Bank of England PRA

Appendix 10: Draft amendments to SS17/16 - Solvency II: internal models – assessment, model change and the role of non-executive directors

June 2023



Draft amendments to SS17/16 - Solvency II: internal models – assessment, model change and the role of non-executive directors

In this appendix, new text is underlined and deleted text is struck through.

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This supervisory statement is addressed to all UK Solvency II firms that fall within the scope of the Solvency II Directive ('the Directive'),1-and to the Society of Lloyd's, its members and managing agents. It sets out the Prudential Regulation Authority's (PRA's) expectations of firms regarding internal models.
- 1.2 This statement should be read in conjunction with the PRA's rules in the Solvency II Firms Sector of the PRA Rulebook, the statement of policy (SoP) setting out the PRA's approach to permissions and ongoing monitoring of internal models, 1a supervisory statement (SS) XX/XX – Expectations for meeting the PRA's internal model requirements for insurers under Solvency II'1b Solvency 2 Regulations 2015 (2015/575)2 and the PRA's insurance approach document.3

- 1.4 In this SS supervisory statement, the PRA sets out its expectations for firms in the following areas:
 - internal model applications;
 - the assessment of credit risk;
 - dealing with variability in premium provisions;
 - the effect of stresses on the volatility adjustment;
 - the role of non-executive directors;
 - model justification and validation and the role of boards;
 - the PRA's use of quantitative analysis as part of model permission in approving models; and

¹⁻Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 November 2009 on the taking-up and pursuit of the business of Insurance and Reinsurance (Solvency II) (recast).

https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/prudential-regulation/consultationpaper/2023/june/cp1223app5.pdf.

https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/-/media/boe/files/prudential-regulation/consultationpaper/2023/june/cp1223app6.pdf.

² http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2015/575/contents/made.

Available at www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/supervision.

- scope, identification and classification, governance and reporting of internal model changes-; and
- reporting of analysis of change in SCR.

1.5 Firms should also refer to:

- the Bank of England and PRA SoP Interpretation of EU Guidelines and Recommendations: Bank of England and PRA approach after the UK's withdrawal from the EU;^{3a}
- SS1/19 Non-binding materials: The PRA's approach after the UK's withdrawal from the EU;3b and
- SS2/19 PRA approach to interpreting reporting and disclosure requirements and regulatory transactions forms after the UK's withdrawal from the EU.3c

1.6 Any reference to any provision of direct EU legislation is a reference to it as it forms part of retained EU law.

2 Application for internal model approval permission

- 2.1 Firms are reminded that once a formal internal model application has been submitted to the PRA, there is limited opportunity for firms to make substantive changes. Firms should therefore make sure their applications are stable and approved by their internal governance processes prior to formal application. Where firms become aware that they may need to make changes during the application period, these should be discussed with their usual supervisory contact as soon as possible. Where changes are material, a new application is likely to be required. Alternatively, firms might reasonably be able to modify the existing application. If so, the PRA would need to reassess whether the modified application is complete and this may delay the PRA's consideration of the application themselves have an eption to 'stop the clock' on the current application. Neither of these options should be approached lightly. If firms believe that significant model changes are likely to continue into in the formal application phase, they are encouraged to consider delaying their application to the PRA and to discuss options with their usual supervisory contact. In this respect, the preapplication process is a means to help firms verify they are on the right path before they submit an application.
- 2.2 The PRA can approve an internal model application only where it is satisfied that the model has met all the Directive tests and standards (T&S). The PRA will grant an internal model permission where the firm demonstrates to the PRA's satisfaction that it satisfies the

<u>3a</u> April 2019: https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/paper/2019/interpretation-of-eu-guidelines-and-recommendations-boe-and-pra-approach-sop.

April 2019: https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2019/non-binding-pra-materials-the-pras-approach-after-the-uks-withdrawal-from-the-eu-ss.

