11	319. 16. 6	161. 9. 10	172. 8. —	790. —. 2	37/100	1443. 14. 6
Measures to detect Forgeries	12. 11. 1	22. 7. 8	—	95. 7. 10	92/104	130. 6. 7
Respecting the Negotiation on the renewal of the Bank Charter	141. —. 8	127. 15. —	61. 19. 6	63. 8. 6	105/140	394. 3. 8
General Business. . . . .	95. 7. 2	74. 12. —	23. 2. —	13. 2. —	144/103	206. 3. 2
	743. 13. 7	506. 9. 6	497. 18. 6	1083. 14. 7	—	2831. 16. 2

Abstracted & analysed by  
G. E. Gray - Deputy Accountant  
of the Bank of England

Deduct Money received by the Solicitor

190. 2. —  
£2641. 14. 2



27<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1833

210

It was agreed that the following Report  
be made to the Court of Directors. viz.

Report to the  
Court on the  
Solicitors' Bill

The Committee for Law Suits  
Report to the Court of Directors

That they have investigated  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield and Son's Bill  
for Law Charges from Easter Term  
1833 to the 30<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> last, and they  
find it to be composed of the following  
Particulars. viz:

Expences Attendant } £ 222. 1. -  
on 17 Suits in Chancery -

D<sup>o</sup>. - on 39 Suits in the  
Court of Exchequer, princi- } - 25. 13. 5  
pally for removing re-  
straints on Stock

Fees to Counsel £193. 14. 6  
Short Hand  
Reporter } 50. 16.  
House & Sessions } 20. 6.  
Fees

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield } 57. 16. 8  
& Son's charges -  
Total - £ 322. 13. 2

Expences attending an  
Appeal to the House of  
Lords by the Assignees of  
Marsh Stacey & Co. founded  
on the special verdict given  
in the action brought in  
the name of W<sup>m</sup> Keating.  
consequent on the forgery of  
Henry Taunton - } 322. 13. 2

Expences Attendant on } - 27. 11. 8  
3 Suits at Common Law

Carried forward - £ 657. 8. 3



211A

27 Nov. 1833

Brought forward — £ 657.8.3

Expenses attendant on Criminal Prosecutions against 11 Persons, all of whom have been convicted. viz.

1 For forging a Cheque } £ 136.8.10  
on the Bank —

1 For forging a Dividend } 109.11.2  
Ordering Case of Bankers<sup>aptm</sup> —

forged Notes

1197.14.6

9 For uttering and having  
in possession forged  
Notes — } 1197.14.6

1443.14.6

11

Of the latter, the expense of prosecuting, Carter P. Smith, alone amounts to £ 506.16.5. in consequence of the heavy payments to Police Officers, Constables, Witnesses, &c.

Expenses attendant on the measures taken to detect the Utterers of forged Notes &c. — } 130.6.7

Amount of the Solicitors' Bills

30 Sep. 1831 £ 812.13.3

Receipts — 312.9.11

Balance £ 500.3.4

31 Mar. 1832 £ 1339.5.5

Receipts — 188.9.8

Balance £ 1150.15.9

30 Sep. 1832 £ 1187.16.10

Receipts — 117.5.10

Balance £ 1070.11.0

31 Mar. 1833 £ 1854.16.11

Receipts — 67.0.7

Balance £ 1787.16.4

D<sup>o</sup> respecting the negotiation with His Majesty's Government for a renewal of the Charter and the Proceedings in Parliament consequent thereon. — } 394.3.8

General Business — p 206.3.2

Deduct Money received by the Solicitors for Costs &c. — } 190.2.0

Balance due to the Solicitors £ 2641.14.2

In



In the foregoing Amount of £2831.16.2  
 the charge for Drawing Briefs &c. and  
 other general Business is — £743.13.7  
 For Personal attendance — 506.9.6  
 For Money paid by the  
 Solicitors. viz:

In Fees to Counsel — £497.18.6

Incidentals. viz.

Such as are incurred on  
 attending Prosecutions, } 1083.14.7  
 Tavern Bills, Payments  
 to Agents, Witnesses, &c. &c. }

1581.13.1

£2831.16.2

The great increase in the  
 Amount of the present Bill on  
 that of the last half year, appears  
 to arise from,

The Expenses consequent } £322. —  
 on Tauntleroy's Forgeries.

The Payments only in the } 301. —  
 Prosecution of Foster & Smith

The Renewal of the Charter — 394. —

Together — £1017

The Solicitors charge for the  
 Common Business of the Establish-  
 ment, differs very little in amount  
 from the last and former Bills,  
 and the rate of charge for the Cri-  
 minal Prosecutions appears to be  
 in conformity with that now allow-  
 ed by the Court.

dis-



27<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1833

Report on the  
Solicitors' Bill  
concluded.

The Committee therefore recom-  
mend that the Sum of £2641.11s.2  
be paid to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son,  
being the Balance of their present  
Bill for Law Charges to the 30<sup>th</sup>  
Sept<sup>r</sup> last.

(Signed)

Sam. Hibbert

Chairman.

Bank of England

27<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1833.

A Letter Dated 23 Nov. 1833

John Dewhurst, Constable of Wigan,  
reports on Parties circulating forged  
£5. Bank of England Notes in that  
Neighbourhood, and suggesting  
that on measures being taken  
the Parties might be detected.

Resolved,

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
to enquire and  
report thereon

That Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
and Son do make some enquiry  
about the Writer of this Letter,  
as well as the facts alluded to  
by him, and that they report the  
Result.



18<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1833

214

Wednesday 18<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1833

Present,

M<sup>r</sup> Libbert

M<sup>r</sup> Porcher

Letter from  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
Son on the ap-  
plication of  
John Dewhurst  
the Constable of  
Wigan

Letters from Mess<sup>rs</sup>  
Freshfield Son, in reference to  
the Minute of the last meeting,  
directing them to make enquiry  
and report on a Letter of John  
Dewhurst, Constable of Wigan,  
as to the circulation of forged  
£5. Notes in that Neighbourhood  
having been read,

Resolved

The Informati-  
on not deserving  
attention.

That the Committee  
do not consider the information  
contained therein as deserving  
of attention.

4

dis-



Wednesday 1<sup>st</sup> January 1834

Present

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Wigram.

The Proceedings of  
the two last Committees were  
read.

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered, viz:

Nathl. Perkinshaw's  
request

Nathaniel Perkinshaw of  
Lamberwell Wharf, having been applied  
to for the payment of the balance of his  
debt due to the Bank amounting to  
£143.13. requests the Bank will  
receive payment of the same by equal  
instalments at 4 and 6 months, with  
interest.

agreed to.

The same was agreed to.

Rich<sup>d</sup>. Johnson  
Discounters of a Bill

Richard Johnson of 55 Bread  
Street Cheap-side, Warehouseman, Discounters  
of a Bill for £191. 15. overdue, on being  
applied to for payment, having stated his  
total inability at present to take it up,

T. Smith  
Stor

also  
R<sup>d</sup> T. Smith of 55 Bread Street  
cheap-side



1 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834

Both parties

to be  
proceeded against

James Simes  
requests further  
indulgence

granted till 31 Jan<sup>y</sup>.

Lewis & Dutton  
applied to  
without effect

deferred

George Luck  
requests further  
time

application referred  
to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield

Acceptors of the above Bill

216

Cheapside, Warehousemen, have been  
applied to for payment, without effect,  
**Resolved,**

That it be referred to Mess<sup>rs</sup>  
Freshfield and Son, to proceed in the usual  
way against both parties.

James Simes of 104 Wood Street  
Cheapside, Warehouseman, requests the  
Bank will grant him further indulgence  
until the beginning of next year for the  
payment of his overdue instalment of  
£50.

The Committee granted further  
indulgence till the 31<sup>st</sup> January.

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Lewis and Dutton  
of Motton under edge, have been applied to  
for payment of the interest due to the  
Bank amounting to £90. 2. 8 without  
effect.

The consideration of the same was deferred.

The indulgence granted to George  
Luck of Rotherhithe for the payment of  
his balance due to the Bank amounting to  
£138. 17. 5 having expired, and a request  
having been made for further time to enable  
him to recover from his severe losses,

**Resolved,**

That the said application

dis-



217  
1<sup>st</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834

Mr. T. Fitch  
request that their  
original composition  
may be reduced from  
9 to 7 in the £.

be referred to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son.

Mr. T. Fitch of 66 Bishopsgate  
Street, Cheesemongers, Acceptors of £  
Bills amounting to £1057. 9. 8. of whom  
the Committee on the 13<sup>th</sup> June last,  
agreed to accept a composition of 9/ in  
the £, having paid 4s. in the £, in part  
of the above composition, now request  
the Bank will consent with their other  
creditors to receive 3s. in the £. more,  
reducing the original composition to 7/ in  
the £, the 3s. to be paid by 4 equal  
instalments at 2, 4, 6 and 12 months,  
the 2 last to be secured by Mr. Christ<sup>y</sup>  
Wm. Morgan of Reading.

Mr. Lawrence to  
communicate with  
Mr. Capper on this case

Mr. Lawrence was directed to  
communicate with Mr. Capper who  
objects to come into this arrangement.

Joshua Freeman's  
Disbursements  
ordered payment

Joshua Freeman's Disbursements  
for the month of November (£1. 19. -)  
for collecting evidence in consequence of  
the forgery of a Transfer of Stock by William  
Cole, were recommended to the Governor  
for payment.

Chas. Christmas's  
Disbursements  
ordered payment.

Charles Christmas's Disbursements  
for the month of June last (-. 12. 4) for  
tracing Votes etc<sup>a</sup> were also recommended to  
the Governor for payment.



9<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834

218

Thursday 9<sup>th</sup> January 1834.

Present,

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List  
was laid before the Committee  
and considered, viz:

Messrs Lewis & Dutton

Lewis & Dutton's

of Wotton-under-edge, in reply to  
an application for payment of  
their Interest due to the Bank,  
amounting to £95.2.8, - state  
that one of the firm will be in  
Town in the course of two or three  
weeks, when arrangements will  
be made for the settlement of the  
Amount.

proposition

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

John Warren of Camden

John Warren

Street, Camden Town, Acceptor of  
a Bill for £462.18.7, over and  
dis-



9<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834 discounted with J. & H. C. White,  
requests the indulgence of the Bank  
for a few months.

to have indul-  
gence on lodging  
satisfactory  
Security

The Committee agreed to give  
M<sup>r</sup> Warren 3 months indulgence  
upon satisfactory Security being  
lodged.

G. & T. Fitch, of 66 Bishop's  
gate Street, again request the Bank  
will agree with their other  
Creditors to receive  $\frac{3}{4}$  in the £.  
more, reducing the original com-  
position to  $\frac{7}{8}$  in the £. - the  $\frac{3}{4}$   
to be paid by 4 equal instalments  
at 2. 4. 6 & 12 months, the 2 last  
to be secured by M<sup>r</sup> Christopher  
Will<sup>m</sup> Morgan of Reading.

request

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

In the case of Richard  
Rich<sup>d</sup> Paines of the Stingo Brewery  
Paddington, Acceptor of 3 Bills  
amounting to £1558. 19. 2. to  
whose letter of licence for 18 months  
the Committee agreed on 27<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup>  
1831, — Mess<sup>rs</sup> Swain, Stevens & Co.  
requests that request the Bank will deviate from  
their usual practice, and consent  
to



The Bank will sign the Deed of Release with  
 sign his deed of the other Creditors; - a Mr. Fox  
 Release  
 Having purchased the remaining  
 Plant &c. of his Estate, which the  
 Trustees and other Creditors consi-  
 der very advantageous to their  
 Interest

### Resolved

The Arrangement That the Bank will not  
 not to be disturbed disturb the arrangement.

A Report of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
 and Son was presented by Mr.  
 Lawrence. viz:

New Bank Building  
 January 8<sup>th</sup> 1834

Mass<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
 Son Report on  
 The Cases of  
 R & T. Smith.  
 Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield beg to  
 Report the following Answers  
 received from the under mentioned  
 Persons to whom application was  
 made pursuant to the minute  
 of the Committee.

R & T. Smith.  
 Mess<sup>rs</sup> R. & T. Smith  
 of Broad Street, Acceptors  
 of a Bill for £191.15.-  
 Rick<sup>d</sup> Johnson  
 Mr Rick Johnson  
 of the same Place  
 Discounter of the  
 same Bill

Two Letters  
 received from  
 them satd 3<sup>d</sup>  
 and 6<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup>  
 sent herewith.

Cher



9<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834and  
George Luck

George Luck of  
"Cotterhithe Debtor  
"in the Sum of  
"£138..17..5. the Ba-  
"lance of a Bill  
"for £588..18..8. -

Called and stated  
that at the present  
time he was quite  
unable to pay the  
Amount, but would  
endeavour to procure  
some respectable Person  
to join him in a  
Bill payable at 3 or 6  
Months, for the  
Amount due with  
Interest, at all events  
would make some  
proposition in a  
few days. "

## Resolved

Time allowed  
to A. & T. Smith

That time be allowed  
to Mess<sup>rs</sup> R. & T. Smith.

Rich<sup>d</sup> Johnson  
to be proceeded  
against.

That Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
proceed against Rich<sup>d</sup> Johnson. &

George Luck  
to make his pro-  
position.

That the Committee await  
the proposition of George Luck.

