
Policy Statement | PS3/13

Financial Conglomerates Directive Technical Review

May 2013





Policy Statement | PS3/13

Financial Conglomerates Directive Technical Review

May 2013

- 1. This Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) policy statement publishes the final rules implementing the Financial Conglomerates Directive Technical Review (2011/89/EC) (FICOD 1) which amends the Financial Conglomerates Directive (2002/87/EC) and certain other Directives insofar as they apply to financial conglomerates. This statement is relevant to financial conglomerates and financial groups which carry out activities in both banking/investment and insurance sectors.
- 2. In CP12/40⁽¹⁾ the Financial Services Authority (FSA) consulted, on behalf of the PRA and the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and in conjunction with HM Treasury, on proposals for implementing FICOD 1. Consideration of the responses received has resulted in some adjustments to the instrument in the appendix, and a description of these is set out in this statement.

Summary of proposals

- 3. FICOD 1 introduced technical amendments aimed at correcting unintended consequences and improving inefficiencies of the original directive. The FICOD 1 changes relate to:
- the application of conglomerate supervision to ensure that it supplements, but does not substitute, sectoral supervision when a group is headed by a financial or insurance holding company;
- the conglomerate capital calculation methodology;
- inclusion of asset management companies and alternative investment fund managers within the conglomerate identification process;
- · conglomerate identification threshold triggers; and
- · requirements for conglomerate stress testing.

Consultation responses

- 4. In total there were three respondents. All expressed support for the proposed 'copy-out' approach which implements directive text into the handbook without supplementary provisions or guidance. However, respondents sought clarification of the proposed changes in certain areas. Our response to this is set out below.
- 5. One respondent noted that the introduction of the new threshold test 3A in the financial conglomerate decision tree (GENPRU 3 Annex 4) implies an automatic exemption from conglomerate status. As indicated in the consultation paper, an exemption under FICOD 1 Article 3(3A) is available only at the discretion of the co-ordinator, in consultation with other competent authorities, in the same way as is currently the case for an exemption under threshold test 3 (FICOD 1, Article 3(3)). Where the PRA or the FCA is the co-ordinator, it is intended that firms would be able to apply to the appropriate regulator

- for a waiver or rule modification which would provide an exemption on the basis of FICOD 1 Articles 3(3) or 3(3A). The decision tree will therefore remain as currently in force.
- 6. To allay certain concerns raised about potential double counting and internal inconsistencies for insurance entities, the PRA confirms that sectoral requirements are intended to be specifically applied at the mixed financial holding company (MFHC) level to counter the situation where a holding company to which sectoral rules would have applied becomes an MFHC, potentially displacing those sectoral rules. However, where the application of requirements at the level of both the ultimate European Economic Area (EEA) MFHC and the ultimate EEA insurance holding company results in duplication, it is our intention to consider waiving requirements at the level of the MFHC. We have decided not to include MFHC in the definition of insurance parent undertaking and we have amended the handbook text to clarify the level at which INSPRU 6.1 and certain reporting and disclosure requirements in SUP 15.9 and IPRU(INS) 9.40 apply to conglomerates.
- 7. One respondent asked for clarification on conglomerate stress testing and queried future consultation on European Supervisory Authority (ESA) conglomerate stress-testing guidance. For financial conglomerates, the PRA's intention is to stress test each sector at the same time so that cross-sector considerations can more easily be taken into account. Such testing will be case specific, to be agreed between the conglomerate and its supervisor, but we do not envisage significant changes to existing arrangements. We will consult on any proposals for EU-wide stress testing which entail changes to our rulebook.
- 8. All respondents supported the proposed approach that the financial conglomerate, having consulted the PRA, should choose which capital calculation method it will apply. However, two specific issues were raised. First, the addition of MFHC to the definition of insurance parent undertaking appears to take away the apparent choice for MFHC conglomerates to choose their calculation method by applying the requirements of INSPRU 6.1 (which implements the deduction and aggregation method) unless those requirements are waived. Second, INSPRU 6.1.62R–64R continues to implement calculation method 3 for ancillary services undertakings although that method is no longer available under FICOD 1.
- 9. A firm which now becomes subject to sectoral reporting under INSPRU 6.1 in respect of an MFHC conglomerate but which wishes to elect to use method 1 (accounting consolidation) (as available to conglomerates under GENPRU 3.1) in calculating group capital resources rather

than the default method 2 (deduction and aggregation) as applied by INSPRU 6.1 to insurance groups, may be permitted to do so to the extent that sectoral requirements are waived. A provision specifically dealing with this type of waiver has been added to INSPRU 6.1. The availability of method 1 under GENPRU 3.1 for insurance conglomerates is unchanged as is the scope of the application of sectoral rules in INSPRU 6.1 to insurance conglomerates in calculating conglomerate capital resources. With regard to the treatment of ancillary services undertaking, INSPRU 6.1.62R–64R (which apply for insurance groups the higher of book value and capital requirement deduction method which no longer applies to conglomerates) have been amended to exclude application to conglomerates.

10. One respondent queried the application of Part V of Chapter 9 of IPRU(INS) to conglomerates. The PRA confirms that the intention is to provide flexibility for MFHC conglomerates to agree reporting arrangements (including requirements for auditor verification) with their supervisor.

The existing approach, whereby conglomerates using discrete calculation methods may agree reporting arrangements with their supervisor, has been extended to conglomerates using a combination method (with the mandatory use of the forms in Appendix 9.1 of IPRU(INS)/Form 95 and related requirements for an auditor's report now being disapplied). The intention is to apply Part V of Chapter 9 of IPRU(INS) (and the related requirement for an auditor's report that the capital adequacy calculation has been properly compiled) to MFHC conglomerates on a full sectoral basis only in the case where an insurance holding company becomes an MFHC and the sectoral reporting rules at that level would otherwise fall away. However, where adequate reporting at MFHC conglomerate level has been agreed with the firm's supervisor, it is intended that this supplementary sectoral requirement on an MFHC conglomerate should be waived in favour of applicable conglomerate reporting. We have amended the text to reflect that.

Appendix

Financial Conglomerates Directive Technical Review (PRA) Instrument 2013

FINANCIAL CONGLOMERATES DIRECTIVE (HANDBOOK AMENDMENTS) INSTRUMENT 2013

Powers exercised

- A. The Prudential Regulation Authority makes this instrument in the exercise of the following powers and related provisions in the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 ("the Act"):
 - (1) section 137E (The PRA's general rules); and
 - (2) section 137R (General supplementary powers).
- B. The rule-making powers listed above are specified for the purpose of section 138G(2) (Rule-making instruments) of the Act.

Commencement

C. This instrument comes into force on 10 June 2013.

Amendments to the PRA Handbook

D. The modules of the PRA Handbook of rules and guidance listed in column (1) below are amended in accordance with the Annexes to this instrument listed in column (2).

| (1) | (2) | | |
|--|---------|--|--|
| Glossary of definitions | Annex A | | |
| General Prudential sourcebook (GENPRU) | Annex B | | |
| Prudential sourcebook for Banks, Building Societies and Investment Firms (BIPRU) | Annex C | | |
| Prudential sourcebook for Insurers (INSPRU) | | | |
| Interim Prudential sourcebook for Insurers (IPRU(INS)) | | | |
| Supervision manual (SUP) | | | |

Citation

F. This instrument may be cited as the Financial Conglomerates Directive (Handbook Amendments) Instrument 2013.

By order of the Board of the Prudential Regulation Authority 29 May 2013

Annex A

Amendments to the Glossary of definitions

In this Annex, underlining indicates new text and striking through indicates deleted text, unless otherwise stated.

