#### **Bank of England**

Climate policy and monetary policy: interactions and implications

Environmental Economics Seminar, University of Oxford

13 November 2023

**Catherine L. Mann** External member of the Monetary Policy Committee



#### **Overview and road map**

#### Mechanics of climate mitigation policies

- Quantities-based vs. price-based policies differ for emissions and for macroeconomic variables
- Overview of recent UK energy experience
- Highlight on uncertainty and volatility
- Research on the macroeconomic effects of climate mitigation policies
  - Carbon price shocks are more persistent than oil price shocks
  - Degree of 'forward-lookingness' and 'attentiveness' matter for macro outcomes
  - Under ETS vs carbon tax, central banks at home and abroad face different challenges

# Around 25% of global greenhouse gas emissions are currently covered by some form of carbon pricing mechanism

Share of global greenhouse gases covered by ETS and carbon taxes



Source: World Bank (2023) and Bank calculations.

First, on the market for emissions, there exists an optimal supply schedule of carbon emissions – it is upward sloping to reflect the fact that a high level of emissions implies large societal costs



At the intersection of the carbon supply schedule and the demand curve for emissions lies the societally optimal level of emissions and its associated carbon price



However, because market participants don't internalise societal costs, the pre-intervention supply schedule under both tax and ETS is flat, leading to over-production of emissions



With a carbon tax, the price of emissions gets fixed at a higher point than without the policy, leading to lower quantities





Under this regime, perturbations to the demand of emissions change quantities, but the price stays fixed at the level  $P_T$ 





Under an ETS, quantities are capped at a maximum amount while prices can fluctuate. If that maximum is larger than the pre-intervention quantity of emissions, the price will stay at  $P_0$ 





In a static world, we can exactly replicate the price of emissions under a carbon tax by setting the ETS cap to the tax-equivalent level of emissions





(b) ETS

However, under the ETS, when demand for emissions fluctuates, as long as we are sufficiently far from the 'kink', prices will fluctuate while the quantity of emissions stays the same





(b) ETS

Bottom line: On the market for emissions, carbon taxes fix prices and let quantities adjust, while an ETS fixes quantities but lets prices vary





After the introduction of either carbon taxes or an ETS, let's assume that we reach the same goods market equilibrium





Under a carbon tax, expansionary demand shocks cause output to rise in the short run and lead to a rise in the price level





Contractionary demand shocks, on the other hand, cause output and prices to fall in the short run





Under a carbon tax, demand fluctuations cause proportional fluctuations in output and prices with the same sign





Under an ETS regime, however, the shift in the demand curve is not enough to characterise the new equilibrium





Because of the decrease in ETS prices and, therefore, the decrease in marginal costs, prices fall by more and output by less than in the case of carbon taxes





But, the sign of the output effect is not determinate: if the supply curve shifts far enough, output can even expand alongside a larger fall in the price level





Compared to the carbon tax regime, the same shock under an ETS will induce a larger change in prices and an attenuated or even opposite response in aggregate output





#### The IRA has supported US construction industry outperformance

Equity price performance of construction sector relative to the market



Source: LSEG Datastream

#### Shocks to widely-used intermediate goods can have pervasive and long-lasting effects across all goods and sectors

Contributions to annual consumer price inflation



Source: November 2023 Monetary Policy Report.

#### UK ETS prices have reversed their 2021-2022 increases

UK and EU Emissions Trading System spot and futures prices



Source: LSEG Datastream and Bank calculations

# Carbon price shocks are more persistent and volatile than oil price shocks

Impulse response functions to a carbon policy supply shock



Source: Bank calculations

### Inflation rises after a carbon price shock, driven by the rising costs of emissions-intensive goods

Impulse response functions to a carbon pricing shock



Source: Berthold et al. (2023)

## Green investment and subsidies transmit as positive demand shocks, carbon taxes resemble a negative supply shock

Impulse response functions to different climate policy shocks



Source: Diluiso (2023)

## Fully rational agents more fully internalise the hit to permanent incomes from a permanently higher carbon price

Impulse response functions to a permanent increase in carbon price



Source: Annicchiarico, Di Dio, and Diluiso (2022)

# Under rationally inattentive agents, upwards inflationary forces become persistent, as their expectations are slow to react

Impulse response functions to a sequence of permanent increase in carbon prices with rationally inattentive agents



Source: Naylor (2023)

## Monetary policy shocks transmit differently under a carbon tax and ETS

Impulse response functions to a 50 basis point monetary policy shock



Source: Annicchiarico and Diluiso (2019)

### **Concluding thoughts**

- Inflation persistence
  - Is higher under carbon price shocks than under oil price shocks

#### • Inflation volatility

• Is elevated in the case of an ETS system relative to a carbon tax

#### • Inflation is higher

• If agents are boundedly rational or inattentive

#### • Heterogenous climate policies

- Generate spillovers that affect the transmission of a monetary policy shock
- Many related policies, e.g. redistribution, funding of subsidies, will matter for monetary policy decisions