Depositions  
ag<sup>t</sup> W<sup>m</sup> Williams

Depositions were read  
against William Williams for  
uttering two forged £10. Bank  
of England Notes in Berkshire

Ordered, That the said William  
Williams be prosecuted.

prosecuted



22<sup>nd</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834

222

Wednesday 22<sup>nd</sup> January 1834

Present

M<sup>r</sup>. Hibbert

M<sup>r</sup>. Wigram

M<sup>r</sup>. Lawrence presents the following statement from Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield & Son. viz:

"New Bank Buildings  
" 21<sup>st</sup> January 1834

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield  
recommend the  
Bank Seal  
being affixed to  
a Deed of  
Compromise prepared  
on behalf of the  
Assignees of  
Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Jacob

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield beg to  
report that the negociation with  
the Assignees of the Estate of John  
and William Jacob, referred to in  
their Report in February 1833 is now  
on the eve of completion, and the  
Assignees will be ready to pay  
over to Mess<sup>rs</sup>. F. the amount  
of compromise in exchange for  
the Deed of release prepared on  
behalf of the Assignees, on the  
same being duly executed by all  
the Bill Holders. The Amount  
of the Compromise payable to the  
Bank from which the Commission  
agreed to be paid to M<sup>r</sup>. Willion  
and a rateable proportion of the  
Law Expenses has to be deducted  
there



22<sup>nd</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834 £740.19.4½ being 2/6 in the pound  
on £5927.15. — The full amount of  
the Bills held by the Bank. —  
The Bank received from the Estate  
of John and William Jacob in  
the year 1810 — 4/6. in the pound  
on their debt which they retain. —  
The Deed of Compromise has been  
executed by nearly all the Creditors  
and Mess<sup>rs</sup> F. beg to recommend  
its being sealed by the Bank at  
the next Court.

The fixing of the  
Bank Seal to the Deed  
objected to

In taking the foregoing Report  
into consideration the Committee  
objected to departing from their  
customary practice by recommending  
the fixing of the Seal of the Bank  
to the Deed. — And Mr. Lawrence  
having ascertained from Mr. James  
Freshfield that there would be  
no objection to dispensing with  
the Seal in this case,

The said Deed  
to be signed by the  
Secy in the usual way

The Committee agreed to the  
Report and directed that the Deed  
of Compromise be signed by the  
Secretary in the usual way.



<sup>th</sup>  
29. Jan. 1834

224

Wednesday 29<sup>th</sup> Jan. 1834

Present

Mr. Herbert

Mr. Porcher

The Proceedings of  
the two last Committees were  
read. —

Chas. Andrew  
Thomson's application  
for relief

A renewed application  
from Charles Andrew Thomson  
formerly a Discounter with the  
Bank, under the firm P. Thomson  
and Son, for some relief, having  
been read,

Mr. Lawrence to  
enquire of the Assignees

Mr. Lawrence was di-  
rected to enquire of the Assignees  
why they refused to give Mr.  
Thomson the benefit of the last  
discretionary clause in the Act  
6 Geo. 4 Cap. 16. Sec. 128.

Mr. Lawrence's  
List.

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and con-  
sidered viz:

Henry Dale  
offers

Henry Dale of 13 Stepney Causeway,  
Drawer of a promissory note for £210  
overdue, and another for £180, not yet  
another



29 Jan: 1834  
offers 2/6 in the L.

arrived at maturity, discounted with Thomas Wooster and Son, regrets his total inability to take them up, and states that he is only Clerk to a Coal Factor, at a salary of £150 per annum, with a wife and seven children to support, he is however authorized to offer the Bank a composition of 2/6<sup>d</sup> in the L. by the kindness of a friend who is anxious to save his family from ruin, he entreats the Bank to accept the offer.

agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

The Committee proceeded with the examination of Mr. Lawrence's books containing the accounts of the Discounted Bills and Notes Unpaid, and having completed the same, agreed on the following Report to the Court. viz:

Report on the  
Discounted Bills  
and Notes unpaid

The Committee for Law Suits  
Report to the Court of Directors.

That the Committee have investigated the accounts of the Overdue Discounted Bills and Notes Unpaid, and they beg to submit to the Court the following particulars viz:

That the Balance of the Outstanding Debt on the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> last was £20,549. 3. 10.

That



29 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1831

London  
Accounts

226

That the sum of £568. 5. has been received in the last half year on the accounts of the Bankrupts or Insolvents, the balances of which had from time to time been carried to the debit of the account of Profit and Loss; - which sum has been placed to the credit of that account in the General Ledger.

The Balance on the 30<sup>th</sup> June last of the accounts which accumulated in the half year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> July 1831, was . . . . . £7577. 2. 9

On which has been received }  
up to 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> last. . . . . } 2137. . 8

leaving the balance . . . . . 5240. 2. 1

the whole of which is expected to be received.

The Balance on the 30<sup>th</sup> June last of the accounts which accumulated in the half year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1832, was . . . . . £15241. 8. 10

On which has been received }  
up to 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> last. . . . . } 2102. . 3

leaving the balance . . . . . 13139. 8. 7

it is also expected that the whole of this balance will be received

The Balance on the 30<sup>th</sup> June  
then



29 Jan: 1834

last of the accounts which accumulated  
in the five months ending the 30<sup>th</sup> June 1832,  
Report contd. was £1602. 12. 2

On which has been received }  
London Accounts up to 31 Decr last. . . . . } 490. 18. 7  
leaving the balance . . . . . 1111. 13. 7

continued.

One half of which is recommended  
to be carried to the debit of the } 555. 16. 9  
account of Profit & Loss, being }

Balance remaining £ 555. 16. 10

In the half year ending  
the 31<sup>st</sup> December 1832, no Bills  
were dishonored.

The Balance on the 30<sup>th</sup> June  
last of the accounts which accumulated in  
the half year ending at that date was  
£6417. 14. 6  
on which has been received }  
up to 31<sup>st</sup> Decr last. } 6014. 8. 6  
leaving the balance £ 403. 6. —

which, it is expected, will be paid in full.

There are two New Accounts of  
Insolvents since the 30<sup>th</sup> June last, whose  
debts amount to £654. 13. 7 on which  
nothing has yet been received, and being of  
so recent a date, the Comm<sup>ee</sup> recommend  
that they remain as they are for the  
present.

Branches



29 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834

228

Branches

The Committee have also to Report to the Court,

That the sum of £289. 18. 4<sup>s</sup> has been received in the last half year on the accounts of the Bankrupts or Insolvents at the Branches, the balances of which had from time to time been carried to the debit of the account of Profit and Loss; which sum has been placed to the credit of that account in the General Ledger.

That the Balance of the Outstanding Debt at the Branches on the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> last, was . . . . £ 2481. 5. 1  
viz:

Birmingham The balance of Debt at the Birmingham Branch which had accumulated in two years ending 31 Dec<sup>r</sup> 1832. . . . . 522. 17. 7

Norwich The balance of Debt at the Norwich Branch between the 31 July 1831 and the 30 June 1832. . . . . 1858. 8. 1

Bristol The balance of Debt on the 30<sup>th</sup> June last at the Bristol Branch 100. . . . .  
£ 2481. 5. 1

As nothing has since been received, or very little expected to be received on either



29 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834  
Report contd.  
Branch Bank  
Accounts contd.

either of the above accounts, excepting the small sum at the Bristol Branch, —  
The Committee recommend that the said Balance of £2481. 5. 1 be carried to the debit of the account of Profit and Loss.

The Committee have the satisfaction to report that there has been no new account of debt by dishonored Bills at either of the Branches during the last half year.

Mem: The above Report  
was agreed to in Court  
30 Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834

(Signed) Sam<sup>l</sup>. Hibbert  
Chairman.  
Bank of England,  
29<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1834.

4

12 Feb. 1834

Wednesday the 12<sup>th</sup> February 1834.

Present

M<sup>r</sup>. Hibbert

M<sup>r</sup>. Porcher.

The proceedings of the last Committee were read.

M<sup>r</sup>. Lawrence's List was laid before the Committee and considered, viz:

Arthur C. Allen's  
Solicitors request

In the case of Arthur C. Allen, a Bankrupt, against whose Estate the Bank



12 Feb. 1831,

The Bank to  
concur with his  
other Creditors in  
dividing 2 former  
dividends, giving  
up the whole of the  
last to Mr. Lynd

Mr. Lawrence to  
ascertain the expense  
of Mr. Ward and Lynd  
in prosecuting the  
claim referred to.

The request of  
Henry Y. Everitt  
to accept 3% in the £.

agreed to

230.  
Bank proved £4287. 13. 6, and upon  
which a third dividend of  $3\frac{1}{5}\frac{1}{2}$  in the £.  
amounting to £741. 8. 2 was received in  
February 1833, and a fourth and final  
dividend now declared of 2% in the £.  
amounting to £428. 15. 4. — Messrs  
Dunn and Wordsworth of Threadneedle  
Street, Solicitors, request the Bank will  
concur with the majority of the Creditors  
in dividing the above two dividends with  
Mr. Lynd, the Surviving Assignee, or  
agree to give him up the whole of the last  
dividend of 2% in the £.

The Committee directed Mr.  
Lawrence to ascertain what has been  
the whole expense incurred by Messrs  
Ward and Lynd in prosecuting the  
claim referred to.

Messrs Le Blanc Oliver and Co.  
of New Bridge Street, Solicitors, of Henry  
Y. Everitt of Red Cross Wharf, Upper  
Thames Street, Acceptor of six Bills  
amounting to £1396. 14. 8, discounted  
with Marmaduke Thompson, request  
the Bank will agree with alderman Scholey  
and Messrs Law and Nicholls, to accept  
a composition of 3% in the £.

The Committee agreed thereto.

Impress



12 Feb: 1834

Mr Wm Lawrence's  
report on Chas. A.  
Thomson's application  
for relief

In reference to a Minute of the last Committee relating to Mr. Charles Andrew Thomson's application for relief, Mr. Lawrence acquainted the Committee that he had in pursuance of their directions, enquired of the Solicitor to the Assignees, "why they did not give Mr. Thomson the benefit of a discretionary clause in the 'last Bankrupt Act'". The statement of the Assignees is that the Balance Sheet given in at the time of Bankruptcy made it appear that there was more than 20% in the £; upon which the Assignees agreed to allow Mr. Thomson £1000 per annum, but upon their endeavouring to realise the Assets, it was found from various causes that it could not be done without immense sacrifice, which caused Mr. Thomson to quarrel with them, the consequence was that actions were brought by Mr. Stely and others against the Assignees, for taking what they considered a preference in their own favor, Mr. Thomson joining Mr. Stely, which caused the Assignees to discontinue his allowance, and to oppose him in every way, the Assignees had previously signed his certificate, as had all his other creditors.

Resolved,

That considering the allowance originally made to Mr. Thomson was

Solici  
in consid?  
to



12 Feb<sup>y</sup> 1834

have been already  
sufficiently paid

232  
fixed on the scale of a 20<sup>th</sup> dividend, whereas  
the dividend actually recovered has been  
only 9/16 in the £. The Committee are of  
opinion that Mr. Thomson has already  
been sufficiently paid.

4

13<sup>th</sup> March  
1834

Thursday 13<sup>th</sup> March 1834

Present

• Mr. Hibbert

• Mr. Porcher

• Mr. Wigram

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

A Letter dat. 4. Mar. 1834

Wm. Hilcy  
applies for further  
remuneration -  
under the provisions  
of William  
Williams.

was read from William Hilcy a  
Constable of Abingdon, complaining  
that the allowance made to him,  
for his trouble and loss of time, in  
apprehending and attending on the  
trial of William Williams at  
Reading for uttering forged Notes,  
was an inadequate remuneration;  
and soliciting a further recompence.

Mess<sup>rs</sup>



13 Mar. 1834

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Tinsford's Report on this claim was also read, by which it appeared, that Mr. Hiley had been fully remunerated both for his travelling expenses and loss of time, and that in their opinion, he can have no further claim on the Bank. It was therefore

Resolved,

That no further allowance Mr<sup>l</sup> Hiley to be made to the said William Hiley have no further in respect of the apprehension and allowance prosecution of William Williams.

George Willion of Queen's Place Kennington of the late Longsdon & Willion firm of Longsdon & Willion, requests the Bank will contribute as the other Creditors have done, applies for remuneration in recovering a debt from the Estate of J. & W. Jacobs the necessary documents which enabled him to recover for the Creditors a large Sum from the Estate of J. & W. Jacobs.

£12.12. to be given G. Willion

The Committee direct, that Twelve guineas be given, in consideration of the exertions and expenses of Mr. Longsdon

Charles



Charles Fry's  
renewed offer to  
purchase the  
Claims of the  
Bank on the  
Estates of J. Badams,  
R. L. Chance &  
J. Beddome.

Charles Fry of the Bolivar Mining  
Association, begs leave to renew his offer  
of 15% in the £. — as a purchase of the  
Claims of the Bank, on the Estates of  
J. Badams, R. L. Chance & J. Beddome,  
amounting to £6,500. modified as  
follows. viz:

4%	in the £	£1280	in cash.
2%	"	640	" 1 Year
2%	"	640	" 2 "
2%	"	640	" 4 "
2%	"	640	" 5 "
3%	"	960	" 6 "

with Interest at 5% Cent. per Ann.  
on these Instalments, for the additional  
time above two years.

was declined

Mr Fry's offer was declined.

J. R. Watkins  
represents his  
Total inability  
to pay his acceptance  
of £179.8. —

J. R. Watkins of Hereford,  
Acceptor of a Bill for £179.8. overdue,  
discounted by Hemmings & Monkhouse,  
states his total inability to pay  
the Amount, and that the attempt  
to enforce its payment will ruin  
him, without any beneficial result  
to the Bank.