<u>3c</u> April 2019: https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2019/pra-approach-to-interpreting-reporting-and-disclosure-regs-and-reg-trans-forms-ss.

internal model requirements and calibration standards set out in the PRA Rulebook,3d or where safeguards mitigate non-compliance with the internal model requirements and ensure compliance with the calibration requirements. When reviewing an internal model application, the PRA may consider imposing two types of safeguards, a residual model limitation capital add-on (RML CAO) or a 'requirement' applicable in respect of firm's business practices or model usage. For more information on the PRA's approach to internal model permission applications, or model limitation adjustments (MLAs), firms should refer to SoP – Solvency II internal models: Permissions and ongoing monitoring. Approval must be based on this requirement4 and not an 'on-balance' judgement. Some firms have proposed applying internal management loadings to models to help deal with known areas of weakness which cannot be fully fixed ahead of the formal application. In some cases, such adjustments might help firms to demonstrate that specific areas of the model meet the relevant T&S (for example, the Directive calibration standard of 99.5% over one year).5 However, all areas of the model must meet the Directive requirements and the use of more generic management loadings cannot be used by firms as a mitigant where the model does not meet the required T&S.

2.3 Irrespective of the progress of the internal model application, firms should have an alternative approach that they can use if they fail to gain model approval permission to use the model, for the purpose of calculating the SCR, after submitting an application, and ensure that they have a clear understanding of the actions they would take in those circumstances. For example, a merger or restructuring may make the existing standard formula inappropriate and therefore the applicant would need to have a contingency plan in the event that the PRA did not grant permission to use the internal modelof non-approval.

4 Modelling of the premium provision for general insurance firms

4.2 Firms that do not consider this risk may fall short of the internal model T&S requirements and calibration standards. The PRA considers this risk exists for all actively underwriting internal model firms who model on a one-year earned basis, although it may be that for some firms this risk will be small in the context of their total SCR.

³d The calibration standards are set out in Solvency Capital Requirement – General Provisions 3.3 to 3.4, and the internal model requirements are set out in Solvency Capital Requirement - Internal Models 10 to 16 A.

⁴ Regulation 48(3)(a) of the Regulations; http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2015/575/contents/made.

⁵ Solvency Capital Requirement - General Provisions 3.4 of the PRA Rulebook.

6 Role of non-executive directors

- 6.2 The use test⁷ is one of the T&S firms need to meet. As part of the use test, firms must ensure that members of the board and others involved in running the firm have an sufficient understanding of the model.8 One of the methods the PRA may use to assess whether firms are meeting the use test is to speak to NEDs (either individually or collectively) to gauge their understanding. The PRA does not believe that it is necessary for board members to be technical experts in modelling techniques in order to meet the use test requirements. Rather, the PRA expects board members to understand and be able to explain areas such as the:
 - key strengths, limitations, and judgements within the model;
 - assumptions and judgements that have the most material impact on the model output; and
 - key sources of information and advice the board has relied upon in order to satisfy itself about the appropriateness of both the model design and the model output.

7 Validation of models

7.1 Model justification and validation are two separate processes and represent important components of the Directive requirements Solvency Capital Requirement – Internal Models Part of the PRA Rulebook. The PRA expects firms to demonstrate clearly this demarcation in their implementation.

Model justification

7.2 The Statistical Quality Standards (SQS) for internal models in Solvency Capital Requirements – Internal Models 11 and Solvency Capital Requirement – Internal Models 16.2 and Articles 228 to 237 of the Commission Delegated Regulation, 9 set out requirements in particular on the principles that the methods and assumptions to be used in the internal model must adhere to. Compliance with those requirements must will have to be evidenced to the PRA (Solvency Capital Requirements – Internal Models 3.1).

7.3 For instance, firms will have to justify are required to ensure that the chosen actuarial and statistical methods used to calculate the probability distribution forecast are adequate,

⁷ Solvency Capital Requirements - Internal Models 10.

⁸ Solvency Capital Requirement - Internal Models 10.1-Solvency Capital Requirement - Internal Models 10.

Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35 of 10 October 2014 supplementing Directive 2009/138/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the taking-up and pursuit of the business of Insurance and Reinsurance (Solvency II) Text with EEA relevance.

applicable and relevant, 10 and will have to are required to justify ensure that the assumptions used make adequate allowance for uncertainty taking into account the significance of those assumptions. 14 Such justifications requirements need to be satisfied as part of the SQS requirements, and it is not the aim of the validation process to create a substitute for these requirements. Depending on the firm, such justification requirements could either could be completed satisfied by the first line of defence (as part of the production of outputs within the calculation kernel), or by the second line.

