

Discussion of

“A PREFERRED-HABITAT MODEL OF TERM
PREMIA, EXCHANGE RATES, AND
MONETARY POLICY SPILLOVERS”

by P. GOURINCHAS, WALKER RAY, and D. VAYANOS

Iryna Kaminska, Bank of England

CCBS Macro-finance workshop 2023

May 18-19, 2023

The views expressed here are solely those of the discussant and should not be taken to represent the views of the Bank of England (or any of its committees).

Intro

- A great and clearly motivated paper advancing our understanding of the **transmission mechanism of QE**
- Focuses on the **portfolio-balance channel of QE** and provides the mechanism through which it impacts FX, domestic and foreign yield curves
- Presents a framework with a vast potential for **policy applications**:
 - Exploration of spillovers of monetary policies at home and abroad
 - Evaluation of QE versus QT impacts
 - Analysis of simultaneous and consequent implementations of conventional and unconventional policies
 - Role of the financial intermediation sector and its constraints for monetary policy transmission

What the paper does

- Extends the seminal model by [Vayanos and Vila \(2021\)](#) to a rich two-country model with FX risk
 - Preferred Habitat (PH) investors demand bonds of a specific maturity from a specific jurisdiction
 - Currency traders demand foreign currency
 - Global arbitrageurs can trade two types of bonds and the currency
 - Central banks purchase bonds and affect the bond supply
 - 5 (five!) risk factors: 2 correlated stochastic short rates, 3 independent stochastic demands for bonds and currency
 - Calibrate the model to 1986-2021 US and German/EA data
- Study domestic and international transmission of conventional and unconventional monetary policy (UMP) shocks
 - *UMP has strong international spillover effects but not conventional MP*
 - *UMP affects FX similarly to conventional MP*

Main discussion points

- **Model assumptions for policy applications**

1. Realistic policy environment:

- *What is the role of zero lower bound (ZLB)?*
- *Inflation risk?*

2. Joint transmission of conventional and unconventional monetary policy shocks:

- *Are shocks to policy rate and QE uncorrelated?*
- *Implications for QT?*

3. International spillovers:

- *Are policy rate shocks across countries correlated but not QE shocks?*
- *Is there a special role for USD?*

Inflation Risks and ZLB

- **Inflation risk** is an important determinant of the US and German yield curves
- Since 2009, the **ZLB** has been key for the monetary policy implementation, affecting choice of policy tools, yield curve dynamics and shock transmission
- I am very much aware that including inflation risk (6th!) or imposing ZLB is not feasible (the model would not have a closed form solution)
- An alternative is to calibrate the model to **real yield curve and FX data**
 - Demand shocks are transmitted via real term premia
 - No explicit lower bound for real rates

Joint transmission of conventional and unconventional monetary policy shocks

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_{iH} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_{iF} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \kappa_{\gamma,iH} & \kappa_{\gamma,iF} & \kappa_{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa_{\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{iH} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{iH,iF} & \sigma_{iF} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- Policy rates/shock and QE factors/shocks are uncorrelated.
 - In practice, two monetary policy tools are not used in isolation.
 - Empirically, estimated [Vayanos and Vila \(2021\)](#) model on the US data suggests the shocks are correlated ([Kaminska and Zinna \(2020\)](#))
 - This would also allow for a signalling effect of QE

Spillovers of conventional and unconventional monetary policy shocks

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_{iH} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \kappa_{iF} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \kappa_{\gamma,iH} & \kappa_{\gamma,iF} & \kappa_{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa_{\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \kappa_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{iH} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sigma_{iH,iF} & \sigma_{iF} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\gamma} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\beta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{\beta} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- The model implication of stronger QE spillovers are consistent with the evidence of substantially increased monetary policy spillovers post 2009 (e.g. [Albagli, Ceballos, Claro, Romero, 2019](#)).
- How much does the result depend on the assumption that conventional monetary policy shocks are correlated, but not QE?

Further suggestions

- The model is symmetric. In reality, the US government bond market and USD play special roles.
 - Calibrating demand parameters with larger weights for the US asset demand?
- The paper would be timely for the QT analysis
 - Unanticipated purchases vs anticipated sales
 - Role of the pace vs stock
 - QE only vs QT joint with conventional monetary policy
- Policy event study, e.g. [Costain, Nuno, Thomas \(2022\), Gilchrist, Wei, Yue, Zakrajsek \(2022\)](#)

To sum up

- Very important paper, with huge potential for policy implications
- A novel integrated framework for international yield curves and FX
- Advances our understanding of UMP transmission and key questions in asset pricing
- A couple of suggestions to understand the policy implications better
 - try to isolate the impacts of inflation and ZLB by calibrating the model on real rather than nominal data
 - focus more on the links between monetary policies (conventional & UMP, home & foreign) and discuss QT!