The Case postponed.

The consideration of this Case  
was postponed.

In



13 Mar. 1834

In reference to the Minute of  
the 12<sup>th</sup> February,

A Report of Henry Woodsworth of Thread-needle Street, Solicitor, states that the Costs & expenses of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Ward & Lyne's costs & expenses, over and above those taxed and allowed under the Bankruptcy of A. C. Allen, to have amounted to £161. - independent of the £1000. paid to the Legatee in M<sup>r</sup> Allen's Will to enable him to give evidence.

Resolved,

That the Bank will allow £200. to be retained by Mess<sup>rs</sup> Ward & Lyne out of the final Dividend for extra expenses of the extra expenses incurred by them.

J. C. Blake of 11, Essex Street Strand, Solicitor, on the offer of a compromise of Sir G. L. Thomas, offers a sum of £2500. as a compromise as connected with the Bank's Claim on the Baronet arising from his acceptance for Mess<sup>rs</sup> Manning & Anderson. £6500. on which £5000. was advanced by way of Loan to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Manning & Anderson. Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield



13<sup>th</sup> Mar: 1834 Opinion accompanies the proposition, and is to the effect, that the Sum offered is not, under all the circumstances, sufficient. The subject having been fully considered, the Committee agreed,

That the whole claim on Sir G. Thomas being covered by Securities which will be eventually available, the Bank would not be justified in accepting a composition of less than 15% in the £.

The Bank would not be justified in accepting a composition of less than 15% in the £.

27<sup>th</sup> March  
1834

Thursday 27<sup>th</sup> March 1834

Present,

Mr. Hibbert

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

Mr. Grenfell.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List was laid before the Committee and considered, viz:

Thomas



27<sup>th</sup> March  
1831

Thomas Todd  
Esq. in paying  
the Balance of  
their debt, request

that the Interest for Interest, in consideration of  
may be abated. the great sacrifice they have made  
to pay 20% in the L.

Not complied  
with, but 4  
p<sup>er</sup> Cent. Interest  
to be charged.

Resolved, That this application  
cannot be complied with; but  
that 4 p<sup>er</sup> Cent. Interest be charged.

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Hancock & Chilman,  
of Upper Thames Street, Coal  
Merchants, Drawers of four pro-  
missory Notes amounting to £790  
overdue, discounted with Thomas  
Wooster & Son, request the Bank  
will consent with the other  
Bill Holders to accept a Com-  
position of 7% in the L. in cash.

must exhibit  
a statement of  
their affairs

Mr. Lawrence was directed  
to inform the Applicants that  
they must exhibit a statement  
of their affairs.

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Meredith  
& Gardner

Meredith & Gardner of  
Cheltenham, Acceptors of a Bill for



£172<sup>0</sup>1. — discounted with Hemming & Monkhouse, having been applied to for payment, have replied through Mr. J. W. Bevil of Cheltenham Account<sup>t</sup>. who states that he had effected a Composition with their Creditors of 6/- in the £. to which Hemming & Monkhouse had agreed, and he now requests that the Bank will consent thereto.

Offer a composition of 6/- in the £. —

A Statement of their affairs and a list of the Creditors who signed the Composition to be produced

In this case, the Committee directed, that the Parties must submit the Statement of their Affairs and a list of the Creditors who signed the Composition.

Ch<sup>s</sup>. And<sup>rs</sup>. Thomson submits that his application be reconsidered.

Charles Andrew Thomson of the late firm of J. P. Thomson & Son, requests the Bank will reconsider his application of the 12<sup>th</sup> February last on which it was

Resolved,

deliberately reconsidered and no alteration in the Committee's former decision to be made

That after a deliberate reconsideration of this Case, the Committee can see no reason for altering their former decision upon it.

W. Hus



239

27<sup>th</sup> March

Joshua Freeman's  
disbursements  
ordered Payment

1834

Joshua Freeman's Disbursements  
amounting to £4..14..6, on attending  
the Prosecution of William Williams  
at Reading, - were recommended  
to the Governor for Payment.

2<sup>nd</sup> April

1834

Wednesday 2<sup>nd</sup> April 1834

Present

Mr. Libbeat

Mr. Porcher

Mr. Wigram

Mr. Grenfell

The Proceedings of  
the last Committee were read

Mr. Lawrence reported  
that Robinson Parker of Wells,  
Robinson Parker, Sheriff, owner, Acceptor of 6 Bills  
entreated the Bank  
to take £500. -  
amounting to £1898..15..6 discounted  
with James Young, at the Norwich  
Branch Bank, having paid two  
of the above Bills amounting to  
£300. in full with Interest, and  
a composition of 11% in the £.

and

on



2<sup>nd</sup> April

1834

240

to  
relinquish the  
Mortgage

on another for £483..15..6. and also  
secured by mortgage the remaining  
three Bills amounting to £1115..  
now humbly entreats the Bank  
to accept £500. and relinquish the  
Mortgage, thus saving his Family  
from Ruin.

further infor-  
mation to be  
obtained

The Committee directed Mr.  
Lawrence to procure information  
as to the real value of the Securities  
and as to the circumstances of Mr.  
Robinson Parker. -

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
report on Mess<sup>rs</sup>  
R. & F. Smith

Mr Lawrence laid before  
the Committee a Report from  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield, with an  
accompanying Statement received  
from the Solicitor of Mess<sup>rs</sup> R. &  
F. Smith of 55 Broad Street Cheapside  
Warehousemen, to whom application  
had been made for payment of a  
Bill for £191..15. - drawn by  
Richard Johnson upon them.

unsatisfactory

and

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield considered  
the Statement as far as they could  
understand it, as very unsatisfactory,  
the Debts amount to £11079. and the  
liabilities (which Mess<sup>rs</sup> F. suppose

mean



2<sup>nd</sup> April 1834

means accommodation Paper) to £6285. — and no assets whatever connected with the trade of the Insolvents.

declined

The Committee declined entertaining the Statement produced

Ch<sup>s</sup> Andrew Thomson's renewed application again considered

Mr. Charles Andrew Thomson

Having again renewed his application for Relief, the following brief Statement of facts in connection therewith were laid before the Committee by Mr. Lawrence viz: Patrick Thomson & Son carried on very extensive business as Wine Merchants, but became Bankrupts in 1821. Upon their Accounts being made up, there appeared on the face of them much more than 20<sup>l</sup> in the L. — upon which the Creditors agreed to allow the Bankrupts £1000. p. Ann. for their Assistance in winding up the affairs: at the end of six months however, the Services of the Bankrupts were dispensed with, in consequence of the Assignees finding, that the Assets were put down at the Cost Price, and that, from the depreciation of



of Property, they would not realize more than one half. Mr. Wadson, the Solicitor for years to the Commission, is dead, and the present one, Mr. Tilson does not know whether the Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Thomson's furniture was given up to them or not, no record of any such gift appearing on the Proceedings: - all the allowances made to them seem to have been the sums of £500. & £100. over and above the sum of £250. especially given to cover the expences of the funeral &c. of Mr. Patrick Thomson.

A Question to  
Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield  
as to the allowance  
to a  
Bankrupt.

To a Question put to Mess<sup>rs</sup>.  
Freshfield, "whether they have  
ever known the principle in  
the nature of allowance given to  
a Bankrupt, he having at the  
time, an allowance for managing  
the estate? -

answered

An Answer was  
returned in the negative, and

The Committee having fully  
The Committee deliberated on all the circumstances  
former decisions connected with the case, confirmed  
on this case con- their last as well as former decisions  
firmed thereon; and

and

Resolved



2<sup>nd</sup> April

1834

## Resolved

and

The Chairman  
to communicate  
the same to the  
Court.

That the matter be referred  
for the decision of the Court of  
Directors and that the Chairman  
be requested to communicate to the  
Court, that this Committee had  
declined to comply with Mr.  
Thomson's Application for relief

Mem: The foregoing communication having  
been made to the Court on the 3<sup>rd</sup>  
April 1834. "The Court approved thereof."

The following Report from  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield and Son was  
read. viz:

24<sup>th</sup> March 1834

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield's  
Report on the  
Case of Geo Reid  
Esq. — and par-  
ticularly in  
reference to the  
Security of Lord  
Rivers

The Bank of England are  
Holders of Bills amounting to  
£6,500, drawn by Geo: Reid & Co  
upon Woodbridge & Co. — Woodbridge & Co.  
had accepted the <sup>above</sup> Bills and others  
amounting in all to £32,000. for the  
accommodation of Geo: Reid & Co.  
and held certain Securities on Lord  
Rivers' Plantations for guaran-  
teeing the Amount.

It was arranged that  
Woodbridge & Co. should pay a com-  
position of  $\frac{3}{4}$  in the £. — upon  
these



2<sup>nd</sup> April  
1834

247

These Bills, and assign over the Securities held by them in trust, in the first place for securing the payment of these Bills and afterwards for securing other Creditors of George Reid & Co. to a very large amount.

The Principal Estates were so entailed that Lord Rivers had no power to mortgage them — The Security however comprised some Lands and Negroes not entailed of small comparative value, and Lord Rivers covenanted that his Son should mortgage the entailed Estates and two solvent Sureties joined in covenanting to pay £10,000. if the young Lord Rivers should fail to grant the Mortgage.

The present Lord Rivers has refused to confirm the Mortgage, The Security therefore consists of the Covenant of the Sureties to the extent of £10,000. and of the Lands and Negroes actually charged which we understand to be of very inferior value.

A



2<sup>nd</sup> April

1834

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Fushfield;  
Reports con-  
tinued.

A negotiation has been proceeding between the Creditors and the Representatives of Lord Rivers for a compromise, but the difficulty arises from the large class of postponed Creditors of Geo. Reid & Co. who can never receive any thing and therefore will never consent to any compromise. — To enable the first Creditors however to receive some benefit from the security, it has been proposed by Mess<sup>rs</sup> Esdaile and Mess<sup>rs</sup> Sandersons who together with the Bank hold all the first class of Bills, that they shall receive £12,000. from Lord Rivers and his Sureties in full discharge of their claims leaving them to settle with the subsequent Creditors as they shall think fit.

Lord Rivers offers £11,000. and Mess<sup>rs</sup> Esdaile & Sandersons are disposed to take it rather than put an end to the negotiation. The Bank have received 5% in the £. on the Bills, and this would give about 6% more, — and it appears



to us that such a Settlement would be advantageous to the Bank, as we doubt whether by any hostile proceedings more would be obtained.

The case postponed

The Consideration of this Case was postponed.

10<sup>th</sup> April  
1834

"At a Court of Directors at the  
"Bank on Thursday the 10<sup>th</sup> April  
1834

"The following Gentlemen  
"were appointed (for the Year ensuing)  
"A Committee for Law Suits, for  
"the Purpose of ordering what  
"Prosecutions shall be commenced,  
"and giving such directions for the  
"management of them and the  
"retaining of Counsel, and preferring  
"Indictments, as they may judge  
"expedient, and also to consider  
"the state of the unpaid Bills  
"and Notes agreeably to the  
"Recommendation of the Committee  
"and approved by the Court the  
"24<sup>th</sup> March 1808."

"M<sup>r</sup> Curtis      "M<sup>r</sup> Morris  
"M<sup>r</sup> Cotton      "M<sup>r</sup> Gower  
"John Watts



17<sup>th</sup> April  
1834

Thursday 17. April 1834

Present,

Mr. Curtis.

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read

The Case of Geo.  
Reid & Co.

again deferred

The Postponed Case of  
George Reid & Co. at the last  
meeting, in reference to the  
Security of Lord Rivers, was  
again deferred consideration.

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz.

The Case of Hancock & Chilman  
Hancock and Chilman's <sup>pro</sup>position of 7%  
in the £. - in  
cash, accepted  
of Upper Thames Street, Coal  
Merchants, drawers of four Promis-  
sory Notes amounting to £790. dis-  
counted with Wooster & Son, - having  
been postponed on the 27<sup>th</sup> Ult<sup>o</sup>. for  
the production of certain papers,  
and which being now exhibited,

It was agreed to accept the  
composition of 7% in the £. -  
in cash. -

Meredith



Meredith and  
Gardner's offer of  
 $\frac{4}{6}$  in the £

Meredith and Gardner of Chel-  
tenham, Acceptors of a Bill for £172.11.  
discounted with Hemming & Montague  
whose case was also postponed on  
the 27<sup>th</sup> ulto for the production  
of Papers now submitted, - offer  
a reduced composition of  $\frac{4}{6}$  in  
the £, instead of  $\frac{6}{8}$  as at first  
proposed.

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

Geo. Luck's  
proposition  
respecting his  
Balance

George Luck of Rothbury, the  
requests the Bank will receive  
Payment of his Balance due  
amounting to £131.11.4. - by his  
four acceptances of 2, 5, 8, and 11  
months.

agreed to

The same was agreed to

L and P. Goldney's  
proposition

L and P. Goldney of Regent  
Street, Linen Drapers, Acceptors  
of 4 Bills amounting to £1927.5.  
overdue, and others not yet arrived  
at maturity, amounting to £505.10.  
request the Bank will consent with  
their other Creditors to a Deed of Covenant,  
to pay 20% in the £. with Interest, at 4.  
8 and 12 months

agreed to.

The same was agreed to



249<sup>th</sup>  
30<sup>th</sup> April 1834

<sup>th</sup>  
Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> April 1834

Present,

M<sup>r</sup>. Curtis

M<sup>r</sup>. Cotton

M<sup>r</sup>. Morris.