Insert the following new definitions in the appropriate alphabetical position. The text is not underlined.

alternative investment fund manager

a manager of alternative investment funds within the meaning of Article 4(1)(b), (l) and (ab) of Directive 2011/61/EU or an *undertaking* which is outside the *EEA* and which would require authorisation in accordance with Directive 2011/61/EU if it had its registered office within the *EEA*.

EEA parent mixed financial holding company

(in accordance with Article 4(17a) of the Banking Consolidation Directive (Definitions)) a parent mixed financial holding company in a Member State which is not a subsidiary undertaking of an institution authorised in any EEA State or of another financial holding company or mixed financial holding company established in any EEA State.

FICOD 1

the European Parliament and Council Directive amending Directives 98/78/EC, 2002/87/EC, 2006/48/EC and 2009/138/EC regarding the supplementary supervision of financial entities in a financial conglomerate (No 2011/89/EU).

MFHC conglomerate

a financial conglomerate which is headed by a mixed financial holding company.

parent mixed financial holding company in a Member State

(in accordance with Article 4(15a) of the Banking Consolidation Directive (Definitions)) a mixed financial holding company which is not itself a subsidiary undertaking of an institution authorised in the same EEA State, or of a financial holding company or mixed financial holding company established in the same EEA State.

ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company

a mixed financial holding company which has its head office in an EEA State and which is not itself the subsidiary undertaking of another mixed financial holding company, insurance parent undertaking or financial holding company which has its head office in an EEA State.

ultimate mixed financial holding company

a mixed financial holding company which is not itself the subsidiary undertaking of another mixed financial holding company, insurance parent undertaking, or financial holding company.

Amend the following definitions as shown.

conglomerate capital resources

(in relation to a *financial conglomerate* with respect to which *GENPRU* 3.1.29R (Application of methods method 1, or 2 or 3 from Annex I of the *Financial Groups Directive*) applies) capital resources as defined in whichever of paragraphs 1.1, or 2.1 or 3.1 of *GENPRU* 3 Annex 1R (Capital adequacy calculations for financial conglomerates) applies with respect to that *financial conglomerate*.

conglomerate capital resources

(in relation to a *financial conglomerate* with respect to which

requirement

GENPRU 3.1.29 \underline{R} (Application of methods method 1_7 or 2 or 3 from Annex I of the *Financial Groups Directive*) applies) the capital resources requirement defined in whichever of paragraphs 1.3_7 or 2.4 or 3.3 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R (Capital adequacy calculations for financial conglomerates) applies with respect to that *financial conglomerate*.

EEA parent financial holding company

(in accordance with Article 4(17) of the Banking Consolidation Directive and Article 3 of the Capital Adequacy Directive (Definitions)) a parent financial holding company in a Member State which is not a subsidiary undertaking of an institution authorised in any EEA State or of another financial holding company or mixed financial holding company set up established in any EEA State.

EEA parent institution

(in accordance with Article 4(16) of the Banking Consolidation Directive and Article 2 of the Capital Adequacy Directive (Definitions)) a parent institution in a Member State which is not a subsidiary undertaking of another institution authorised in any EEA State, or of a financial holding company or mixed financial holding company set up established in any EEA State.

insurance sector

a sector composed of one or more of the following entities:

- (a) an insurance undertaking insurance undertaking;
- (b) an insurance holding company; and
- (c) (in the circumstances described in *GENPRU* 3.1.39R (The financial sectors: Asset management companies <u>and alternative investment fund managers</u>)) an <u>asset management company or an alternative investment fund manager</u>.

investment services sector

a sector composed of one or more of the following entities:

- (a) an investment firm;
- (b) a financial institution; and
- (c) (in the circumstances described in *GENPRU* 3.1.39R (The financial sectors: Asset management companies <u>and</u> <u>alternative investment fund managers</u>)) an <u>asset management company or an alternative investment fund manager</u>.

mixed financial holding company

(in accordance with Article 2(15) of the *Financial Groups Directive* (Definitions)) a *parent undertaking*, other than a *regulated entity*, which meets the following conditions:

- it, together with its subsidiary undertakings, at least one of which is an EEA regulated entity, and other entities, constitutes a financial conglomerate;
- (b) it has been notified by its *coordinator* that its group is a *financial conglomerate* in accordance with Article 4(2) of the *Financial Groups Directive*; and
- (c) it has not been notified that its *coordinator* and other relevant competent authorities have agreed not to treat the group as a *financial conglomerate* in accordance with Article 3(3) or Article 3(3a) of the Financial Groups Directive.

parent financial holding company in a Member State

(in accordance with Article 4(15) of the Banking Consolidation Directive (Definitions) and Article 3 of the Capital Adequacy Directive (Definitions)) a financial holding company which is not itself a subsidiary undertaking of an institution authorised in the same EEA State, or of a financial holding company or mixed financial holding company set up established in the same EEA State.

parent institution in a Member State

(in accordance with Article 4(14) of the Banking Consolidation Directive and Article 3 of the Capital Adequacy Directive (Definitions)) an institution which has an institution or a financial institution as a subsidiary undertaking or which holds a participation in such an institution, and which is not itself a subsidiary undertaking of another institution authorised in the same EEA State, or of a financial holding company or mixed financial holding company set up established in the same EEA State.

regulated entity

one of the following:

. . .

An asset management company is treated as a regulated entity for the purposes described in *GENPRU* 3.1.39R (The financial sectors: asset management companies).

An alternative investment fund manager is treated as a regulated entity for the purposes described in *GENPRU* 3.1.39R (The financial sectors: alternative investment fund managers).

regulated related undertaking

a related undertaking that is any of the following:

- (a) a regulated entity; or
- (b) an insurance undertaking which is not a regulated insurance entity; or
- (c) an asset management company; or
- (d) a financial institution which is neither a credit institution nor an investment firm; or
- (e) a financial holding company; or
- (f) an insurance holding company; or
- (g) a mixed financial holding company.

risk concentration

(in accordance with Article 2(19) of the *Financial Groups Directive* (Definitions)) all <u>risk</u> exposures with a loss potential borne by entities within a *financial conglomerate*, which are <u>is</u> large enough to threaten the solvency or the financial position in general of the <u>regulated entities</u> in the <u>financial conglomerate</u>; <u>whether</u> such exposures <u>may be are</u> caused by counterparty risk, <u>investment risk</u>, insurance risk, market risk, other risks, or a combination or interaction of these risks.