Validation

. . .

7.5 Model justification, as part of the internal model development, is often <u>addressed</u> covered by the validation using a bottom-up approach. Part of this includes the justification of why the modelling choices are reasonable and defensible. However, the <u>The</u> bottom-up approach should cover the validation objectives fully and help boards and senior management to obtain an adequate <u>sufficient</u> understanding of the model, including a justification of why the <u>modelling choices are reasonable and defensible</u>.

The role of boards and senior management in model validation

7.6 The PRA expects validation to be a combination of detailed 'bottom-up' testing and 'top-down' ownership by boards. 13 The PRA expects firms to be able to produce clear evidence showing how boards are overseeing and influencing the design of the validation process, how the findings from validation work are summarised and reported to them and how boards are then involved in tracking validation issues through to resolution. The PRA expects firms to demonstrate clearly that boards are using validation as a tool to enable them to gain a good-sufficient understanding of a model and its strengths and weaknesses. The PRA has high-level expectations on board involvement in validation, as described in the following paragraphs. See also Chapter 6 'Role of non-executive directors'.

7.7 Firms' use of validation materials that are focussed primarily on the <u>a</u> bottom-up justification of parameters and assumptions their internal model may not be aligned clearly enough to enable senior management and boards to challenge effectively the key assumptions and limitations of the model.

. . .

¹⁰⁻Article 229(1a) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35. Solvency Capital Requirements – Internal Models

¹¹ Article 230(2a) of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35.

Solvency Capital Requirement – Internal Models 7.

7.11 To verify the robustness of the internal model, the internal model requirements and calibration standards T&S are designed to ensure that a model is well grounded in its technical content, with good sources of underlying data. The T&S internal model requirements also require that the model and its limitations are properly understood by its users and by senior management at firms, including the board.

8 How the PRA uses quantitative analyses as part of model approval permission

- 8.1 The PRA's quantitative framework for model assessment falls within, in the context of the overall model review process, which is structured around the internal model requirements and calibration standards T&S. The internal toolkit the PRA's uses in its assessments is are framed around those these requirements so that the PRA is able to satisfy itself that the model meets the internal model requirements and calibration standards T&S. Within this structure In its assessments, the PRA applies a series of qualitative and quantitative tools to help identify areas of guide areas that are in need of greater review focus. One of those tools is the quantitative framework for model reviews, which includes the use of specific quantitative indicators ('QIs') where risks are sufficiently homogeneous.
- 8.2 The PRA's decision-making process is built around assessing the T&S, building from a granular assessment of each of the criteria into broader requirement categories (eg 'documentation') through to a final approval or rejection decision. As part of this overall assessment, the PRA has used its will use a risk-based approach to supervision to focus additional scrutiny determine areas of focus on a firm-by-firm basis. In this assessment process the PRA uses a series of indicators to determine the focus of review scrutiny: these are both qualitative (eg a view on the embedding of the model from previous supervisory engagement) and quantitative.
- 8.3 One of the T&S categories The requirements which relates to the calibration standards, which are set out in Solvency Capital Requirement – General Provisions 3.3 to 3.4 Solvency Capital Requirement — General Provisions 3 and Solvency Capital Requirement — Internal Models 12. An assessment of these requirements is geared towards ensuring that the SCR produced by the model corresponds to the value at risk (VaR) of the firm's basic own funds at the 99.5% confidence level over one year.14
- 8.4 The PRA uses its quantitative framework as:

- (a) a diagnostic tool to help assess model rigour and capital adequacy and hence highlight areas of potential concern;
- (b) a prioritisation tool, to help inform where review teams should direct their attention, eg by identifying risks or correlations which may be under-calibrated; and
- (c) one contributor to decision making <u>at internal model permission application stage</u> as to whether a firm has met the <u>internal model requirements and calibration standards</u>—T&S, and therefore whether <u>its model should be approved permission to use the internal model for the purpose of calculating the SCR should be granted, including whether the PRA should impose any safeguards.</u>
- 8.5 Internal models are required to be calibrated to the standard specified in Solvency Capital Requirement General Provisions 3.4. Where risks are homogeneous, a PRA quantitative assessment of the calibration of individual risks and their dependency structures can give an efficient diagnosis of whether there are areas of potential concern where the model has not been calibrated adequately to meet the <u>internal model requirements and calibration</u> standards—T&S. Where the risks are largely (but not totally) homogeneous, the PRA <u>will has</u> tailored its quantitative assessments to reflect a firm's specific risk profile.