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

M<sup>r</sup>. Freshfield attended  
the Committee. and

The offer of Lord  
Rivers  
In reference to a Minute  
of the Committee of the 21<sup>st</sup> Instant  
containing an offer of Lord Rivers  
in respect of Securities held as  
a guarantee for certain Bills  
drawn by George Reid & Co. upon  
and accepted by Woodbridge & Co.  
it was.

Resolved,

That the Chairman be  
authorized to concur with Mess<sup>rs</sup>.  
accepted on the Esdaile & Co. and Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Sanderson  
Terms proposed & Co. to accept £11,000. proportionally  
on the terms proposed, provided that  
the sum is paid free from any deduc-  
tion for Law Charges or otherwise,  
connected with the transaction in  
any manner.

In



30<sup>th</sup> April  
1834

In Mr. Lawrence's Paper,

250

Thomas Todd & Co. of  
Fenchurch Street, Merchants,  
Discounters of 2 Bills amounting to  
£4000. having paid £3500. now  
offer to discharge the balance, and Mr.  
John Simpson their Inspector requests  
the Bank will agree with their  
other Creditors to forego their claim  
for Interest, in consideration of the  
great sacrifice they have made to  
pay 20% in the £. —

Interest remitted

The same was agreed to. — The  
other Creditors having agreed to the  
Proposal, the Bank will not oppose  
the arrangement.

Resolved,

The fines of the  
Committee sus-  
pended for the  
present.

That fines for the non-  
attendance of the members of this  
Committee be suspended till  
further notice.

7



15 May 1834

Thursday 15<sup>th</sup> May 1834

Present,

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Cotton

Mr. Morris

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

The Chairman reports the result of his interview with the parties to Lord Rivers' proposal

The Chairman informed the Committee, that he had seen Mess<sup>rs</sup> Esdaile & Co. and Mess<sup>rs</sup> Sanderson & Co. on the subject of Lord Rivers' proposal, as agreed to at the last meeting, and that the negotiation for carrying the arrangement into effect, is in progress.

Mr. Lawrence's List was laid before the Committee and considered. viz:

John Marshall

John Marshall of Birchen Lane Merchant, requests the Bank will grant him six Months further indulgence for the payment of his last instalment amounting to £ 658. 15. 3. due the 15<sup>th</sup> Instant, all his other Creditors having given him the time

not

The



not to be disturbed.

Thos.<sup>r</sup> & George  
Seddon's

request

252

The Committee determined, that,  
all the other Creditors agreeing, the  
Bank will not disturb the arrange-  
ment. -

Thomas and George Seddon of  
Aldersgate Street Upholsterers, of  
Whom the Bank agreed to accept  
a composition of  $10\frac{1}{2}$  in the £. -  
on their Balance amounting to  
£5000. payable by 5. equal in-  
stalments at 6. 12. 18. 24 and 36  
Months, - now request the Bank  
will consent, with the other  
Creditors, whose instalments  
exceed the sum of £50. each, to  
allow the Creditors whose instalments  
do not exceed that amount, to be  
paid their respective 3<sup>d</sup> 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup>  
instalments according to the times  
specified in the Deed of Inspection;  
and further to consent that the  
payment of the 3<sup>d</sup> and subsequent  
instalments due to the larger  
Creditors be postponed, - and dis-  
charged as follows, namely -  
that the sum of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in the £.  
being one half of the 3<sup>d</sup> instal-  
ment, shall be paid on 1<sup>st</sup> October

next



15<sup>th</sup> May 1834

neat, and that the other half shall be paid on 1<sup>st</sup> April 1835. That the 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> instalments shall be paid at such times and in such manner after 1<sup>st</sup> April 1835, as the Inspector shall direct; and that these two Instalments shall carry interest at the rate of £5. 7<sup>th</sup>. Cent. 10<sup>th</sup> Annum.

Resolved

That the request of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Seddon be complied with.

Geo. Ross applies for the Bank's signature to his certificate

George Ross of Upper Clapton a Bankrupt, against whose estate the Bank proved £6609 "3" 4. and upon which a dividend of  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the £. has been declared, requests, through Mr. Roger Gadsden of Furnivals Inn, Solicitor, the signature of the Bank to his certificate.

consideration postponed.

The consideration thereof was postponed.

Thos<sup>d</sup> Shephard  
James Lorymes  
and

Thomas Shephard of Bristol, Acceptor of a Bill for £100. James Lorymes the Drawer, and Sam<sup>l</sup>.



and Sam<sup>l</sup>. Loxymor Samuel Loxymor, Indorser of the same, have all been applied to for payment without effect.

Resolved,

to be written to  
by Mr. Freshfield

That Mr. Freshfield write to all the parties on the Bill. —

Questions as to pro-  
ving certain colla-  
teral Bills under  
the Estate of Plummer  
and Wilson.

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freeman & Bothamly  
of Coleman Street Solicitors, request  
that the Bank will prove the  
Collateral Bills then hold with  
Mr. Robert Grant's name upon  
them, against the Estate of  
Plummer and Wilson. —

Resolved,

referred to Mr.  
Freshfield.

That this application  
be referred to Mr. Freshfield  
to report thereon to the next  
Committee.

Depositions ag<sup>t</sup>.

Depositions having been  
read against for  
uttering a forged £10. Bank of England  
note in Leicestershire,

not to be prosecuted

Resolved,

That the said  
be not prosecuted.



22<sup>nd</sup> May 1834Thursday 22<sup>nd</sup> May 1834

Present,

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Cotton

Mr. Morris.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List was laid before the Committee and considered, viz:

Geo. Ross to have

George Ross of Upper Clapton a Bankrupt, against whose Estate the Bank proved £6609.3.4. and upon which a Dividend of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  in the L. - has been declared, again requests, through Mr. Roger Gadsden of Turnbills Inn Solicitor, the signature of the Bank to his Certificate.

his Certificate signed

The Committee agreed thereto, - all the other Creditors having signed.

The



The following Report from  
Messrs Freshfield was read, viz:

Messrs Freshfield's  
Report on the  
case of Samuel  
Lorymer & others

Messrs Freshfield beg to report  
the accompanying Letter requesting  
indulgence till after the 26<sup>th</sup> Instant  
from Mr Samuel Lorymer the  
Indorser of a Bill of Exchange  
for £100. to whom Messrs Freshfield  
applied for payment of the same  
by order of the Committee.

their request  
granted

The Committee granted  
the request contained in Mr J. L.  
Lorymer's Letter.

Messrs Freshfield's  
Report on the  
case of Plummer  
and Wilson. -

With reference to Messrs  
Freeman and Bothermer's letter,  
Messrs Freshfield beg to report  
that the debt due from Messrs  
Plummer and Wilson, arises out  
of a Loan of £5000. made by the  
Bank to Messrs Plummer and  
Wilson in November 1830, as  
security for repayment of which,  
Messrs Plummer and Wilson  
gave their promissory Note  
payable to the order of the Bank  
for £5000. due the 6<sup>th</sup> February 1831  
and also 4 Bills of Exchange  
drawn by Robert Grant on Robert  
Glyndman and Sons, all overdue for  
the



257  
22<sup>nd</sup> May  
1834

the undermentioned Sums  
£1000  
1012 " 10.  
1837 " 10. —  
1925 " —  
£ 5775. —

Plummer & Wilson  
continued

The two first of these  
Bills amounting to £2012 " 10.  
have been paid with Interest  
for honor of the Drawer by  
M<sup>r</sup>. Jenkins of Lime Street  
Square, and £1000. has been  
paid by the same person on  
account of the remaining two  
Bills leaving a Balance due  
of £2762 " 10. with Interest which  
M<sup>r</sup>. Jenkins proposes to pay  
in the following manner:

£1000. —	1 <sup>st</sup> July 1834
1000. —	1 <sup>st</sup> July 1835
762 " 10. with Int.	1 <sup>st</sup> July 1836

In the mean time we know  
of no Reason why the Bank should  
not prove their debt upon the  
Estate of Plummer and Wilson  
and receive a dividend upon it:  
and we see no objection to giving  
the Account required by the  
Drawer



22 May 1834

258  
Drawer of the Bills held as collateral  
Security by the Bank; we should add  
that the Dividend declared on  
Plummer and Wilson's Estate  
amounts only to 9% in the £.

The Account to  
be furnished, but  
proof on Plummer  
and Wilson's Estate  
postponed. —

Resolved

That the account required  
be furnished; but that proof on  
Plummer and Wilson's Estate be  
postponed.

12<sup>th</sup> June 1834

Wednesday 12<sup>th</sup> June 1834

Present,

M<sup>r</sup> Curtis

M<sup>r</sup> Morris

The Proceedings of the last  
Committee were read.

The Solicitors'  
Bill for the half  
year ending 31<sup>st</sup>  
March considered

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield and  
Sons' Bill for Law Charges,  
amounting to £1443. 15. 3. for the  
half year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> March last,  
having been referred by the Court of  
Directors on the 29<sup>th</sup> ult<sup>o</sup> for the  
consideration of this Committee, and  
the following Abstract thereof,  
together with the Bill itself having  
been examined. viz:

Abstract.



Abstract of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son's Bill for 6 months, to 31 Mar 1834

Charges for	Business	Attendance	Fees to Counsel	Incidental Expenses	Stamps	Total
Chancery... (16 Suits.)	93. 16. 6	39. 16. 8	30. 10. —	28. 15. 5	Pa 1 to 16 — 1. —	192. 19. 7
D. respecting proceedings consequent on the forgeries by Hyfauntteroy	17. 7. 10	6. 14. 4	2. 14. 6	2. 9. 6	Pa 13. — — —	28. 16. 2
Exchequer... (31 Suits.)	25. 16. 5	16. 6. 8	— — —	1. 5. 4	Pa 16 to 24 — — —	43. 8. 5
Common Law (6 Suits.)	56. 9. 2	60. 2. 4	55. 19. —	83. 9. —	Pa 25 to 39 — — —	255. 19. 6
Criminal Prosecutions (2).	149. 19. 2	70. 11. 2	100. 11. —	192. —. 6	Pa 40 to 60. 2. — —	515. 1. 10
Measures to detect forgeries &c.	8. 15. 10	7. 3. 4	— — —	47. 14. 6	Pa 61 to 69 — — —	63. 13. 8
Respecting Branch Banks	39. 13. 4	20. 15. 4	— — —	13. 15. 7	Pa 70 to 75. — — —	74. 14. 3
General Business	128. 3. 8	110. 10. 8	19. 15. 6	11. 2. —	Pa 81 to 132. — — —	269. 11. 10
£	520. 1. 11	332. —. 6	209. —. —	380. 11. 10	2. 1. —	1443. 15. 3

Abstracted & analyzed by  
J. E. Gray, Deputy Secy.  
for the Noble Assistants Secy.

Deduct money rec<sup>d</sup> by the Solicitors 104. 1. 4

£1339. 13. 11



12<sup>th</sup> June 1834

(260)

The following Letter from Messrs.  
Freshfield & Son, in reply to Questions  
put to them by the Chairman respecting  
certain charges in the Bill was  
read. viz:

"New Bank Buildings

" 11<sup>th</sup> June 1834

"Sir

Messrs.  
Freshfield &  
Report on points  
referred to them  
respecting charges

"We have considered the  
"two points to which The  
"Chairman of The Law Committee  
"directed our attention & it does  
"not appear to us that any  
"alteration can be made in  
"the mode of transacting the  
"Business so as to relieve The  
"Bank from that Class of Expense,  
"which will however be found  
"to amount to a very inconsi-  
"derable Sum in the course of  
"the year.

for removing  
restraints on  
Stock

"The first head of Expense  
"is the attendance upon a  
"Party complaining that his  
"right to his Stock or Dividends  
"is unnecessarily interfered with-  
"the notice to a Party by whom  
"legal process had been served  
"that he must proceed with his  
"Suit or the Stop would be removed

"&c



12 June 1834

"and the ultimate attendance to  
"to move it — there are 29 such  
"cases in the last Bill — except that  
"in some of them the parties have  
"proceeded with their suits, and  
"therefore the stops have not been  
"taken off & of course no charge  
"made for doing so.

"The stop is put on of  
"right & the only favor conferred  
"upon the party by the Bank, is  
"in not urging him to proceed  
"to ulterior measures until  
"an application is made to  
"The Bank to do so & when the  
"transaction arrives at that point  
"The Bank acts to protect itself,  
"by obtaining an indemnity by  
"the act of the Court from  
"whence the process issued,  
"or to have all question removed  
"by an abandonment of that  
"process & the little expence  
"incurred upon that occasion  
"falls fairly within the incidents  
"to the management of the public  
"Debt. The Bank already receives  
"a consideration for the favor con-  
"ferred by having their costs of  
"appearance paid by anticipation,  
"which



"which appears to us to be the ex-  
 "treme of any reasonable stipula-  
 "tion that could be made."

"The second question  
 "related to the general Expenses  
 "consequent upon The Bank being  
 "made party to Chancery Suits,  
 "which subjects The Bank to the  
 "difference between costs as between  
 "Solicitor & Client & those between  
 "party & party & it was suggested  
 "that The Bank might half yearly  
 "claim the whole charge to which  
 "it was subject, from the party by  
 "whom the suit was instituted,  
 "but the answer is, that party  
 "may never be liable for them  
 "& it is only by the ultimate  
 "decision of the Court that the  
 "right of The Bank to any costs  
 "will attach & whether those are  
 "to be costs of one class or the  
 "other is also the act of the Court

"If it is thought proper so  
 "to instruct us, we may urge upon  
 "The Bank Counsel to press for the  
 "higher class of costs, but considering  
 "the small amount of the difference  
 "we cannot advise that course

"We are Sir

"Y<sup>r</sup> Mo. ob. Serv

"J. Watts Esq."