UK regulated EEA financial conglomerate

a *financial conglomerate* (other than a *third-country financial conglomerate*) that satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (a) GENPRU 3.1.26 or GENPRU 3.1.29R (Capital adequacy calculations for *financial conglomerates*) applies with respect to it; or
- (b) a *firm* that is a member of that *financial conglomerate* is subject to obligations imposed through its *Part 4A* permission to ensure that *financial conglomerate* meets levels of capital adequacy based or stated to be based on Annex I of the *Financial Groups Directive*.

Annex B

Amendments to the General Prudential sourcebook (GENPRU)

In this Annex, underlining indicates new text and striking through indicates deleted text.

3.1.1 R ...

(3) GENPRU 3.1.25R (Capital adequacy requirements: high level requirement), GENPRU 3.1.26R (Capital adequacy requirements: application of Method 4 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive), GENPRU 3.1.29R (Capital adequacy requirements: application of Methods 1, 2 or 3 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive) and GENPRU 3.1.35R (Risk concentration and intra group transactions: the main rule) do not apply with respect to a third-country financial conglomerate.

. . .

Introduction: identifying a financial conglomerate

3.1.3 G ...

(10) If a mixed financial holding company is subject to equivalent provisions under BIPRU 8 (Group risk consolidation) and under GENPRU 3 (Cross sector groups) and the appropriate regulator is the coordinator, the appropriate regulator may, on application by a firm and after consulting other competent authorities responsible for the supervision of subsidiaries, disapply such provisions of BIPRU 8 with regard to the mixed financial holding company and apply only the relevant provisions of GENPRU 3 to the mixed financial holding company.

. . .

Definition of financial conglomerate: discretionary changes to the definition

- 3.1.13 G Articles 3(3) to 3(6), Article 5(4) and Article 6(5) of the *Financial Groups Directive* allow *competent authorities*, on a case by case basis, to:
 - (1) change the definition of *financial conglomerate* and the obligations applying with respect to a *financial conglomerate* (which would include, where the *appropriate regulator* would be the *coordinator* under *GENPRU* 3.1.3G(6), permitting *firms* to apply, on an annual basis and subject to publication and notification to the *relevant competent authorities*, for a group of which it is a member not to be regarded as a *financial conglomerate* on the basis of Article 3(3) of the *Financial Groups Directive* (for a group that, in terms of the tests in *GENPRU* 3 Annex 4R, does not meet Threshold Test 2 but meets Threshold Test 3) or Article 3(3a) of the *Financial Groups Directive* (for a group that, in terms of the tests in *GENPRU* 3 Annex 4R, meets Threshold Test 2 but not Threshold Test 3);

...

• • •

3.1.17 G Annex I of the *Financial Groups Directive* lays down four three methods for calculating capital adequacy at the level of a *financial conglomerate*. Those four three methods are implemented as follows:

...

- (3) Method 3 calculates capital adequacy using book values and the deduction of capital requirements. It is implemented by GENPRU 3.1.29R to GENPRU 3.1.31R and Part 3 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R. [deleted]
- (4) Method 4 Method 3 consists of a combination of Methods 1, 2 and 3 1 and 2 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive, or a combination of two of those Methods. It is implemented by GENPRU 3.1.26R to GENPRU 3.1.28R, GENPRU 3.1.30R and Part 4 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1 and would be implemented by means of a requirement.
- 3.1.18 G Part 4 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R (Use of Method 4 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive) applies the appropriate regulator's sectoral rules with respect to the financial conglomerate as a whole, with some adjustments. Where Part 4 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R applies the appropriate regulator's sectoral rules for:
 - (1) the insurance sector, that involves a combination of Methods 2 and 3; and
 - (2) the banking sector and the investment services sector, that involves a combination of Methods 1 and 3. [deleted]

. . .

- 3.1.20 G (1) In the following cases, the appropriate regulator (acting as coordinator) may choose which of the four methods for calculating capital adequacy laid down in Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive should apply:
 - (a) where a financial conglomerate is headed by a regulated entity that has been authorised by the appropriate regulator; or
 - (b) the only relevant competent authority for the financial conglomerate is the appropriate regulator. [deleted]
 - (2) GENPRU 3.1.28R automatically applies Method 4 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive in these circumstances except in the cases set out in GENPRU 3.1.28R(1)(e) and GENPRU 3.1.28R(1)(f). The process in GENPRU 3.1.22G does not apply. [deleted]
- 3.1.21 G Where GENPRU 3.1.20G does not apply, the <u>The</u> Annex I method to be applied is may be decided by the coordinator after consultation with the relevant competent authorities and the financial conglomerate itself. Where the appropriate regulator acts as coordinator, the financial conglomerate itself may choose which of Method 1 or Method 2 from Annex I it will apply, unless the firm is subject to a requirement obliging the firm to apply a particular method.
- 3.1.22 G The method of calculating capital adequacy chosen in respect of a financial conglomerate as described in GENPRU 3.1.21G will be applied with respect to that financial conglomerate by varying the Part 4A permission of a firm in that financial conglomerate to include a requirement. That requirement will have the effect of obliging the firm to ensure that the financial conglomerate has capital resources of the type and amount needed to comply with whichever of the methods in GENPRU 3 Annex 1R is to be applied with respect to that financial conglomerate. The powers in the Act relating to waivers and varying a firm's Part 4A permission can be used to implement one of the methods from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive in a way that is different from that set out in GENPRU 3.1 and GENPRU 3 Annex 1R if that is necessary to reflect the consultations referred to in GENPRU 3.1.21G. [deleted]

- 3.1.23 G If there is more than one firm in a financial conglomerate with a Part 4A permission, the appropriate regulator would not normally expect to apply the requirement described in GENPRU 3.1.22G to all of them. Normally it will only be necessary to apply it to one. [deleted]
- 3.1.24 G The appropriate regulator expects that in all or most cases falling into GENPRU 3.1.21G, the rules in Part 4 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R will be applied. [deleted]

. . .

Capital adequacy requirements: application of Method 4 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive

- 3.1.26 G If this rule applies under GENPRU 3.1.27R to a firm with respect to a financial conglomerate of which it is a member, the firm must at all times have capital resources of an amount and type:
 - (1) that ensure that the financial conglomerate has capital resources of an amount and type that comply with the rules applicable with respect to that financial conglomerate under Part 4 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R (as modified by that annex); and
 - (2) that as a result ensure that the *firm* complies with those *rules* (as so modified) with respect to that *financial conglomerate*. [deleted]
- 3.1.27 R GENPRU 3.1.26R applies to a firm with respect to a financial conglomerate of which it is a member if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (1) the condition in GENPRU 3.1.28R is satisfied; or
 - (2) this *rule* is applied to the *firm* with respect to that *financial conglomerate* as described in *GENPRU* 3.1.30R. [deleted]

Capital adequacy requirements: compulsory application of Method 3 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive

