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The Rise of QE

Bloomberg news stories containing “QE” or “Quantitative Easing”

Monthly count of stories containing “QE” or “Quantitative Easing” as a percentage of all stories.
(a) Minutes of BoJ meeting show one member voting for “quantitative easing”; (b) BoJ announces QE; (c) Fed announces QE1; (d) BoE announces QE1; (e) Fed announces QE2; (f) Fed announces Maturity Extension Program; (g) BoE announces QE2; (h) BoE announces QE3; (i) Fed announces QE3; (j) BoJ announces QQE; (k) BoJ announces QQE2; (l) ECB announces QE; (m) ECB announces extension to QE; (n) ECB announces expansion of QE; (o) BoE announces QE expansion in the aftermath of the UK referendum vote to leave the EU.
Sources: Bloomberg and Bank calculations.
Overview

- History of Central Bank Balance Sheets
- Recent QE
- Channels of QE
- Impact of QE
- State-dependency and Spillovers from QE
History of QE
Bank of England Balance Sheet – % of GDP (1700-2014)


Central Bank Balance Sheets (1900-2013)

Countries covered are: Australia, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, the United Kingdom and the United States.

After 1999, they consider aggregated balance sheet data for the European System of Central Banks (ESCB) in lieu of the euro area countries, Finland, France, Germany and Italy.

Recent QE
Central bank balance sheets


Central bank balance sheets

Dashed blue line indicates Bank of England forecast with 100% usage of the TFS scheme

Central bank balance sheets

Types of Asset Purchases

- Credit risk
  - Corporate bonds
  - Covered bonds/ABS
  - Central bank reserves
  - Government bonds

- Duration risk
  - Agency MBS
  - Real estate derivatives
  - Equities
Early 2000s - QEJ

Credit risk

Corporate bonds
Covered bonds/ABS
Agency MBS
Central bank reserves
Governor BoJ bonds

Duration risk

Equities
Real estate derivatives
Credit risk

Duration risk

2008-2010 - QE 1,2,3

Credit risk

Duration risk

Corporate bonds

Equities

Real estate derivatives

Covered bonds/ABS

Agency MBS

Central bank reserves

Fed

Fed, BoE

Government bonds

BANK OF ENGLAND
2011-2012 - LTROs

Credit risk

Duration risk

Corporate bonds

Agency MBS

Real estate derivatives

Covered bonds/ABS

Equities

Central bank reserves

Fed

ECB

Fed, BoE, ECB
2013-2014 – QQE 1,2

Credit risk

Duration risk

Corporate bonds

Agency MBS

Real estate derivatives

ECB

BoJ

Central bank reserves

Government bonds

Equities

Covered bonds/ABS
2015 – ECB QE

Credit risk

Duration risk

Corporate bonds
Agency MBS
Covered bonds/ABS
Central bank reserves
Government bonds
Real estate derivatives
ECB
ECB, BoJ
BoJ
2016 – ECB QE, BoE QE, BoJ

Credit risk

Duration risk

ECB, BoE

ECB, BoE, BoJ

Central bank reserves

Covered bonds/ABS

Agency MBS

Corporate bonds

Government bonds

Equity

Real estate derivatives

Bank of England
Channels of QE
How does QE work?

“The problem with QE is that it works in practice, but it doesn’t work in theory.”

– Ben Bernanke, January 2014
What do you need to believe for QE to work?

- **Information frictions**
  - QE *signals* lower future interest rates – signalling channel
  - QE lowers *uncertainty* – uncertainty channel
  - QE lowers *exchange rate* – exchange rate channel

- **Financial frictons**
  - QE lowers *liquidity* premia – liquidity channel
  - QE causes a *portfolio* switch into higher risk assets – portfolio balance channel
  - QE encourages new *borrowing/lending* – lending channel
Transmission mechanism of QE

1. Policy signalling
   - Asset Purchases
     - Duration
     - Local supply
   - 2. Portfolio rebalancing:
   - 3. Liquidity
   - Money