. . .

- 8.7 Finally, the outputs of this quantitative analysis constitute one of the many indicators that are taken into account by the PRA in concluding whether the model meets the <u>internal model requirements and calibration standards—T&S</u>. Specifically, while the PRA's quantitative analysis assists in verifying that the calibration standards in Solvency Capital Requirement—General Provisions 3 has <u>have</u> been met it does not negate the need for other aspects of the model to be reviewed, including SQS in Solvency Capital Requirement Internal Models 11.
- 8.8 As set out above, the operation of the quantitative framework does not yield a mechanistic 'pass/ fail' decision. It is worth highlighting once more that the PRA's decision on whether or not to grant permission to use an internal model approval does not hinge on meeting any particular quantitative criterion, but on meeting the T&S. It hinges on the internal model itself, in conjunction with any safeguards to mitigate residual non-compliance with the internal model requirements and ensure compliance with calibration standards. It must also be emphasised that the use of the tools underlying the PRA's quantitative framework is always tailored having regard to a firm's own risk profile.

9 Internal model change policy

9.1 Firms with <u>permission to use</u> an approved-internal model are required to have an approvedinternal model change policy, which is covered by the firm's internal model

permission. 15 Following a grant of permission approval, the internal model change policy is expected to play a central role in the wider governance of a firm's internal model. For example, it should help ensure that the internal model continues to reflect the risks to which a firm is exposed and meet the requirements of the Directive-PRA Rulebook.

9.2 It is important that the internal model change policy is of a good standard. Firms should consider all the relevant Directive PRA Rulebook requirements and the EIOPA Guidelines when developing and maintaining their internal model change policy. Firms are required to report a quarterly summary of minor and major model changes to the PRA using the QMC.01 template and supporting narrative documentation, in accordance with Reporting 2.5A in the PRA Rulebook.

Scope of the model change policy

9.4 When defining the scope of the policy, firms are reminded to consider Guideline 6 (scope of the policy for model changes) of the EIOPA Guidelines on the use of internal models. It is important for firms to consider whether the scope of the model change policy and model change definitions are sufficiently broad and appropriately flexible to be able to capture any changes that could have a material impact on the SCR or to enable the firm to meet the internal model requirements and calibration standards—T&S. For example, the policy recognises that a particular change to a technical provision model may be within scope if that change leads to an impact on the internal model SCR.

. . .

Combination of minor model changes

9.14 A reasonable starting point for each of these may be to:

- accumulate the absolute values of the impact of the minor changes together, unless it could be demonstrated why it would be reasonable to allow the impact of two minor changes to offset each other;
- accumulate changes from the date of the latest minor model change accumulation reset being, either at the end of an annual cycle (that firms may specify) or at the point of receiving a major change application (if permission is granted that application is approved); and

- use indicators similar to those defined for single major changes, where considered appropriate.
- 9.15 A further consideration firms may wish to make is whether it is informative to group minor model changes together by risk or another common feature of the model.

Reporting of model changes to the PRA

9.19 In addition to submitting major changes for approval, according to In accordance with Article 6(2) of Chapter 2A of the Reporting Part of the PRA Rulebook and the EIOPA Guidelines on the use of internal models, firms with permission to use a partial or full internal model are required expected to provide a quarterly summary of minor and major model changes to the PRA. It may be helpful for the summary to group related changes together, for example by risk area or function of the model.

Method of submission

- 9.2019A The PRA expects firms with permission to use an approved internal model to submit their quarterly minor and major model change reports information via the Bank of England Electronic Data Submission (BEEDs) portal.
- 9.19B [Deleted]Documentation should be submitted as 'occasional submissions'.