- 3.1.28 R (1) The condition in this *rule* is satisfied for the purpose of *GENPRU*3.1.27R(1) with respect to a *firm* and a *financial conglomerate* of which it is a member (with the result that *GENPRU* 3.1.26R automatically applies to that *firm*) if:
 - (a) notification has been made in accordance with regulation 2 of the Financial Groups Directive Regulations that the financial conglomerate is a financial conglomerate and that the appropriate regulator is coordinator of that financial conglomerate;
 - (b) the financial conglomerate is not part of a wider UK regulated EEA financial conglomerate;
 - (c) the financial conglomerate is not an UK regulated EEA financial conglomerate under another rule or under paragraph (b) of the definition of UK regulated EEA financial conglomerate (application of supplementary supervision through a firm's Part 4A permission);
 - (d) one of the following conditions is satisfied:
 - (i) the financial conglomerate is headed by a regulated entity

that is a UK domestic firm; or

- (ii) the only relevant competent authority for that financial conglomerate is the appropriate regulator;
- (e) this rule is not disapplied under paragraph 5.7 of GENPRU 3
 Annex 1R (No capital ties); and
- (f) the financial conglomerate meets the condition set out in the box titled Threshold Test 2 (10% average of balance sheet and solvency requirements) in the financial conglomerate definition decision tree. [deleted]
- (2) Once GENPRU 3.1.26R applies to a firm with respect to a financial conglomerate of which it is a member under GENPRU 3.1.27R(1), (1)(f) ceases to apply with respect to that financial conglomerate. Therefore the fact that the financial conglomerate subsequently ceases to meet the condition in (1)(f) does not mean that the condition in this rule is not satisfied. [deleted]

Capital adequacy requirements: application of Methods Method 1, or 2 or 3 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive

- 3.1.29 R If, with respect to a *firm* and a *financial conglomerate* of which it is a member, this rule is applied applies under GENPRU 3.1.29AR to the *firm* with respect to that *financial conglomerate* as described in GENPRU 3.1.30R, the *firm* must at all times have capital resources of an amount and type that ensures that the conglomerate capital resources of that *financial conglomerate* at all times equal or exceed its *conglomerate capital resources requirement*.
- 3.1.29A R GENPRU 3.1.29R applies to a firm with respect to the financial conglomerate of which it is a member if notification has been made in accordance with regulation 2 of the Financial Groups Directive Regulations that the financial conglomerate is a financial conglomerate and that the appropriate regulator is coordinator of that financial conglomerate.

Capital adequacy requirements: use of Part 4A permission <u>requirement</u> to apply Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive

- 3.1.30 R With respect to a firm and a financial conglomerate of which it is a member_lf GENPRU 3.1.29R (application of Method 1 or 2 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive) applies to a firm with respect to the financial conglomerate of which it is a member, then with respect to the firm and the financial conglomerate:
 - (1) GENPRU 3.1.26R (Method 4 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive) is applied to the firm with respect to that financial conglomerate for the purposes of GENPRU 3.1.27R(2); or the definitions of conglomerate capital resources and conglomerate capital resources requirement that apply for the purposes of that rule are the ones from whichever of Part 1 or Part 2 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R the firm has indicated to the appropriate regulator it will apply, unless the firm is subject to a requirement obliging the firm to apply a specific part of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R, in which case GENPRU 3.1.31R will apply; and
 - (2) GENPRU 3.1.29R (Methods 1 to 3 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive) is applied to the firm with respect to that financial conglomerate; the firm must indicate to the appropriate regulator in advance which Part of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R the firm intends to apply.

if the firm's Part 4A permission contains a requirement obliging the firm to comply with GENPRU 3.1.26R or, as the case may be, GENPRU 3.1.29R.

3.1.31 R If GENPRU 3.1.29 R (application of Methods Method 1-3 or 2 from Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive) applies to a firm with respect to a financial conglomerate of which it is a member, and the firm is subject to a requirement obliging the firm to apply a specific part of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R, the definitions of conglomerate capital resources and conglomerate capital resources requirement that apply for the purposes of that rule are the ones from whichever of Part 1, or Part 2 or Part 3 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R is specified in the requirement referred to in GENPRU 3.1.30R.

. . .

The financial sectors: asset management companies <u>and alternative investment fund managers</u>

- 3.1.39 R (1) In accordance with Article Articles 30 and 30a of the Financial Groups

 Directive (Asset management companies and Alternative investment fund managers), this rule deals with the inclusion of an asset management company or an alternative investment fund manager that is a member of a financial conglomerate in the scope of regulation of financial conglomerates. This rule does not apply to the definition of financial conglomerate.
 - (2) An asset management company or an alternative investment fund manager is in the overall financial sector and is a regulated entity for the purpose of:
 - (a) GENPRU 3.1.26R 3.1.29R to GENPRU 3.1.36R;

...

- (3) In the case of a *financial conglomerate* for which the *appropriate regulator* is the *coordinator*, all *asset management companies* and all *alternative investment fund managers* must be allocated to one *financial sector* to which they belong for the purposes in (2), being either the *investment services sector* or the *insurance sector*. But if that choice has not been made in accordance with (4) and notified to the *appropriate regulator* in accordance with (4)(d), an *asset management company* or an *alternative investment fund manager* must be allocated to the *investment services* sector smallest *financial sector*.
- (4) The choice in (3):
 - (a)
 - (b) applies to all asset management companies and all <u>alternative</u> investment fund managers that are members of the financial conglomerate from time to time;

...

...

...

3 Annex 1R Capital adequacy calculations for financial conglomerates (GENPRU 3.1.26R and GENPRU 3.1.29R)

. . .

3. Table: PART 3: Method 3 of Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive (Book value/Requirement Method) [deleted]

| Capital resources | 3.1 | The conglomerate capital resources of a financial conglomerate calculated in accordance with this Part are equal to the capital resources of the person at the head of the financial conglomerate that qualify under paragraph 3.2. |
|-------------------------------|-----|--|
| - | 3.2 | The elements of capital that qualify for the purposes of paragraph 3.1 are those that qualify in accordance with the applicable sectoral rules. In particular, the portion of the conglomerate capital resources requirement attributable to a particular member of a financial sector must be met by capital resources that would be eligible under the sectoral rules that apply to the calculation of its solo capital resources. |
| Capital resources requirement | 3.3 | The conglomerate capital resources requirement of a financial conglomerate calculated in accordance with this Part is equal to the sum of the following amounts for each member of the overall financial sector: (1) (in the case of the person at the head of the financial conglomerate) its sole capital resources requirement; (2) (in the case of any other member) the higher of the following two amounts: (a) its sole capital resources requirement; and (b) the book value of the interest of the person at the head of the financial conglomerate in that member. |
| - | 3.4 | A participation may be valued using the equity method of accounting. |
| Partial inclusion | 3.5 | The capital resources requirement of a member of the financial conglomerate in the overall financial sector must be included proportionally. If however the member has a solvency deficit and is a subsidiary undertaking, it must be included in full. |
| Accounts | 3.6 | The information required for the purpose of establishing whether or not a firm is complying with GENPRU 3.1.29R (insofar as the definitions in this Part are applied for the purpose of that rule) must be based on the individual accounts of members of the financial conglomerate, together with such other sources of information as appropriate. |

4 Table: PART 4: Method 4 of Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive (Combination of Methods 1, 2 and 3) [deleted]

| rules conglomerate under GENPRU 3.1.26R are those relating to capital adequacy and solvency set out in the table in paragraph 4.2. | Applicable sectoral rules | 4.1 | " |
|---|---------------------------|-----|---|
|---|---------------------------|-----|---|

⁵ Table: Paragraph 4.2: Application of sectoral consolidation rules [deleted]

| Type of financial conglomerate | Applicable sectoral consolidation rules |
|--|---|
| Banking and investment services conglomerate | BIPRU 8 and BIPRU TP, subject to paragraph 4.5. |
| Insurance conglomerate | INSPRU 6.1 amended in accordance with Part 5. |

6 Table

| Types of financial conglomerate | 4.3 | (1) This paragraph sets out how to determine the category of financial conglomerate for the purposes of paragraphs 4.1 and 4.2 |
|---------------------------------|-----|--|
| | | |

. . .