"Freshfield Esq."

And

And as to Charges  
 consequent upon  
 the Bank being  
 made party  
 to Chancery Suits



263/12 June 1834

And the Committee having further consulted M<sup>r</sup>. Freshfield thereon, no alteration were of opinion, that it is inexpedient to recommend any alteration in the present mode of transacting the Business referred to in Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfields' Letter to

The following Report to the Court was then agreed to. viz.

The Committee for Law Suits  
Report to the Court of Directors

That they have investigated Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield and Son's Bill for Law Charges from Michaelmas Term 1833 to the 31<sup>st</sup> March last, amounting to £1443.15.3, and they find it composed of the following particulars. viz:

Expenses attendant on  
16 Suits in Chancery ..... £ 192. 19. 7

Do: respecting the proceedings  
consequent on the forgeries  
of Henry Tauntleroy ..... 28. 16. 2

Do: attendant on 31  
Suits in the Court of Exchequer,  
principally for proceedings  
to remove restraint on Stock  
Itc<sup>a</sup> viz: carr. forw<sup>d</sup> £ 221. 15. 9

Report on  
The Solicitors'  
Bill for Law  
Charges



12 June 1834

264

Brought forward. . . . £221. 15. 9  
 Suits } Defending a }  
 1 } Bill filed. } £7. 11. 7

1 Respecting a }  
 Bill of Costs. } . . . 2. 14. 4

10. 5. 11

16 For removal of }  
 restraints, complete }  
 the parties proceeding }  
 no further. . . . £20. 13. 4

13 Do: incomplete }  
 the parties proceeding }  
 with the suit. . . } 12. 9. 2

33. 2. 6

31 Suits 43. 8. 5

Expences attendant on Six Suits  
 at Common Law, including £218. 18. 4  
 for defending the suit at Lancaster,  
 respecting certain Bank of Bristol Bills  
 paid at the Gloucester Branch. . . . 255. 19. 6

Do: on Criminal prosecutions  
 against 2 Persons. viz:

1 for uttering a forged £10 Bank  
 Note in Berkshire. viz:

For Law proceedings. £71. 19. 4

Payments. . . . 85. 1. 2

157. - 6

and

1 at the Old Bailey for }  
 the forgery of a Transfer. } . . . 317. 18. 4

Measures taken to secure  
 part of the proceeds of the }  
 Stock transferred. . . . } 40. 3. -

515. 1. 10

Expences attendant on the Measures }  
 taken to detect Frauds & Forgeries. } 63. 13. 8

Carried forward. . . £1099. 19. 2

157. - 6



12 June 1834.

Account of the  
Solicitors' Bills.

Balance 1832. £1339. 5. 5
Receipts... 188. 9. 8
Balance... 1150. 15. 9
30. Apr. 1832. £1187. 16. 10
Receipts... 117. 5. 10
Balance... £1070. 11. -
30. Apr. 1833. £1854. 16. 11
Receipts... 67. - 7
Balance... 1787. 16. 4
30. Apr. 1833. £2831. 16. 2
Receipts... 190. 2. -
Balance... 2641. 14. 2

Brought forward... £1099. 19. 2  
Expences on account of the Branches

viz: Respecting the lease of the House  
at Portsmouth. £10. - 8

£6. The sale of the Exeter House... 21. 8. 1

£6. The purchase of the  
Plymouth House... 42. 5. 6

General Business... 74. 4. 3  
269. 11. 10

Deduct money received by  
the Solicitors for costs... £1443. 15. 3  
104. 1. 4

Balance due to the Solicitors £1339. 13. 11

In the foregoing amount of £1443. 15. 3  
the charge for Drawing Briefs etc. and  
other general business, is... £520. 1. 11

For personal attendance... 332. - 6  
852. 2. 5

For money advanced in  
Fees to Counsel... £209

Incidental Expences }  
Being money paid ) 380. 11. 10

Stamps... 2. 1. -  
591. 12. 10

£1443. 15. 3

By referring to the Solicitors'  
Account of Law Charges in September  
last, it will be seen that the amount  
of the present half years Bill is less than  
that of the half year immediately  
preceding



12 June 1834.

266

preceding by nearly one half: arising principally from a decrease in the number of criminal prosecutions; there having been eleven in the Bill of Sept<sup>r</sup> 1833, and two only in the present: The Expenses attendant on the renewal of the Bank Charter and on the appeal to the House of Lords consequent on Fauntleroy's Forgeries were also excluded, confined to the preceding half year's Bill of September 1833.

The Committee have examined the various particulars composing the present Bill, and it appearing that the several items of charge have been made according to the usual rate, they recommend to the Court of Directors that the sum of £ 1339. 13. 11 be paid to Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield and Son, being the balance of their present Bill for Law Charges to the 31<sup>st</sup> March last.

The Balance recommended to be paid to the Solicitors

(Signed) T. A. Curtis  
Bank of England, Chairman.  
12<sup>th</sup> June 1834.

Depositions read ag<sup>t</sup> George Stuart for uttering forged £5. Notes

Depositions having been read against George Stuart for uttering forged £5. Bank of England Notes in Middlesex.

Resolved



267  
12<sup>th</sup> June 1834

Resolved

The said George  
Stuart not to be  
prosecuted

That the Committee consider that this is not a case for prosecution, but if any thing should occur to Mr. Freshfield on his refusing the Depositions, to induce him to think otherwise, he be requested to communicate the same to the Committee

On consulting  
with Mr. Freshfield

The Committee having consulted with Mr. Freshfield on the expediency of appointing a Counsel for the Bank on the Northern Circuit, and having also referred to the Minutes of the Committee appointing the present Counsel for the Bank on that Circuit,

Frederick Pollock

Resolved

Be appointed  
a Counsel on the  
Northern Circuit

That Frederick Pollock Esq. be appointed Counsel for the Bank on the Northern Circuit.



15<sup>th</sup> July 1834

268

Tuesday 15<sup>th</sup> July 1834

Present

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Cotton

Mr. Gower.

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

Petition of  
Benj<sup>n</sup> Cooper &  
Tho<sup>s</sup> Reynolds

That the  
Bank will  
prosecute George  
Stuart alias  
Vining.

declined

A Petition having been  
received from Benjamin Cooper  
and Thomas Reynolds (at whose  
instance George Stuart, alias Vining,  
was committed for uttering forged  
notes) praying that the Bank will  
order the prosecution of Vining at  
the Old Bailey. — The Chairman  
stated, that as the Committee had  
decided not to prosecute, he had  
directed an answer to be given  
accordingly.

The two following Cases in  
Mr Lawrence's List were read, viz.

Hemming and  
Monkhouse  
Bankrupts  
The

Hemming and Monkhouse  
of St. Paul's Churchyard, Bankrupt,

against



15 July 1834

against whose Estate the Bank  
 Proved £32,500 3s 6d. — Mr. Evan  
 Davies of 21 Coleman Street Account<sup>t</sup>  
 requests the Signature of the Bank  
 to the Certificate of Mr. Thomas  
 Monkhouse, a Dividend of  $\frac{3}{9}$  in  
 the £, having been declared.

The Certificate  
 of Mr. Monkhouse  
 to be signed.

The Chairman stated that  
 in the absence of the Committee  
 he had agreed that the Certificate  
 of Mr. Thomas Monkhouse be  
 signed.

In the Case of Robinson  
 Robinson Parker Parker of Wells, Norfolk which was  
 postponed on the 27<sup>th</sup> March last,  
 that information might be obtained  
 from Mr. Hyde respecting the real  
 value of the Securities, and as to the  
 circumstances of Mr. Parker; —  
 a Letter has been received from  
 Mr. Hyde, stating the value  
 of the Securities alluded to, to be  
 about £2500. but suggesting the  
 propriety of the Bank accepting the  
 offer of £500. which Mr. Parker is  
 enabled to pay, entirely through  
 the kindness of his friends.

agreed to

The Chairman stated that he  
 had also agreed to this request.

The



The Committee confirmed the decision of the Chairman in the above Cases.

The remaining Case on Mr. Lawrence's List was then read, viz:

John Baddams of Birmingham, Discounter of 13 Bills, at the Birmingham Branch, amounting to £6332.14s. - requests through Messrs. Sweet & Sutton of Basinghall Street Solicitors, that the Bank will consent with the other Creditors, to an Assignment of Mr. Baddams's Property in trust, for the benefit of the Creditors, to Mr. Basil Montagu of Lincoln's Inn, and Mr. George P. Barclay, of the firm of Messrs. T. & G. Barclay.

### Resolved

That the Bank will not disturb the Arrangement, if all the other Creditors agree, and that Mr. Lawrence be directed to report thereon.

A Letter from Messrs. Freshfield & Son was read, together with a Minute of an Arrangement, sanctioned by them, between Lord Rivers, The Bank & others, for



15<sup>th</sup> July 1834, for carrying into effect the Proposition of his Lordship, agreeably with Lord Rivers, to the Minute of the Committee of the 30<sup>th</sup> April last; to which Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield recommend that the Signature of the Secretary on behalf of the Bank should be attached.

The Committee agreed thereto, but the Chairman was requested to see M<sup>r</sup> Freshfield, on the Subject of the Amount of Costs being sufficient. —

The Committee then proceeded in the examination of the Examination Accounts of the Overdue Discounts of the Accounts Bills & Notes Unpaid, and having also heard M<sup>r</sup> Lawrence's opinion thereon, the following Report to the Court was agreed to.

The Committee for Law Suits  
Report to the Court of Directors

Report thereon  
to the Court That the Committee have investigated the Accounts of the Overdue Discounted Bills and Notes unpaid, and it appears that the Balance of the Outstanding Debt, (not



272

(not written off to the Account of Profit  
Report continued & Loss) on the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup>. 1833 was  
£20,559..3..10. and in pursuance of the  
order of Court of the 30<sup>th</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup>. last,  
the Sum of £555..16..9. was carried to  
the debit of Profit & Loss, reducing  
the Balance to £19,993..7..1. which  
has again increased up to the 30 June  
last to £21,983..2..5. —

In the last half year,  
upon the Accounts of Bankrupts  
or Insolvents, the Balances of which  
have from time to time been  
carried to the debit of the Account  
of Profit & Loss, the Sum of £1577..2..7  
has been received, and placed to the  
credit of that Account in the  
General Ledger.

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup>  
December last of the outstanding  
Insolvent Accounts in the half  
year ending the 31<sup>st</sup> July 1831 was £5240..2..1  
On which have been received  
up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last — 526..3..9  
leaving the Balance — £4713..18..2  
the whole of which is expected to  
be realised. —

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> Decem<sup>r</sup>.  
last



15<sup>th</sup> July 1834

last, of the Accounts which  
 accumulated in the half year  
 Report continued ending the 31<sup>st</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1832 was £13,139, 8<sup>7</sup>/<sub>10</sub>  
 On which have been received } 1,077<sup>7</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, 8<sup>7</sup>/<sub>10</sub>  
 up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last ——— }  
 leaving the Balance ——— £12,062, 7<sup>11</sup>/<sub>10</sub>  
 the whole of which, it is expected,  
 will be paid.

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> Decem<sup>r</sup>.  
 last, of the Accounts which  
 accumulated in the five months  
 ending the 30<sup>th</sup> June 1832 was, £555<sup>16</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, 10<sup>10</sup>/<sub>10</sub>  
 On which have been received } 418<sup>15</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, 9<sup>9</sup>/<sub>10</sub>  
 up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last ——— }  
 leaving the Balance ——— £137<sup>1</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, 1<sup>1</sup>/<sub>10</sub>  
 which, it is expected, will be received  
 in full. —

In the half year ending  
 the 31<sup>st</sup> December 1832, no Bills  
 were dishonored.

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup>.  
 last of the Accounts which accu-  
 mulated in the half year ending  
 the 30<sup>th</sup> June 1833 was £403<sup>6</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, —  
 On which have been received } 95<sup>17</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, —  
 up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last ——— }  
 leaving the Balance ——— £307<sup>9</sup>/<sub>10</sub>, —  
 which balance it is also expected,  
 will be received. The



Report con-  
firmed

sh 274

The Balance on the 31<sup>st</sup> December  
last of the Accounts which accu-  
mulated in the half year ending  
at that date was ----- £654.13.7  
On which have been received } 165.3.2  
up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last -----  
leaving the Balance - £489.10.5  
One fourth of which is re-  
commended to be carried to } 122.7.7  
the debit of the Account of  
Profit & Loss, being -----  
Balance remaining - £367.2.10

These are three New Accounts  
of Insolvents since the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> last  
whose debts amount to £4559.6.-  
On which have been ----- }  
received up to 30<sup>th</sup> June last } - 176.10.4  
leaving the Balance - £4272.15.8

which, it is recommended should  
remain for the present, the Insol-  
vencies having so recently occurred.

In respect to the Branch  
Banks, the whole Balance of debt  
at the Branches up to the 31<sup>st</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup>  
1833, having been then carried to the  
debit of the Account of Profit & Loss,  
and no new Account of Debt having  
since occurred, - the Committee have  
only



275

15<sup>th</sup> July 1834 only further to report that the  
Report concluded Sum of £1829. 5. 7. has been  
received in the last half year  
on the Accounts of the Bankrupts  
or Insolvents at the Branches;  
which Sum has been placed to  
the credit of the Account of  
Profit & Loss in the General  
Ledger.