Timing

- 9.2119C The PRA expects firms with approved internal model Firms are required to submit their quarterly the minor and major model change reports information quarterly, in line with the PRA Solvency II quarterly submission schedule 17-Reporting 2.5B in the PRA Rulebook. Where possible the PRA encourages earlier submission to allow early engagement.
- 9.19D [Deleted] The PRA has provided a template that firms can complete and submit, containing key information regarding minor model changes (Appendix). 18

Format

9.2219E While the submission of the relevant information via the template is optional, the PRA encourages firms to submit information consistent with that outlined in the template as a minimum within their quarterly minor model change reports. The PRA has provided a template, named QMC.01, for firms to complete and submit, containing key information

¹⁷⁻Available in section 1c on the Bank's website at: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudentialregulation/regulatory-reporting/regulatory-reporting-insurance-sector.

⁴⁸ The template, and accompanying LOG file are available under 1i 'Quarterly minor model change reporting for firms with an approved internal model' on the Bank's website at: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudentialregulation/regulatoryreporting/regulatory-reporting-insurance-sector.

regarding minor and major model changes, as set out in Article 6(2) of Chapter 2A of the Reporting Part of the PRA Rulebook. Firms are required to submit the relevant information to the PRA via the QMC.01 template (Appendix).16a

9.2319F Firms may wish to submit supporting quantitative and qualitative document with their template submission. Firms are also required to submit supporting narrative documentation to the PRA, in accordance with Article 6(2) of Chapter 2A of the Reporting Part of the PRA Rulebook, alongside the quantitative information in the QMC.01 template.

Content of the submission

9.24 In submitting the model change information to the PRA, it may be helpful to group related changes together, for example by risk area or function of the model.

9.25 In the supporting narrative documentation, firms are required to provide a description of each model change submitted in the QMC.01 template. Firms are expected to provide sufficient detail in the description to ensure a clear explanation of each model change, which may vary depending on the complexity of the change. The explanation for each change may be both qualitative and quantitative and could include, but is not limited to, the following:

- the reference number, cross-referenced with the respective model change in the QMC.01 template;
- the reason for the model change; and
- the change made to the model.

9.26 In the supporting narrative documentation, firms are also encouraged to provide a brief summary of the model changes made since the last minor model change accumulation reset date. This is expected to include the cumulative figure of minor model changes made since the last accumulation reset date, and how this compares to the threshold that firms have defined in which a combination of minor model changes would constitute a major model change.

Review of the model change policy

9.270 The PRA encourages firms to review the effectiveness of the model change policy on a regular basis to ensure that the internal model continues to reflect the firm's risk profile and meets the internal model requirements and calibration standards—T&S. Firms are also reminded that any change to the model change policy itself is subject to the PRA's approval

¹⁶a The template, and accompanying LOG file are available under 1i 'Quarterly minor model change reporting for firms with an approved internal model' on the Bank's website at: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/regulatoryreporting/regulatory-reporting-insurancesector.

permission. Readers also are referred to SS12/16, 'Changes to internal models used by UK insurance firms'.19

10 Reporting of analysis of change in SCR

10.1 As part of the Internal Model Ongoing Review (IMOR) framework, firms with permission to use a partial or full internal model are required to carry out an analysis of change (AoC) exercise comparing the change in their SCR as at their most recent financial year end and their SCR as at their previous financial year end. The AoC requirements and expectations described in this SS also apply to groups using partial or full internal models in relation to their group SCR calculation. Firms should use the AoC exercises to identify causes of movements in their SCRs. Firms are required to submit the results to the PRA using the AoC.01 template. This requirement is in accordance with Solvency Capital Requirement – Internal Models 13A, and Articles 19 and 35 of Chapter 2A of the Reporting Part of the PRA Rulebook. Firms are required to submit supporting narrative documentation that provides reasons, and documentary evidence to support those reasons, explaining any change in SCR in accordance with Solvency Capital Requirement – Internal Models 13A.

10.2 The PRA may expect a firm seeking a new internal model permission, or variation to an existing permission, to carry out and submit to the PRA the results of an AoC exercise. For example, where there are material changes expected in a firm's SCR between its most recent financial year-end and the application date to vary a permission, or complex changes are proposed to the internal model, the PRA may expect a firm to carry out, and submit the results of, an AoC exercise.

Method of submission

10.3 The PRA expects firms to submit the results of their AoC exercise and supporting narrative documentation via the Bank of England Electronic Data Submission (BEEDs) portal.