8 Table: PART 5: Principles applicable to all methods

| Application of sectoral rules: Banking sector and investment services | 5.6 | The following adjustments apply to the <i>applicable sectoral rules</i> for the <i>banking sector</i> and the <i>investment services sector</i> as they are applied by the <i>rules</i> in this annex. |
|---|-----|--|
| sector | | (1) References in those <i>rules</i> to <i>non-EEA sub-groups</i> do not apply. |
| | | (2) (For the purposes of Parts 1 to 3 and 2), where those <i>rules</i> require a group to be treated as if it were a single <i>undertaking</i> , those <i>rules</i> apply to the <i>banking sector</i> and <i>investment services sector</i> taken together. |
| | | (3) Any investment firm consolidation waivers granted to members of the financial conglomerate do not apply. |
| | | (4) (For the purposes of Parts 1 to 4 Part 3), without prejudice to the application of requirements in BIPRU 8 preventing the use of an advanced prudential calculation approach on a consolidated basis, any advanced prudential calculation approach permission that applies for the purpose of BIPRU 8 does not apply. |
| | | (5) (For the purposes of Parts 1 to 4 <u>Part 3</u>), <i>BIPRU</i> 8.5.9R and <i>BIPRU</i> 8.5.10R do not apply. |
| | | (6) (For the purposes of Parts 1 to 4 Part 3), where the financial conglomerate does not include a credit institution, the method in GENPRU 2 Annex 4R must be used for calculating the capital resources and BIPRU 8.6.8R does not apply. |
| No capital ties | 5.7 | (1) This <i>rule</i> deals with a <i>financial conglomerate</i> in which some of the members are not linked by capital ties at the time of the notification referred to in <i>GENPRU</i> 3.1.28R(1) (Capital adequacy requirements: Compulsory application of Method 4 from Application of Annex I of the Financial Groups Directive). |
| | | (2) If: |
| | | (a) GENPRU 3.1.26R (Capital adequacy requirements: Application of Method 4 from Application of Annex I of the Financial Groups |

Directive) would otherwise apply with respect to a *financial* conglomerate under GENPRU 3.1.28R; and

(b) all members of that *financial conglomerate* are linked directly or indirectly with each other by capital ties except for members that collectively are of negligible interest with respect to the objectives of supplementary supervision of *regulated entities* in a *financial conglomerate* (the "peripheral members");

. . .

9 Table: PART 6: Definitions used in this Annex


| Defining the financial sectors | 6.1 | For the purposes of Parts 1 to 3 1 and 2 of this annex (but, not for the purposes of the definition of most important financial sector): |
|--------------------------------|-----|--|
| | | (1) an asset management company is allocated in accordance with GENPRU 3.1.39R; and |
| | | (2) <u>an alternative investment fund manager</u> is allocated in accordance with <u>GENPRU 3.1.39R</u> ; and |
| | | (3) a mixed financial holding company must be treated as being a member of the most important financial sector. |

. . .

Annex C

Amendments to the Prudential sourcebook for Banks, Building Societies and Investment Firms (BIPRU)

In this Annex, underlining indicates new text and striking through indicates deleted text.



- 3.2.25 R (1) Subject to BIPRU 3.2.35R, and with the exception of exposures giving rise to liabilities in the form of the items referred to in BIPRU 3.2.26R, a firm is not required to comply with BIPRU 3.2.20R (Calculation of risk weighted exposures amounts under the standardised approach) in the case of the exposures of the firm to a counterparty which is its parent undertaking, its subsidiary undertaking or a subsidiary undertaking of its parent undertaking, provided that the following conditions are met:
 - (a) the counterparty is:
 - (i) a core concentration risk group counterparty, and
 - (ii) an institution, financial holding company, mixed financial holding company, financial institution, asset management company or ancillary services undertaking subject to appropriate prudential requirements;

. . .

...

..

4.2 The IRB approach: High level material

...

General approach to granting an IRB permission

...

4.2.3 R Where an EEA parent institution and its subsidiary undertakings or an EEA parent financial holding company and its subsidiary undertakings or an EEA parent mixed financial holding company and its subsidiary undertakings use the IRB approach on a unified basis, the question whether the minimum IRB standards are met is answered by considering the parent undertaking and its subsidiary undertakings together, unless the firm's IRB permission specifies otherwise.

...

...

Combined use of methodologies: Basic provisions

4.2.26 R ...

(6) A firm may apply the standardised approach to exposures of a firm to a counterparty which is its parent undertaking, its subsidiary undertaking or a subsidiary undertaking of its parent undertaking, provided that the counterparty is an institution, a financial holding company, a mixed financial holding company, a financial institution, an asset management company or an ancillary services undertaking subject to appropriate prudential requirements.

. . .

. . .

6 Operational risk

. . .

6.5 Operational risk: Advanced measurement approaches

. . .

Use of an advanced approach on a groupwide basis

R Where an EEA parent institution and its subsidiary undertakings or an EEA parent financial holding company and its subsidiary undertakings or an EEA parent mixed financial holding company and its subsidiary undertakings use an advanced measurement approach on a unified basis for the parent undertaking and its subsidiary undertakings, the qualifying criteria set out in BIPRU 6.5 may be met by the parent undertaking and its subsidiary undertakings considered together where permitted by the AMA permission.

...

- 6.5.32 G Where the *AMA* is used on a unified basis for the *parent undertaking* and its *subsidiary undertakings*, and approval and reporting of the *AMA* are carried out at the group level, the qualifying criteria in *BIPRU* 6.5 may be met if:
 - (1) the subsidiary undertakings have delegated to the governing body or designated committee of the EEA parent institution or EEA parent financial holding company or EEA parent mixed financial holding company responsibility for approval of the AMA;
 - (2) the governing body or designated committee of the EEA parent institution or EEA parent financial holding company or EEA parent mixed financial holding company approves either:

. . .

. . .

8 Group risk consolidation

...

8.2 Scope and basic consolidation requirements for UK consolidation groups

Main consolidation rule for UK consolidation groups

8.2.1 R A firm that is a member of a *UK consolidation group* must comply, to the extent and in the manner prescribed in *BIPRU* 8.5, with the obligations laid down in *GENPRU* 1.2 (Adequacy of financial resources), the *main BIPRU firm Pillar 1 rules* (but not the *base capital resources requirement*) and *BIPRU* 10 (Large exposures requirements) on the basis of the consolidated financial position of:

...

(2) where either Test 1C or Test 1D in *BIPRU* 8 Annex 1R apply, the *parent financial holding company in a Member State* or the parent mixed financial

holding company in a Member State.