(Signed)

T. A. Curtis

Bank of England Chairman.  
15<sup>th</sup> July 1834.

approved by the Mem: The Above Report  
Court of Directors. was read in Court and  
approved the 17<sup>th</sup> July 1834

✓

31 July 1834

Thursday 31<sup>st</sup> July 1834

Present

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Cotton

Mr. Morris

The proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's  
List

Mr. Lawrence's List was laid before  
the Committee and considered.

viz:



31<sup>st</sup> July 1834

James Lane  
offers payment  
in full by his 4  
instalment notes

viz:

James Lane of 26 Saville Row,  
Sailor, acceptor of a Bill for £300  
discounted with Richard Johnson,  
requests the Bank will concur with  
the other Bill Holders in receiving  
payment in full, by his 4 instalment  
Notes, at 3. 6. 9. and 12 months.

agreed to.

The Committee agreed thereto.

John Seabrook  
applied to  
without effect

John Seabrook of St. Paul's  
Churchyard, Carpenter, acceptor of  
a Bill for £362. 8. 4, discounted with  
Albert Jones, has been applied to for  
payment or some satisfactory arrange-  
ment, repeatedly, without any effect.

case referred to  
Mr. Freshfield

The Committee directed that  
the above case be referred to Mr.  
Freshfield.

Deductions to be  
made from the  
debt of Fredk.  
Nicholson decd

Frederick Nicholson, late of  
Upper Ground Street, Blackma's Timber  
Merchant, deceased, against whose estate  
the Bank proved before the Master  
in Chancery £1792. 10. 5. -  
Messrs. Sheffield and Sons of Prescott  
Street, Solicitors, request the Bank  
will agree with the other Creditors,  
that a deduction not exceeding 2/6 in  
the



31 July 1834 that £. be made from the amount of the debt, allowed by the Master to be due from the estate of Thomas Jones Esq: deceased, to the estate of Mr. Nicholson, being the proportion the other creditors of Mr. Jones have given up, and also a deduction of £350, being a debt due to Mr. Wm Deykes, Solicitor, who was instrumental in obtaining the Master's Report in favour of Mr. Nicholson.

Resolved,

That the Bank will not disturb the arrangement.

C. A. Whytt  
a Lunatic

C. A. Whytt of New Broad Street,  
Merchant, now a Lunatic, —

A notice has been received from the Economic Life Assurance Society, requesting the payment of £52, being the premiums on his policies assigned over to the Bank as collateral security.

£52 to be paid for  
his premiums in the  
Economic Life Ass<sup>y</sup>

Mem. The Gov<sup>t</sup> Order  
for £52 was given to Mr.  
Scrimgeour 1 Aug

The Committee recommended to the Governor to order payment of £52 to Mr. Lawrence, for the above purpose.

The expediency of  
prosecuting claims  
against Mr. Durrant  
considered.

A Letter from Messrs Freshfield  
and Son on the expediency of prosecuting  
the Bank's claim against Mr. Durrant,  
the



31 July 1834

278

the Broker who identified Collier (lately convicted of Forgery) to recover the balance of loss sustained by the Bank, together with the opinion of Sir James Scarlett thereon, having been referred to the consideration of this Committee by the Committee of Treasury, it was

Resolved,

Mr. Freshfield  
to write to  
Mr. Durrant.

That Mr. Freshfield  
be directed to write to Mr. Durrant,  
demanding the payment of the balance.

Cooper & Reynolds  
application for  
their expenses in  
prosecuting Vining

declined

Mr. Freshfield laid before  
the Committee an application from  
Benjamin Cooper and Thos. Reynolds  
for their expenses in the prosecution of  
Vining, but the Committee did not  
accede to their request, having declined  
to prosecute the said Vining.

8th Aug<sup>r</sup> 1834

Friday 8<sup>th</sup> August 1834.

Present

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Morris

The



8. Aug: 1834

Ja. White's inform<sup>tn</sup>  
relating to the forgery  
of Bank Notes at  
Birmingham,

The information of James White  
relating to the forgery of Bank Notes  
at Birmingham was taken into consideration  
by the Committee,

Resolved,

Mr. Freshfield to  
communicate with  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Spurrer.

That Mr. Freshfield  
be directed to put himself into  
communication with Mess<sup>rs</sup> Spurrer  
of Birmingham.

21. Aug: 1834

Thursday 21. August 1834

Present,

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Cotton

Mr. Morris

The Proceedings of the  
two last Committees were  
read. —

Mr. Lawrence's List  
was laid before the Committee  
and considered. viz.

James Simms of 104 Wood Street,



21. Aug: 1834 Cheapside, Warehouseman requests  
 James Sims's request the Bank will grant him until  
 the turn of September, for the payment  
 of his balance of £679..7..10. at which  
 time he expects his customers,  
 will be in town, and hopes to be  
 enabled to make a satisfactory  
 settlement.

allowed

The same was allowed.

Mr P. Goldney of Regent Street,  
 Drapers, Acceptors of five Bills amount-  
 ing to £2317..12.. - offer, through Mr.  
 William Henry Shurst of New  
 Bridge Street, Blackfriars, Solicitor,  
 to pay  $13\frac{1}{4}$  in the £. upon 3 out  
 of the 5 Bills, but decline paying  
 upon the other 2 Bills, the first  
 indorsement not being considered  
 valid.

Mr P. Goldney  
 offer a compo-  
 sition

Resolved

accepted

and

two disputed Bills  
 referred to Messrs  
 Freshfield.

That the  $13\frac{1}{4}$  be accepted  
 without prejudice, on account;  
 and that the disputed Bills be  
 referred to Messrs Freshfield.

Thos & Geo: Seddon

Thomas & George Seddon of  
 Grays Inn Road, request the Bank  
 will



21<sup>st</sup> Aug: 1834

request

will allow the overdue instalment of £500. to remain a short time longer unpaid, they having large Orders on hand, which when completed will enable them to make the above payment.

allowed

The same was allowed

To a Request of James Newton of Leicester Square Silk Mercer, Drawer of a Bill for £179..8. discounted with Hemming and Monkhouse, requests the Bank will agree with his other Creditors to accept a composition of  $8\frac{1}{6}\%$  in the £. at 3, 6 and 9 months, the last instalment to be satisfactorily secured.

The Bank will not disturb the Arrangement

The Committee directed M<sup>r</sup>. Newton to be informed, that the Bank will not disturb the Arrangement.

Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield's Report

Upon reading a Report of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son of their correspondence with M<sup>r</sup>. Spurrier of Birmingham respecting a Memorial of James Edwin White of



On Sam<sup>l</sup>. Edwin  
White

of 27 Percival Street Cleokemwell, for  
his Expenses in obtaining informa-  
tion relating to forged Bank of  
England Notes at Birmingham.

his Memorial  
cannot be enter-  
tained.

(Mr. Spurrier's Letter  
returned to Mr. S. Freshfield.)

Resolved,

That Mr. White's Memorial  
cannot be entertained.

Geo: Adams  
Petition for  
Relief

Upon reading a Petition  
of George Adams late of the  
Old Jury & Attorney at Law, now  
in great distress, and praying for  
some relief on the Plea that he  
was instrumental in detecting  
and bringing to justice a set of  
Swindlers connected with the  
Bankruptcy of John Myers about  
17 years ago.

Resolved,

That Mr. Adams' Petition  
not entertained cannot be entertained.

The Petition  
was returned to  
Mr. Adams by  
direction of the  
Governor 1 Dec<sup>r</sup>

1834

W. W.



283<sup>th</sup>  
25<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1834

Thursday 25<sup>th</sup> Sept. 1834

Present

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Morris

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read,

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz:

Edw.<sup>d</sup> Rawles's

Edward Rawles of the late  
Firm of Rawles and Lance, of Drury  
Lane, Curriers, having been applied  
to for the payment of the balance  
of the Composition due to the  
Bank, amounting to £37. 5. 7.  
regrets his total inability to pay  
it, and humbly throws himself  
upon the indulgent consideration  
of the Bank.

requires indul-  
gence. —

Resolved

allowed 6 months

That six Months further  
time be allowed.

Mr. Lawrence reported  
that the indulgence granted to  
James



James Burgh, of the late firm of  
James Burgh & Son, of Aldersgate Street,  
has expired

Resolved,

Mr. Freshfield  
to write to James  
Burgh. -

That Mr. Freshfield be  
directed to write to the said  
James Burgh.

Richard Bright of Bruton  
Rich. Bright's Street Lamp Manufacturer,  
Acceptor of a Bill for £387.3.2  
overdue, discounted with Reed and  
Raggett, request through Mess.  
Walls & Son of Hart Street Bloomsbury  
required indulgence  
Solicitors, that the Bank will  
grant him indulgence for the  
Payment of the same until the  
22<sup>nd</sup> April 1835, to be secured by  
Warrant of Attorney.

granted

The Committee agreed thereto.

A renewed application  
from Benjamin Cooper of the Ship  
Star, Sparrow Corner for his ex-  
pences connected with the Appre-  
hension &c. of George Stuart alias

Vining



285<sup>th</sup>  
25<sup>th</sup> Sep. 1834  
his Expenses

Vining, a Passer of forged Bank  
Notes having been read, together  
with a Statement of Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield  
& Son, on the merits of the case,  
Resolved

cannot be com-  
plied with.

That Mr. Coopers ap-  
plication cannot be complied  
with. —

<sup>th</sup>  
11. Oct<sup>r</sup>. 1834

Saturday 11<sup>th</sup> October 1834

Present

Mr. Curtis

Mr. Morris

The Committee having  
taken into consideration a  
Memo<sup>r</sup>andum relating to Tho<sup>s</sup>.  
a Bankrupt David Latham a Bankrupt &  
(late Latham & Parry) late partner with J. Parry, signed  
by the Assignees and other  
Principal Creditors to the amount  
of £140,000. (out of £170,000 the  
whole Amount) giving up to  
the said T. D. Latham the Interest  
to which he becomes entitled  
under



11 Oct<sup>r</sup>. 1834 under the Will of his Grandfather, James  
 Hague dec<sup>d</sup>, the amount being under  
 Five Hundred Pounds.

to be allowed the  
 Interest arising  
 out of his  
 Gran. Father's  
 Will

The same was agreed to on  
 the part of the Bank.

4

21. Oct. 1834

Tuesday 21<sup>th</sup>. October 1834

Present

W<sup>r</sup> Cotton

W<sup>r</sup> Gower

The Proceedings of the  
 two last Committees were  
 read.

Pursuant to a reference  
 from the Court of Directors of the  
 9<sup>th</sup> Instant, the Committee  
 conferred with W<sup>r</sup> Freshfield  
 as to the propriety of prosecuting  
 Francis Hunter, who, on the  
 authority of Letters of Administration,  
 erroneously transferred £105. New  
 3½ p<sup>ts</sup>. Cents from the name of  
 Hannah Johnson of Cleveland Row  
 Spinkster

Consideration  
 as to the propriety  
 of prosecuting  
 Francis Hunter



287  
21<sup>st</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1834

Mr. Freshfield's  
representation

Spinster, she having been repre-  
sented, in an affidavit admitted  
by the Prerogative Court as the  
Administrator's deceased Sister.  
on the representation of all the  
particulars of the case by Mr.  
Freshfield, it did not appear  
that any evidence could be  
produced, that Francis Hunter  
was aware, that the property  
did not belong to his Sister;  
and the circumstance of his  
applying for the Dividends  
which he supposed were due  
to her from the time of her  
death in 1824, strengthens an  
opinion that he had no inten-  
tion of committing a fraud.

The Committee therefore  
Resolved,

not expedient  
to prosecute the  
said Francis  
Hunter

That it is not expe-  
dient to prosecute the said  
Francis Hunter.

The Committee having  
read a Letter from Mr. James Burgh  
of 1419 Aldersgate Street requesting  
further indulgence,

Resolved



21 Oct. 1834

288

Resolved,

James Burgh to  
give Security on  
his estate re-  
ceipts. and

to fix a time  
for his first  
repayment

That it be referred to Mr.  
Freshfield to ascertain if additional  
Security cannot be taken on the  
Mortgages which Mr. Burgh states  
he shall be in the receipt of early  
in the next year, and to require  
him to fix a time for the first  
repayment of the debt.

23<sup>d</sup> Oct. 1834

Mem: At a Court of Directors  
on the 23<sup>d</sup> October 1834

Mr. Cockerell was ap-  
pointed to the Committee for Law  
Suits, the late Chairman Mr. Curtis  
having been previously elected  
Deputy Governor.

28<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1834

28<sup>th</sup>  
Tuesday 28. October 1834

Present,

Mr. Cockerell  
Mr. Cotton  
Mr. Gawer

The Proceedings of the  
last Committee were read.



28<sup>th</sup> Oct<sup>r</sup> 1834

Mr. Freshfield Jun: attended the Committee on a Question; as to what course should be pursued in respect of the overdue Notes of hand given by Parties who may have had Loans on the Deposit of Bills of Exchange &c: of the Bank and who have since become Bankrupt or Insolvent.

Some such Notes have become due on the 15<sup>th</sup> Instant on which day the Loans should have been repaid, and altho the Bank hold Bills of Exchange <sup>and</sup> deposited on the same occasion not due, for more than the Amount of each Loan, it has become a Question whether the Notes of hand should be proved at the 1<sup>st</sup> meeting of the Creditors of the Parties thereto, or whether delay on the part of the Bank would be advisable

considered

The Subject having been fully considered it was agreed that Mr. Lawrence be directed to prove on the Bills deposited by Bentley, Dear & Co. to the extent of £5000 and the Amount the Bank advance to them and



and  
Mr. Freshfield  
to report on the  
General Question

also  
As respects the  
Bills of Gum-  
mersall & Co. and  
others under  
similar cir-  
cumstances.