Timing

10.4 Commencing year-end 2025, firms are required to submit the results of their annual AoC exercise and supporting narrative documentation to the PRA annually, in line with Reporting 2.5B in the PRA Rulebook for groups and solos, as applicable. Where possible, the PRA encourages earlier submission to allow early engagement. When firms seek new internal model permissions or variations to existing permissions, firms may be expected to submit the results of an AoC exercise and supporting narrative documentation to the PRA and are expected to do so on the permission application date.

¹⁹ September 2016: www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/publication/2016/solvency2changes-to-internal-models-used-by-uk-insurance-firms-ss.

<u>Format</u>

10.5 The PRA has provided an analysis of change template (Appendix),²⁰ named AoC.01, for firms to complete with key information regarding the movement in SCR and submit in accordance with Articles 19 and 35 of Chapter 2A of the Reporting Part of the PRA Rulebook.

10.6 Firms are also required to submit the supporting narrative documentation, in accordance with Solvency Capital Requirement – Internal Models 13A and Articles 19 and 35 of Chapter 2A of the Reporting Part of the PRA Rulebook, in a format they choose.

Content of AoC.01 template

- 10.7 Firms are expected to submit a granular analysis of the material reasons contributing to the SCR movement over the period. Examples of such reasons include, but are not limited to, investment changes and risk profile changes.
- 10.8 In considering whether a reason is material, firms may use a number of criteria with a mixture of both qualitative and quantitative considerations. This definition is expected to align with practice used in firms' internal AoC exercises in their SCR, where such exercises are completed. Examples of material reasons include whether the reason impacts the SCR by a specified percentage of the SCR, and whether the reason would lead to the firm considering implementing a management action.
- 10.9 Where the movement in SCR for firms using partial internal models includes material movements in the SCR of standard formula risk modules, firms are expected to provide this breakdown in the AoC exercise.
- 10.10 The AoC.01 is largely free-form, therefore firms are able to choose the order and categorisation with which the AoC exercise is completed and results submitted. The PRA expects that the order and categorisation of SCR movements in the AoC exercise should align with firms' internal AoC in SCR, where such exercises are completed. In particular, the PRA expects the analysis submitted to be sufficiently granular to enable stakeholders, such as the board, to understand the key reasons, which may include changes in risk profile, of SCR movements over the relevant period.
- 10.11 Where firms consider it useful information, firms are encouraged to carry out, and provide the results of, an AoC in SCR movement split by a major ring-fenced fund, as appropriate.

²⁰ The template, and accompanying LOG file are available under 'Analysis of change reporting for firms with an approved internal model' on the Bank's website at: https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudentialregulation/regulatory-reporting/regulatory-reporting-insurance-sector.

Content of supporting narrative documentation

10.12 Firms are required to provide reasons, and documentary evidence to support those reasons, explaining any change in SCR, in supporting narrative documentation. The supporting narrative documentation should include an explanation of each of the causes of SCR movements provided in the AoC.01 template, including the balancing item. Firms are expected to provide both quantitative and qualitative information in this supporting narrative documentation. In addition, in the supporting narrative documentation, firms are expected to detail:

- the governance process followed in completion of the AoC exercise. This may include, but is not limited to, any limitations in the results, the process the firm has taken to validate the results and the individual or committee by which the exercise was signed off;
- any material actions taken internally following completion of the AoC exercise;
- the reasons for any movement in diversification benefit over the period covered by the AoC exercise;
- the definition of material used in the AoC exercise, in line with 10.7 and 10.8; and

J. S. KO.

a list of any MLAs and CAOs. This should be an up-to-date list of MLAs and CAOs which contributed to the calculation of the most recently reported SCR, for example, the most recent financial year-end.

10.13 Firms are encouraged to provide additional information, to that listed in 10.12, in the supporting narrative documentation, where helpful.

Appendix

Template QMC.01 and LOG file are available at:

https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/regulatory-reporting/regulatory-reporting-insurance-sector

Template AoC.01 and LOG file are available at:

https://www.bankofengland.co.uk/prudential-regulation/regulatory-reporting/regulatory-reporting-insurance-sector