...

Definition of UK consolidated group

- 8.2.4 R A firm's UK consolidation group means a group that is identified as a UK consolidation group in accordance with the decision tree in BIPRU 8 Annex 1R (Decision tree identifying a UK consolidation group); the members of that group are:
 - (1) ...
 - (2) where either Test 1C or Test 1D in *BIPRU* 8 Annex 1R apply, the members of the *consolidation group* made up of the *sub-group* of the parent financial holding company in a Member State or the parent mixed financial holding company in a Member State identified in *BIPRU* 8 Annex 1R together with any other person who is a member of that consolidation group because of a consolidation Article 12(1) relationship or an Article 134 relationship;

in each case only *persons* included under *BIPRU* 8.5 (Basis of consolidation) are included in the *UK consolidation group*.

. . .

8.3 Scope and basic consolidation requirements for non-EEA sub-groups

Main consolidation rule for non-EEA sub-groups

8.3.1 R (1) A BIPRU firm that is a subsidiary undertaking of a BIPRU firm or of a financial holding company or of a mixed financial holding company must apply the requirements laid down in GENPRU 1.2 (Adequacy of financial resources), the main BIPRU firm Pillar 1 rules (but not the base capital resources requirement) and BIPRU 10 (Large exposures requirements) on a sub-consolidated basis if the BIPRU firm, or the parent undertaking where it is a financial holding company or a mixed financial holding company, have a third country banking or investment services undertaking as a subsidiary undertaking or hold a participation in such an undertaking.

...

. . .

8.5 Basis of consolidation

Undertakings to be included in consolidation

8.5.1 R A *firm* must include only the following types of *undertaking* in a *UK consolidation group* or *non-EEA sub-group* for the purposes of this chapter:

. . .

- (5) a financial holding company; and
- (6) <u>a mixed financial holding company</u>; and
- (7) an ancillary services undertaking.

. . .

8 Annex 4G Text of Articles 125 and 126 of the Banking Consolidation Directive

| Article | e 125 | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. | | | | | |
| 2. | State, a paren | rent of a credit institution is a parent financial holding company in a Member at mixed financial holding company in a Member State of an EU parent financial any, or an EU parent mixed financial holding company, supervision on a pasis shall be exercised by the competent authorities that authorised that credit er Article 6. | | | |
| Article | 126 | | | | |
| 1. | Where credit institutions authorised in two or more Member States have as their parent the same parent financial holding company in a Member State, the same mixed parent financial holding company in a Member State, or the same EU parent financial holding company or the same EU parent mixed financial holding company, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authorities of the credit institution authorised in the Member State in which the financial holding company was set up or mixed financial holding company is established. | | | | |
| | more than one their with head these States, | rents of credit institutions authorised in two or more Member States comprise e financial holding company or mixed financial holding company which have d offices in different Member States and there is a credit institution in each of supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent e credit institution with the largest balance sheet total. | | | |
| 2. | Where more than one credit institution authorised in the Community Union has as its parent the same financial holding company or the same mixed financial holding company and none of these credit institutions has been authorised in the Member State in which the financial holding company or the mixed financial holding company is established was set up, supervision on a consolidated basis shall be exercised by the competent authority that authorised the credit institution with the largest balance sheet total, which shall be considered, for the purposes of this Directive, as the credit institution controlled by an EU parent financial holding company or an EU parent mixed financial holding company. | | | | |
| 3. | In particular cases, the competent authorities may by common agreement waive the criteria referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 if their application would be inappropriate, taking into account the credit institutions and the relative importance of their activities in different countries, and appoint a different competent authority to exercise supervision on a consolidated basis. In these cases, before taking their decision, the competent authorities shall give the EU parent credit institution, er EU parent financial holding company, the EU parent mixed financial holding company, or credit institution with the largest balance sheet total, as appropriate, an opportunity to state its opinion on that decision. | | | | |
| 4. | [Omitted] | | | | |
| Note | | | | | |
| | <u>(4a)</u> | a reference to a EU parent mixed financial holding company should be read as being one to an EEA parent mixed financial holding company; | | | |
| | | ··· | | | |
| | mixed financia | al holding company in a Member State, and financial holding company, parent al holding company in a Member State and mixed financial holding company be meaning as they do in the Glossary. | | | |

...

9 Securitisation

...

9.15 Requirements for investors

. . .

Retention of net economic interest

. . .

9.15.7 R Subject to BIPRU 9.15.8R, where an EEA parent eredit institution or an EEA financial holding company parent financial holding company or an EEA parent mixed financial holding company, or one of its subsidiaries, as an originator or a sponsor, securitises exposures from several credit institutions, investment firms or other institutions which are included within the scope of supervision on a consolidated basis, the requirement to retain a net economic interest referred to in BIPRU 9.15.3R may be satisfied on the basis of the consolidated situation of the related EEA parent eredit institution or credit institution, or EEA financial holding company parent financial holding company or EEA parent mixed financial holding company.

[Note: BCD, Article 122a, paragraph 2.]

9.15.8 R BIPRU 9.15.7R only applies where the credit institutions, investment firms or institutions which created the securitised exposures have committed themselves to adhere to the requirements in BIPRU 9.3.15R to BIPRU 9.3.17R and deliver, in a timely manner, to the originator or sponsor and to the EEA parent credit institution or an EEA financial holding company parent financial holding company or an EEA parent mixed financial holding company the information needed to satisfy BIPRU 9.3.18R to BIPRU 9.3.20R.

[Note: BCD, Article 122a, paragraph 2.]

. . .

10 Large exposures requirements

. . .

10.8A Intra group exposures: core UK group

. . .

Definition of core UK group

- 10.8A.2 R An *undertaking* is a member of a *firm's core UK group* if, in relation to the *firm*, that *undertaking* satisfies the following conditions:
 - (1) ...
 - (2) it is an institution, financial holding company, financial institution, asset management company, or ancillary services undertaking or mixed financial holding company,
 - (3) (in relation to a *subsidiary undertaking*) 100% of the voting rights attaching to the *shares* in its capital is held by the *firm*, or a *financial*

holding company or mixed financial holding company (or a subsidiary undertaking of the financial holding company or mixed financial holding company), whether individually or jointly, and that firm, or financial holding company or mixed financial holding company (or its subsidiary undertaking) must have the right to appoint or remove a majority of the members of the board of directors, committee of management or other governing body of the undertaking;

. . .

...

11 Disclosure (Pillar 3)

. . .

11.2 Basis of disclosures

...

Firms controlled by an EEA parent financial holding company

11.2.4 R A firm controlled by an EEA parent financial holding company or an EEA parent mixed financial holding company must comply with the obligations laid down in BIPRU 11.3 on the basis of the consolidated financial situation of that EEA parent financial holding company or EEA parent mixed financial holding company.

[Note: BCD, Article 72(2)]

11.2.5 R A *firm* which is a significant subsidiary of an *EEA parent financial holding company* or an *EEA parent mixed financial holding company* must disclose the information specified in *BIPRU* 11.4.5R on an individual or sub-consolidated basis.

. . .

11.4 Technical criteria on disclosure: General criteria

. . .