And that Mr. Freshfield be directed  
to report on the General Question,  
and also as respects the Bills of  
Gummersall & Co., and others under  
similar circumstances.

In reference to the Minute  
of the 13<sup>th</sup> March last, the Subject  
of the claim of the Bank on Sir  
George Thomas was again brought

The claim on under consideration, and Mr.  
Sir Geo. Thomas Freshfield having been consulted  
again considered on the proposition made by  
Mr. Blake on the part of Sir  
George Thomas, it was

Resolved That Mr. Freshfield  
be instructed to suggest to Sir  
George's Solicitor that if £4000  
be taken as a  
compromise be offered, the Bank would com-  
promise for that sum.

Mr. Freshfield  
to suggest that  
£4000. will  
be taken as a  
compromise

Mr. Lawrence List  
was laid before the Committee  
and considered, viz:

Gummersall Gandell & Co.  
of Lombard Street Bill Brokers,  
Dis

Gummersall  
Gandell & Co.



291<sup>th</sup>  
28<sup>th</sup> Oct. 1834

Discounters of 4 Bills amount  
to £2253.18.8. overdue, and others not  
yet arrived at maturity, request  
the Bank will grant them a  
few weeks indulgence for the  
purpose of completing a Deed  
of Trust now in course of Signature

case postponed

The consideration of this  
case was postponed

The request of Market, Leather Factor, Drawer  
Geo: Boyer of a Bill for £680.17. - overdue,  
lodged as collateral Security by  
Gumersall Gandell & Co.

and  
George Joshua  
of 23 Brownlow Street, Long  
Acre, Carrier, Acceptor of the same,  
request, that the Bank will agree  
with the other Bill Holders,  
to receive payment in full  
with Interest, at 4. 8 & 12 Months.

refused - unless  
they can give  
Security.

The Committee refused  
the request of Mr Boyer and Mr.  
Joshua, unless they can give  
Security.

4

Mr.



28 Oct. 1834

292

Mr. Lawrence stated that the following parties had all been applied to for payment without effect. viz:

E. P. Harding

E. P. Harding of 74 Chiswell Street, Hosiers, acceptor of 3 Bills amounting to £486.7. - overdue, discounted with William Green.

J. O. Kennard

J. O. Kennard of Walworth Accepter of 2 Bills amounting to £375.8. overdue, discounted with William Green.

T. A. Haines  
& Co.

T. A. Haines & Co. of 66 Newington Causeway, Hosiers, acceptors of a Bill for £124.7. - overdue, discounted with William Green.

and  
James Browning  
& Co.

James Browning & Co. of 11 Mauncie Street Lambeth, Acceptors of a Bill for £132.16. overdue, discounted with William Green.

all to be applied  
to by Mr. Freshfield  
Resolved, That Mr. Freshfield be directed to apply to all the aforesaid Parties.



13 Nov: 1834

Thursday 13 Nov: 1834

Present,

Mr. Cockerell

Mr. Gower.

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read. —

Mr. James Freshfield's Report on the question respecting Bills deposited with the Bank, in the event of the Bill Broker becoming Bankrupt, being laid before the Committee, viz:

Opinion of Mr. James Freshfield on the question respecting Bills deposited with the Bank, in the event of the Bill Broker becoming Bankrupt.

" New Bank Building,

" 8 Nov: 1834

" The Bank of England  
 " advance Money to Bill Brokers  
 " and others on the deposit of Bills  
 " of Exchange, to be repaid on a  
 " given day — The Bank take a  
 " Letter in the printed form,  
 " with the deposit of Bills, and  
 " a Note payable on the day  
 " agreed on.

" The Question is, If the  
 " Bill Broker become Bankrupt,  
 " whether the Bank are entitled to  
 " receive Dividends, without giving  
 " credit

(The opinion of Mr. Freshfield with the Committee of Treasury)



"Credit for the value of the Bills deposited, as against their Proof.

"I think they cannot.

"The rule of Law is clear, that no person holding any part of the Bankrupts Estate, shall prove till he has realized his Security. There is an exception in the Case of Bills - The Creditor is allowed to prove against all Parties whose names appear on the Bill for the amount, deducting only what has been actually received at the date of the Proof. The result is, that the Creditor proves against the last Indorser whose Property the Bill is, without giving Credit for the value of the Bill - but the distinction arises from the Proof being there strictly and technically upon the Bill.

"But if the Bill is a Security only, then the rule applies in respect of Bills as of other Property, that the value must be deducted from the Proof - This has been decided in *Ex parte*

"Wallace



295  
13<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1834

"Wallace l. Coates Bankrupt Laws  
"to 155 and other cases there quoted,  
"and in Ex parte Rufford 1 Glyn  
"and Jameson 41. —

"Some confusion is occasioned  
"by the use of the terms, Security  
"and Collateral Security, between  
"which, it has been endeavoured  
"to raise a distinction, but the  
"real Question is, Whether the Bill  
"is the foundation of the demand,  
"or Security for the demand, and  
"in this case, there is, no doubt  
"that the Bills deposited, are a  
"mere Security, because the Bills,  
"are not indorsed so as to found  
"any claim upon them, against  
"the Borrower, and I may add,  
"that the advance by the Bank  
"is to be repaid at a day fixed,  
"without reference to the period  
"at which the Bills become due.

"The result is, that in my  
"Opinion the Commissioner may  
"require the amount of the Bills  
"to be deducted from any proof to  
"be made by the Bank against  
"the Borrower, before any Dividend  
"is paid — I am quite aware,  
"that in practice, it is not unusual  
"to



"to prove for the whole amount of the  
 "debt, specifying the Securities, and  
 "that Dividends are paid without  
 "objection; but this arises from  
 "misapprehension and confusion,  
 "and I think is not warranted  
 "by Law.

"I must add with reference  
 "to the practice now under  
 "consideration, that if all the  
 "Bills were required to be in-  
 "dorsed, the Bank would be  
 "entitled to prove against the  
 "Bankrupts to state the whole  
 "advances: but I apprehend  
 "even then, the Assignees would  
 "be entitled to expunge from  
 "the Proof, the amount of any  
 "Bill that was paid in full."

Resolved

That Mr. Freshfield's

Mr. Freshfield's opinion on the present practice  
 opinion referred of the Bank be reported to the  
 to the Committee Governor and Committee of Treasury  
 of Treasury for their consideration, and for  
 such alteration as they may  
 think expedient.

Mr.



297<sup>th</sup>  
13<sup>th</sup> Nov<sup>r</sup> 1834

Mr. Lawrence's List was laid  
before the Committee and considered  
viz:

The Request of George Boyer, of Leadenhall  
Market, Tanner and Leather Factor,  
Drawer of a Bill for £680., 17s. - and

George Joshua

of 23 Brawnlow  
Street, Long Acre, acceptor of  
the same, - request that the  
Bank will agree to their pos-  
sual of the 28<sup>th</sup> ult<sup>o</sup>, and not  
insist on additional Security, -  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Veresolo. having given  
up that point.

consented to

The Committee consented to  
the delay asked for.

John Searle & Co. of 15 Lawrence  
Lane Bill Brokers, endorsers  
of the above Bill, request the  
Bank will receive payment  
of the Drawer and Acceptor,  
according to the proposition of  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> John Searle & Co. to  
endorse the new Bills as before  
the 28. ult<sup>o</sup>.

To this request, the Committee  
directed Mess<sup>rs</sup> Searle & Co. to be informed  
that they must endorse the new  
Bills as before.

James



James Simmes  
to be written  
to by Mr. Freshfield

James Simmes of 10 1/2 Wood Street  
Cheapside, Merchant has been  
applied to repeatedly for the payment  
of his balance amounting to  
£607. 7. 10. without effect.

Ordered

That Mr. Freshfield be  
directed to write to the said  
James Simmes.

Thomas Hedley of Newcastle  
upon Tyne, drawer of 2 Bills  
amounting to £995. 17. 6, overdue,  
discounted with Clarke & Burgess,  
has been applied to for payment,  
without effect.

Resolved

That Mr. Lawrence  
write to Mr. Hedley and inform  
him that the matter will be  
put into the hands of the Banks  
Solicitors, if not satisfactorily  
settled forthwith.

Edw. Hill's

Edward Hill of High Street  
White Chapel Linen Draper

ac-



299

13<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1834

Accepter of a Bill for £116.4.6 deposited with the Bank by Bentley, Dear & Co. requests the Bank will agree with the rest of his Creditors to receive a proposed composition of 5% in the L. payable by 3 equal Instalments at 3, 6 and 9 months, from the 14<sup>th</sup> Oct: 1834, to be secured by Anne Hill of Poplar Paymaster.

agreed to

The proposed composition of M<sup>r</sup>. Hill was agreed to. —

2

20<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1834Thursday 20<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1834

Present,

M<sup>r</sup>. CockenellM<sup>r</sup>. Gower,

The Proceedings of the last Committee were read.

A Letter dated 17 Nov: 1834

from Mess<sup>rs</sup>. White, Blake Houseman & Tyce addressed to Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield

Letter returned to Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield 20 Nov: with a copy of the minute

An offer

f

being read, — stating that they are ready to pay the Sum of £1000. in discharge of the Bank's claim on

Sir



20<sup>th</sup> Nov: 1834  
 of £4000. on  
 the part of  
 Sir Geo: Thomas  
 conditionally

Sir George Thomas on a transfer of  
 the Securities and upon the Bank  
 foregoing their proof on the estate  
 of the late John de Manning &  
 Anderdon, so far as Sir George  
 Thomas is concerned.

Agreed to

The Committee agreed thereto.

George Archer of 21 Gerrard  
 George Archer Street Soho, acceptor of a Bill  
 Proposition for £430.17.9. discounted with  
 Blyth and Inglis, requests the  
 Bank will receive payment  
 in full with Interest, by  
 equal Installments at 4 & 8  
 Months

agreed to, the  
 other Bill  
 Creditors  
 consenting.

The Committee agreed  
 thereto, provided the other Bill  
 Creditors consent to delay.

4<sup>th</sup> Decr.  
 1834

Thursday 4<sup>th</sup> Decr. 1834

Present

Mr. Cockerell

The



4<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1834

The following list produced  
by Mr. Lawrence was considered.  
viz:

Jacob Hans Busk's  
offer of compo-  
sition

Jacob Hans Busk of  
Threadneedle Street, Merchant,  
Discounter &c. of 2 Bills, amount<sup>g</sup>  
to £757<sup>13</sup>. - requests the Bank  
will accept with his other  
Creditors a Composition of  
 $\frac{8}{10}$  in the £. - in Cash.

agreed to

The same was agreed to

Geo: Pridham  
&c. request

George Pridham &c. of  
Bishopsgate Street, without,  
Outfitters, Acceptors of a Bill  
for £176<sup>13</sup>. 6, discounted with  
William Green, request the Bank  
will consent with the other  
Creditors to an Assignment  
of their effects in trust to Mr.  
George Oliver, of Lawrence Lane  
Accountant.

Agreed to

The same was agreed to

F. A. Haines  
&c.'s request

F. A. Haines &c. of Newington  
Causeway, Glassiers Acceptors of 2 Bills  
amounting to £258<sup>13</sup>. - discounted  
with



with William Green, request the Bank will consent with the other Creditors to an Assignment of their effects in trust to M<sup>r</sup>. Cook of the firm of Cook, Son and Gladstones, of Saint Paul's Church Yard

agreed to

The same was agreed to

Tidmarsh and  
Collison's request

Tidmarsh and Collison of the Quadrant Regent Street, Linen Drapers, Acceptors of a Bill for £297. 10. - lodged by Bentley Dear & Co. as collateral Security, request the Bank will agree with the other Creditors to an assignment of their effects in trust to M<sup>r</sup>. John T. Rawson of St. Paul's Church Yard, and M<sup>r</sup>. John Bradbury of Aldermanbury Warehousemen.

agreed to

The same was agreed to

Charles Baker  
Harriman

Charles Baker Harriman of Nine Office Court Fleet Street Solicitor, Endorser of 3 Bills amounting to £2,632. 0. 6. Discounted with  
to  
Gunn



4<sup>th</sup> Dec: 1834 Cumberball & Co. has been applied  
to for payment without effect.

Ordered

to be written That W<sup>m</sup>. Freshfield be  
to by W<sup>m</sup>. Freshfield directed to write to the said  
Charles Baker Glanman.

✓

10<sup>th</sup> Dec: 1834

Wednesday 10<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup>. 1834

Present

W<sup>m</sup>. Cockerell

W<sup>m</sup>. Cotton

W<sup>m</sup>. Gower

The Proceedings of the  
two last Committees were read.

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfield & Sons

The Solicitors'  
Bill for Law  
Charges to 30<sup>th</sup>  
Sep.