Disclosures: Significant subsidiaries

- 11.4.5 R A firm which is a significant subsidiary of:
 - (1) an EEA parent institution; or
 - (2) an EEA parent financial holding company; or
 - (3) an EEA parent mixed financial holding company;

must disclose the information specified in *BIPRU* 11.5.3R to *BIPRU* 11.5.4R on an individual or sub-consolidated basis.

[Note: BCD Annex XII Part 1 point 5]

Annex D

Amendments to the Prudential sourcebook for Insurers (INSPRU)

In this Annex, underlining indicates new text and striking through indicates deleted text.

6.1 Application

- 6.1.1 R *INSPRU* 6.1 applies to an *insurer* that is either:
 - (1) a participating insurance undertaking; or
 - (2) a member of an *insurance group* or an *MFHC conglomerate* which is not a participating insurance undertaking and which is not:

...

. . .

- 6.1.3 R INSPRU 6.1 applies to a firm:
 - (1) on a solo basis, as an adjusted solo calculation, where that *firm* is a participating insurance undertaking, and
 - (2) on a group basis where that firm is a member of an insurance group or MFHC conglomerate.

...

Purpose

- G The purpose of this section is to implement the *Insurance Groups Directive* on supplementary supervision of *firms* in an *insurance group*, as amended by the *Financial Groups Directive*, and the *Reinsurance Directive* and *FICOD 1*. The *Financial Groups Directive* (by amending the *Insurance Directives* and the *Insurance Groups Directive*) introduces specific requirements for the treatment of related undertakings of an insurance parent undertaking or a participating insurance undertaking that are credit institutions, investment firms or financial institutions. The *Reinsurance Directive* (by amending the *Insurance Directives* and the *Insurance Groups Directive*) introduces supplementary supervision for *firms* that are reinsurance undertakings in an insurance group.
- 6.1.5A G Notwithstanding the provisions of this Chapter, where a *firm* is subject to provisions under this Chapter in respect of an *undertaking* in *INSPRU*6.1.17R(1)(ba) or (bb) and the *PRA* is the *coordinator*, the *PRA* may, on application by the *firm* and after consulting other relevant competent authorities, disapply such provisions of this Chapter with regard to that *undertaking* which are considered by the *PRA* as equivalent to those applying to the *firm* under *GENPRU*3.1.
- 6.1.6 G INSPRU 6.1 sets out the sectoral rules for insurers for:
 - firms that are participating insurance undertakings carrying out an adjusted solo calculation as contemplated by GENPRU 2.1.13R(2);
 - (2) insurance groups; and

- (3) insurance conglomerates; and
- (4) MFHC conglomerates.

. . .

Scope - undertakings whose group capital is to be calculated and maintained

- 6.1.17 R The *undertakings* referred to in *INSPRU* 6.1.8R, *INSPRU* 6.1.9R, *INSPRU* 6.1.10R and *INSPRU* 6.1.15R are:
 - (1) for any *firm* that is not within (2), each of the following:
 - (a) its ultimate insurance parent undertaking;
 - (b) its ultimate EEA insurance parent undertaking (if different to (a));and
 - (ba) the ultimate mixed financial holding company at the head of a MFHC conglomerate of which the firm is a member;
 - (bb) the ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company at the head of a MFHC conglomerate of which the firm is a member (if different from (ba)); and
 - (c) the firm itself, if it is a participating insurance undertaking; and

...

...

6.1.19 G If an application is made for a *waiver* <u>contemplated by Article 3(3) of the *Insurance* <u>Groups Directive</u>, it is the policy of the *PRA* to consider the effect, in the circumstances described in *INSPRU* 6.1.18G, of granting a *waiver* allowing the exclusion of a *related undertaking* from the calculation of *group capital resources* and the *group capital resources requirement* required by *INSPRU* 6.1.8R.</u>

. . .

Optional alternative method of calculation for firms subject to supplementary supervision by another EEA competent authority

R If the competent authority in an EEA State other than the United Kingdom has agreed to be the competent authority responsible for exercising supplementary supervision of an insurance group or an MFHC conglomerate of which a firm is a member under Article 4(2) of the Insurance Groups Directive, the firm may prepare the calculations required under INSPRU 6.1.8R in relation to the ultimate EEA insurance parent undertaking or ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company in accordance with the requirements of supplementary supervision in that EEA State.

...

Non-EEA ultimate insurance parent undertakings <u>or non-EEA ultimate mixed financial holding companies</u>

6.1.25 R Where the *ultimate insurance parent undertaking* or *ultimate mixed financial* holding company of a firm has its head office in a non-EEA State, the firm may:

(1) calculate the *group capital resources* and the *group capital resources* requirement of its ultimate insurance parent undertaking or ultimate mixed financial holding company in accordance with accounting practice applicable for the purposes of the regulation of insurance undertakings in the state or territory of the head office of the ultimate insurance parent undertaking or ultimate mixed financial holding company adapted as necessary to apply the general principles set out in Annex I (1) paragraphs B, C and D of the *Insurance Groups Directive*; and

...

...

- 6.1.27 R INSPRU 6.1.15R does not apply:
 - (1) in respect of the group capital resources of a firm's firm's ultimate insurance parent undertaking if that ultimate insurance parent undertaking has its head office in a non-EEA State; or
 - (2) in respect of the group capital resources of the ultimate mixed financial holding company at the head of the MFHC conglomerate of which the firm is a member if that ultimate mixed financial holding company has its head office in a non-EEA State.

Proportional holdings

...

- 6.1.29 R In *INSPRU* 6.1.28R, the relevant proportion is either:
 - the proportion of the total number of issued shares in the regulated related undertaking held, directly or indirectly, by the undertaking in INSPRU 6.1.17R; or
 - (2) where a consolidation Article 12(1) relationship exists between related undertakings within the insurance group or MFHC conglomerate, such proportion as the PRA determines in accordance with Article 28(5) of the Financial Groups Directive and Regulation 15 of the Financial Groups Directive Regulations.

...

Calculation of the GCRR

. . .

6.1.34 R For the purposes of INSPRU 6.1, an individual capital resources requirement is:

...

in respect of an insurance holding company or mixed financial holding company, zero;

...

...

Calculation of GCR

...

6.1.38 R For the purposes of *INSPRU* 6.1.37R, the sectoral rules applicable to:

...

(2) an insurance holding company not within (1) or a mixed financial holding company, are the sectoral rules that would apply to it if, in connection with its activities, it were treated as an insurer;

. . .

- 6.1.39 R Where a *financial institution*, that is not a *regulated entity*, has invested in *tier one* capital or tier two capital issued by a parent undertaking that is:
 - (1) an insurance holding company; or
 - (1A) a mixed financial holding company; or

• • •

• • •

6.1.42 G For the purposes of INSPRU 6.1.41R, in respect of an insurance undertaking that is a member of an insurance group or MFHC conglomerate, the assets of a long-term insurance fund are restricted assets within the meaning of INSPRU 6.1.41R. Any excess of assets over liabilities in the long-term insurance fund may only be included in the calculation of the group capital resources up to the amount of the undertaking's individual capital resources requirement which relates to the long-term insurance business in respect of which that long-term insurance fund is held.

. . .

Calculation of GCR - Limits on the use of different forms of capital

6.1.44 G As the various components of capital differ in the degree of protection that they offer the *insurance group* or *MFHC conglomerate*, restrictions are placed on the extent to which certain types of capital are eligible for inclusion in the *group capital resources* of the *undertaking* in *INSPRU* 6.1.17R. These restrictions are set out in *INSPRU* 6.1.45R.

. . .

Calculation of GCR - Deductions under requirement deduction method from group capital resources

- 6.1.62 R For the purposes of *INSPRU* 6.1.43R, a *firm* must deduct from the group capital resources before deduction (calculated at stage C in the table in *INSPRU* 6.1.43R) of an *undertaking* in *INSPRU* 6.1.17R(1) (a)(b) or (c) or (2), the sum of the value of the direct or indirect investments by the *undertaking* in *INSPRU* 6.1.17R(1)(a)(b) or (c) or (2) in each of its *related undertakings* which is an *ancillary services undertaking*, calculated in accordance with *INSPRU* 6.1.63R.
- 6.1.63 R The value of an investment in an *undertaking* referred to in *INSPRU* 6.1.62R is the higher of the book value of the direct or indirect investment by the *undertaking* in *INSPRU* 6.1.17R(1)(a)(b) or (c) or (2) and the notional capital resources requirement of that *undertaking*.

...

6.1.64A

R
For the purposes of INSPRU 6.1.43R, in calculating the group capital resources of an undertaking in INSPRU 6.1.17R(1)(ba) or (bb) or in applying the provisions of INSPRU 6.1 for the purposes of calculating the conglomerate capital resources of a financial conglomerate under the provisions of GENPRU 3.1, a firm must, in accordance with GENPRU 3.1.30R but subject to GENPRU 3.1.31R, apply Method 2 (Deduction and Aggregation Method) or Method 1 (Accounting Consolidation Method) as set out in GENPRU 3 Annex 1 to reflect direct or indirect investments by the undertaking in INSPRU 6.1.17R(1)(ba) or (bb) or by members of the financial conglomerate in each related undertaking which is an ancillary services undertaking.

Calculation of GCR - Assets in excess of market risk and counterparty exposure limits

...

6.1.78 R If B is itself either a participating insurance undertaking or an insurance parent undertaking or mixed financial holding company, the admissible assets of B for the purposes of INSPRU 6.1.74R(1) must be calculated as in INSPRU 6.1.75R but as if B were A.

Annex E

Amendments to the Interim Prudential sourcebook for Insurers (IPRU(INS))

In this Annex, underlining indicates new text and striking through indicates deleted text.

- 9.42E R

 (1) Rules 9.40(1), 9.40(1A), 9.40(3), 9.40(4), 9.41 and 9.42 of IPRU(INS) also apply to an insurer subject to INSPRU 6.1 in respect of the ultimate mixed financial holding and ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company (if different) of a MFHC conglomerate of which the firm is a member, with references therein to "insurance group" being read as "MFHC conglomerate" and to "ultimate insurance parent undertaking" and "ultimate EEA parent undertaking" being read as "ultimate mixed financial holding company" and "ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company" respectively.
 - Where the *PRA* is the *coordinator*, no report is required under (1) to the extent determined by the *PRA*, on application by the *insurer* and after consulting other relevant competent authorities, on the basis that, in the opinion of the *PRA*, equivalent reporting requirements with regard to the relevant *mixed financial* holding company apply to the *insurer* as a member of a *financial conglomerate*.

Guidance

9.43 ...

(3) Where several *insurers* to which rule 9.40 applies have the same *ultimate insurance parent undertaking*, er *ultimate EEA insurance parent undertaking*, *ultimate mixed financial holding company*, *ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company* or beth any combination of those *parent undertakings*, rule 9.40 applies to all of them. In these circumstances one *insurer* may submit the reports in rule 9.40 on behalf of the other *insurers* in the *insurance group relevant group* as set out in rule 9.40(4). This should consist of one package of the relevant information with confirmation that the *insurer* submitting the information has made it available to the boards of directors of the other *insurers* in the *insurance group relevant group*. The purpose of this requirement is to ensure that all the *insurers* in the *insurance group relevant group* are aware of the relevance of the *group* information to themselves.

...

Annex F

Amendments to the Supervision manual (SUP)

In this Annex, underlining indicates new text and striking through indicates deleted text.

15.9 Notifications by members of financial conglomerates

٠..

- 15.9.5 R (1) A firm must, at the level of the EEA financial conglomerate, regularly provide the appropriate regulator with details on the financial conglomerate's legal structure and governance and organisational structure, including all regulated entities, non- regulated subsidiaries and significant branches.
 - (2) A firm must disclose publicly, at the level of the EEA financial conglomerate, on an annual basis, either in full or by way of references to equivalent information, a description of the financial conglomerate's legal structure and governance and organisational structure.
 - (3) For the purposes of (1) and (2), where a firm is a member of an EEA financial conglomerate which is part of a wider UK regulated EEA financial conglomerate, reporting applies only at the level of the EEA parent mixed financial holding company or ultimate EEA mixed financial holding company.

. . .

16.12 Integrated Regulatory Reporting

...

Financial Conglomerates

. . .

16.12.33 R Financial reports from a member of a financial conglomerate (see SUP 16.12.32R)

| Content of Report | Form (Note 1) | Frequency | Due Date |
|---|--|---|---|
| Calculation of supplementary capital adequacy requirements in accordance with one of the four three technical calculation methods | Note 2 | Note 5 Yearly | Note 5 |
| | | | |
| Note 2 | If Part 1 of GENPR Part 2 of GENPRU 3 of GENPRU 3 Ar is no specific form. provided, specifying | 3 Annex 1R (methonex 1R (method 3 Adequate informa | nod 2), or Part) applies, there tion must be |

| | and each financial conglomerate for which the appropriate regulator is the co-ordinator must discuss with the appropriate regulator how to do this the form which this reporting will take and the extent to which verification by an auditor will be required. |
|--------|--|
| | If Part 4 of GENPRU 3 Annex 1R applies (method 4): (1) a banking and investment services conglomerate must use FSA003; and |
| | (2) an insurance conglomerate must use: (a) (where SUP 16.12.32R(1)(a) applies), Forms 1, 2 and 3 in Appendix 9.1 of IPRU(INS) prepared in accordance with IPRU(INS) 9.35(1); or (b) (in any other case), the Insurance Group Capital Adequacy Reporting Form (Form 95) in Appendix 9.9 of IPRU(INS) |
| | For the purposes of (b) the above, where relevant to the agreed reporting arrangements, rules 9.40(1), 9.40(1A), 9.40(3) and 9.40(4) of IPRU(INS) apply as they would if the insurance_conglomerate financial conglomerate were an insurance group. |
| | |
| Note 5 | The frequency and due date will be as follows: (1) banking and investment services conglomerate: frequency is half-yearly with due date 45 business days after period end; (2) insurance conglomerate: frequency is yearly with due date four months after period end for the capital adequacy return and three months after period end for the report on compliance with GENPRU 3.1.35R where it applies. |
| | |