Bill for Law Charges, amounting  
to £2077. 8. - for the half year  
ending the 30<sup>th</sup> September last  
being taken into consideration,  
and the following Abstract  
thereof having been examined. viz:

Abstracted &  
examined

Abstract



Abstract of Messrs. Newfield & Son's Bill for six months, to 30 Sept 1834

th Dec: 1834

Charges for	Business	Attendance	Dees Counsel	Accidental Charges	Stamps	Total
Chancery . . . . . (12 Suits) . . . . .	19. 2. 6	20. 12. 8	10. 12. —	23. 17. 2	For 1 to 7. — 2 —	74. 6. 4
Appeal to the House of Lords, consequent on the Forgeries of Henry. Tarrantary	159. 12. 6	21. 9. 6	30. 16. 6	35. 3. 11	For 7 to 14	247. 2. 3
Exchequer . . . . . (32 Suits) . . . . .	18. 11. 10	22. — —	3. 5. 6	2. 19. 7	14 to 24	46. 16. 11
Common Law, respecting proceedings consequent on the Forgeries of Henry Tarrantary	37. 4. 2	12. 10. 8	58. 4. —	3. 5. —	25.	111. 3. 10
Common Law . . . . . (28 Suits) . . . . .	47. 9. 8	34. 2. —	6. 13. 6	34. 1. 2	27 to 29	122. 8. 4
Objection to the Bill before Parliament for granting Privileges to the London & Westminster Bank	300. 2. —	115. 19. —	426. 6. —	91. 18. 8	37 to 56.	1014. 5. 9
Respecting Branch Banks.	15. 10. 4	6. 13. 4	7. 14. 6	14. 19. 5	56 to 62	45. 18. 7
Breasures to detect Forgeries	6. 18. 4	11. 14. 4	— — —	3. — —	63.	21. 12. 8
General Business . . . . .	134. 2. 6	130. 11. 8	8. 3. —	120. 18. 2	69 to 134	393. 15. 4
Abstracted & analysed by G. E. Gray - Deputy Recd. Mr. Nichol. Assistant Recd.	318. 13. 10	375. 13. 2	551. 15. —	530. 3. —	1. 3. —	2077. 8. —
Deated & money recd by the Public						30
						1967. 10. 4



10<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup>. 1834

It was agreed that the following  
Report be made to The Court of Directors  
viz:

Report to the  
Court on the  
Solicitors'  
Bill and

The Committee for Law Suits  
Report to the Court of Directors

That they have examined  
Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield and Son's Bill  
for Law Charges from Easter Term  
1834 to the 30<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> last, and then  
find it to be composed of the following  
Particulars. viz:

Expenses attendant  
on 12 Suits in Chancery £ 74. 6. 4

Expenses consequent on  
the forgery of St. Fauntleroy  
viz: In the Matter of March  
Tracy & Co. £ 182. 5. 7

Further in the Appeal  
to the House of Lords in  
March & Co's ag<sup>t</sup> Keatinge - 39. 18. 8

Payments in this matter 24. 6. 2

247. 8. 5

Expenses attendant on 32  
Suits in the Exchequer princi-  
pally for removing Writs  
on Stock - - - - -

46. 16. 11

Proceedings at Common  
Law consequent on the  
forgery of St. Fauntleroy - 111. 3. 10

Expenses attendant on  
2 Suits at Common Law - 122. 6. 4

Carried forward - £ 601. 15. 10



Brought forward — £ 601 .. 15 .. 10

Respecting the Opposition  
to the Bill before Parliament  
for granting the Privileges to  
the London & Westminster  
Bank (among others) of suing  
and being sued. viz. —

Perusing & Preparing  
Documents, Drawing  
Brief &c. &c. — £ 380 .. 2 .. —

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfields'  
Attendance & Sessions  
Fee in both Houses — 115 .. 19 .. —

Fees to Counsel — 426 .. 6 .. —

Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Freshfields'  
incidental Payments — 91 .. 18 .. 7 1011 .. 5 .. 7

Expences on Account of the  
Branch Banks. viz.

Respecting the purchase of  
2 Houses at Leeds — £ 36 .. 4 .. 1

Respecting the Notices to  
Mess<sup>rs</sup>. Fields & Greenwood — 4 .. 17 .. 4

Relating to the purchase  
of a Piece of Ground at  
Liverpool — 4 .. 7 .. 2 45 .. 18 .. 7

Expences attendant on the  
measures taken to detect  
frauds and Forgeries — 21 .. 12 .. 8

General Business — 393 .. 15 .. 4  
£ 2077 .. 8 .. —

Deduct Money received by  
the Solicitors for Costs — 109 .. 9 .. 8

Balance due to the Solicitors £ 1967 .. 18 .. 4

Amount of the  
Solicitors' Bills

30 Sep. 1832 £ 1187 .. 16 .. 10  
Receipts — 117 .. 5 .. 10  
Balance £ 1070 .. 11 .. —

31 Mar. 1833 £ 1854 .. 16 .. 11  
Receipts — 67 .. — .. 7  
Balance £ 1787 .. 16 .. 4

30 Sep. 1833 £ 2283 .. 16 .. 2  
Receipts — 190 .. 2 .. —  
Balance £ 2093 .. 14 .. 2

31 Mar. 1834 £ 1443 .. 15 .. 3  
Receipts — 106 .. 1 .. 4  
Balance £ 1337 .. 13 .. 11

all above 21 .. 12 .. 8  
for paid votes



307  
10<sup>th</sup> Dec: 1834

In the foregoing Amount of  
£2077<sup>..</sup>8<sup>..</sup> - the Charge for drawing  
Briefs &c: and other general Business  
is ----- £818<sup>..</sup>13<sup>..</sup>10

For personal attendance ----- 375<sup>..</sup>13<sup>..</sup>2

For money advanced in  
Fees to Counsel ----- £551<sup>..</sup>15<sup>..</sup> -

For incidental Expenses 330<sup>..</sup>3<sup>..</sup> -

Stamps ----- 1<sup>..</sup>3<sup>..</sup> - 883<sup>..</sup>1<sup>..</sup> -

£2077<sup>..</sup>8<sup>..</sup> -

recommending  
the Balance  
to be paid

The Committee having ex-  
amined into the various Items  
comprosing the above Account,  
recommend to the Court of Directors  
that the Sum of £1967<sup>..</sup>18<sup>..</sup>4<sup>..</sup> be paid  
to Mess<sup>rs</sup> <sup>W<sup>m</sup></sup> Freshfield & Son, being the  
Balance of their present Bill  
for Law Charges to the 30<sup>th</sup> Sept.  
last. —

(Signed)

Bank of England  
10<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1834

John Cockerell  
Chairman

Mr. Lawrence's List was  
laid before the Committee and  
considered. viz:

William



William Platt's  
offered composi-  
tion

William Platt of Stoke upon  
Trent, Horse Merchant, Acceptor  
of a Bill for £135. 11. 9. not yet ar-  
rived at maturity, lodged by Gurness &  
Gandell & Co. as Collateral Security,  
requests the Bank will agree with  
his other Creditors to accept a com-  
position of 5% in the £. - cash.

agreed to

The same was agreed to

James & William  
Field & Co. pro-  
posed composition

James & William Field & Co.  
of Blinching Lane, Wine & Spirit  
Brokers, Acceptors of a Bill for  
£800. overdue, and others not yet  
arrived at maturity, amounting  
to £1900. discounted with Richard  
Symons, request the Bank will  
agree with the other Creditors to  
accept a Composition of 6% in the  
£. payable by 4 Instalments, as  
follows. viz: 2% in the £. on the  
1<sup>st</sup> March 1835. -  $\frac{1}{6}$  on the 1<sup>st</sup> June,  
 $\frac{1}{6}$  on the 1<sup>st</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup>, - and  $\frac{1}{6}$   
on the 1<sup>st</sup> December; the last to  
be satisfactorily secured.

agreed to

The same was agreed to

Gum-



10<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1834Gummersall,  
Gandell & Co'sTrust Deed nearly  
completed

Gummersall, Gandell & Co. of  
 Lombard Street, Bill Brokers, beg  
 respectfully to state that their Deed  
 of Trust, is nearly completed, and  
 they hope soon to be enabled to lay  
 the same before the Directors of the  
 Bank.

James Simms  
request

James Simms of 104 Wood  
 Street, Cheapside, Warehouseman,  
 requests the Bank will receive part  
 payment of his balance due, amounting  
 to £619. 15. 7. by Cash and Bills  
 amounting to £250. now lodged,  
 and promises to liquidate the  
 remainder as soon as possible,  
 with Interest.

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

Tidmarsh &  
Collison's

Tidmarsh & Collison of  
 Regent Street Linen Drapers  
 and Silkmen, request the Bank  
 will agree to a reassignment  
 of their effects from Mess<sup>rs</sup>  
 Bradbury & Pawson, to John  
 Webb Collison, who proposes to  
 pay in full, at 3. 6. 9 & 12 Months,  
 to be secured by George Collison, of  
 Regent Street Gentleman, and William  
 Collison of the Princess Vittoria



Public House, Ernest Street, Regents  
Park: The last Instalment to bear  
3 Months Interest.

agreed to

The same was agreed to.

John Battye Gill of Man-  
chester, Cloth Agent, Acceptor of  
Gill's proposed a Bill for £420. 10. discounted  
arrangement with Gomersall, Gardell & Co.,  
with his creditors not yet arrived at maturity,  
requests the Bank will agree  
with his other Creditors to accept  
a Composition of  $9\frac{1}{2}\%$  in the L. -  
payable by 14 Instalments, as  
follows. namely,  $2\frac{1}{8}\%$  in the L. -  
at 1 Month,  $2\frac{1}{8}\%$  at 7 Months, &  
 $2\frac{1}{8}\%$  at 9 Months, to be secured by  
Mr. John Heatnaman of Newcastle  
upon Tyne, Printer, and another  
instalment of  $1\frac{1}{2}\%$  in <sup>the L. at 15 Months</sup> (being the  
last) to remain unsecured.

not to be dis-  
turbed by the  
Bank.

The Committee agreed,  
that the Bank will not disturb  
the arrangement.

L. & P. Goldney  
Acceptors

L. & P. Goldney of Regent  
Street Silk Mercers & Co. Acceptors of a  
Bill



10<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1834

refuse payment,  
the Drawer's name  
being forged

Bill for £456.7. — discounted with  
Humming & Monkhouse, — refuse pay-  
ment thereof on the ground that the  
Drawers Name is a Forgery.

Resolved,

That Mr. Lawrence be  
Mr. Lawrence directed to communicate with  
to communicate Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son, in order  
with Mess<sup>rs</sup> Freshfield & Son to put the question mooted, in  
on the Subject. course of Trial.

18<sup>th</sup> Dec<sup>r</sup> 1834

Thursday 18<sup>th</sup> December 1834

Present

Mr. Cockerell  
Mr. Cotton  
Mr. Morris

The Proceedings of the  
Last Committee were read.

Mr. Lawrence's List  
was laid before the Committee  
and considered. viz:

Sayer & Co. require

Sayer & Co. of Brighton, Linen  
Drapers Acceptors of a Bill for £100.



18<sup>th</sup> Dec: 1836

312

indulgence

overdue, lodged as collateral security  
by Bentley Dear & Co., request the  
Bank will grant them 4 months  
indulgence for the payment of the  
same with Interest, to be secured  
by Mr. R. E. Laper of Plumbers  
Row, City Road, Linen Draper

request granted

The request was granted.

Charles Lubin

Charles Lubin of 21. Fustian  
Friars Merchant, Acceptor of 5 Bills  
amounting to £7111.17.9. discounted  
with Ward, Singer & Co. having paid  
£3711.3. - on Account, besides which  
there are dividends reserved amounting  
to £416.11.3. making the total Sum  
£4127.14.3. — requests the Bank  
will concur with his other Creditors  
in granting him a release from  
further liability.

requests to be  
released

The Case post-  
poned for Mr.  
Lawrence to  
enquire.

The Committee postponed  
deciding on this case in order that  
Mr. Lawrence may ascertain  
if Charles Lubin has rendered  
an Account of the whole of his  
effects.

Loughnan



18 Dec<sup>r</sup>. 1834Loughnan and  
Hughes' request

Loughnan & Hughes of Basinghall  
Street Wool Brokers, Acceptors of a  
Bill for £683.5.8. discounted with  
Gurnissell Gandell & Co. request the  
Bank will grant them indulgence  
until the end of March next,  
when they will be prepared to pay  
in full. all their other creditors  
having agreed so to do.

granted

The request was granted

G. & W. M<sup>c</sup>. Michael  
of 511. M<sup>c</sup>. Michael's  
request

G. & W. M<sup>c</sup>. Michael of 33  
Cateaton Street, and of Bridgnorth,  
Discounters of 3 Bills, overdue,  
amounting to £675.18. not yet  
arrived at maturity, request the  
Bank will receive 10% in the £.  
from the Estate of Halliley & Carter,  
payable at 4. 8. 12. 15 & 18 months;  
and they engage to pay 10% in the  
£. — at the same dates with Interest.  
they also request the Bank will  
receive the dividends that may be  
declared upon the Estate of Thomas  
Tayler a Bankrupt, and they will  
on the 14<sup>th</sup> May next settle the  
Balance then remaining unpaid.

Resolved



18<sup>th</sup> Dec: 1834

Resolved

3/4

agreed to on  
fresh Security  
being given.

That the Bank agree to wait  
and act as the Parties request, pro-  
vided fresh Security be given.

Blyth and Inglis  
request

Blyth and Inglis of Watling  
Street Warehousemen, discounters  
of 3 Bills overdue, amounting to  
£932. 14s. 9. request the Bank will  
agree with their other Creditors to  
accept a Composition of 7/6 in the  
£. at 3. 6 and 9 months: to be se-  
cured by Shoolbred & Cook, of Tottenham  
Court Road, Warehousemen.

agreed to.

The same was agreed to.

4