5. Risk aversion/uncertainty
   - Total wealth
   - Relative asset prices
   - Cost of borrowing

4. Exchange rate
   - Spending and income
   - Inflation and growth

6. Bank lending

Inflation and growth

Spending and income
### Channels of QE - what do you have to believe?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>What do you have to believe for this channel to work? (what frictions?)</th>
<th>State contingent?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Policy signalling</td>
<td>Information frictions - need to “put money where your mouth is”.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Portfolio balance</td>
<td>Preferred-habitat demand – preferences for bonds of specific maturities. Limits to arbitrage. Some investors do not view bonds of different maturities as perfect substitutes.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Market liquidity premia</td>
<td>Markets dysfunctional. Transaction costs.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Exchange Rate</td>
<td>Exchange rate a function of interest rate differentials and/or risk premia</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Confidence/risk aversion/uncertainty</td>
<td>QE improves the economic outlook/reduces risk of bad outcomes (via any mechanism)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Bank lending</td>
<td>Increased deposits expand banks’ balance sheets. Bank lending is not constrained. Agents cannot perfectly substitute other forms of lending.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Impact of QE
Transmission mechanism of QE

1. Policy signalling
2. Portfolio rebalancing:
   - Duration
   - Local supply
3. Liquidity
4. Exchange rate
5. Risk aversion/uncertainty
6. Bank lending

Asset Purchases

Spending and income

Inflation and growth

Money

Cost of borrowing

Total wealth

Relative asset prices
Lots of evidence for asset price impact

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>QE – £375 billion gilts</th>
<th>Δ gilts yield (bp)</th>
<th>Δ FX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Joyce et al (2011)</td>
<td>Event study</td>
<td>-100bp(^{15a})</td>
<td>-4(^{15c})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joyce and Tong (2012)</td>
<td>Event study, time series regressions</td>
<td>-97.6(^{16a})</td>
<td>(2.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen and Rudebusch (2012)</td>
<td>Event study, affine no-arbitrage model of the term structure</td>
<td>-43(^{17a})</td>
<td>(47, -135, -12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McLaren et al (2014)</td>
<td>Event study</td>
<td>-93(^{18a})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Euro area</th>
<th>APP – planned purchases of €1.14 trillion until September 2016</th>
<th>Δ 10-year Treasury yield (bp)</th>
<th>Δ FX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Altavilla et al (2015)</td>
<td>Event study</td>
<td>-47(^{19a})</td>
<td>-12(^{20c})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Japan</th>
<th>Monetary easing since 2008</th>
<th>Δ 10-year Treasury yield (bp)</th>
<th>Δ FX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lam (2011)</td>
<td>Event study</td>
<td>-24(^{21a})</td>
<td>-0.3(^{22c})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ueda (2012)</td>
<td>Announcement effects</td>
<td>-9.9(^{23a})</td>
<td>-0.52(^{24b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausman and Wieland (2014)</td>
<td>Announcement effects</td>
<td>-11.4(^{25a})</td>
<td>3.55(^{26b})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imakubo et al (2015)</td>
<td>Models of the term structure</td>
<td>-80(^{27a})</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>United States</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Δ 10-year Treasury yield (bp)</th>
<th>Δ 30-year MBS yield (bp)</th>
<th>Δ FX (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swanson (2015)</td>
<td>Time series regression</td>
<td>-7.46(^{28a})</td>
<td>-0.26(^{29c})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘Unconventional Monetary Policy: a re-appraisal’, Claudio Borio and Anna Zabai
Portfolio rebalancing/liquidity channel

Change in long rates around selected QE announcements

Change in 10 year spot market interest rates over two day windows around QE events, against size of announcement relative to that economy’s GDP at the time.

Note: does not control for expectations of QE announcements.

Source: Bloomberg and Bank calculations.
Policy signalling channel

Change in short rates around selected QE announcements

Change in 3 year spot market interest rates over two day windows around QE events, against size of announcement relative to that economy’s GDP at the time.

Note: does not control for expectations of QE announcements.

Source: Bloomberg and Bank calculations.
Confidence/uncertainty channel

Change in VIX around selected QE announcements

Change in VIX over two day windows around QE events, against size of announcement relative to that economy’s GDP at the time.
Note: does not control for expectations of QE announcements.
Source: Bloomberg and Bank calculations.
Exchange rate channel

Change in effective exchange rates around selected QE announcements

Change in effective exchange rates over two day windows around QE events, against size of announcement relative to that economy’s GDP at the time.

Note: does not control for expectations of QE announcements.

Portfolio balancing channel

Change in corporate bond yields around selected QE announcements

Change in investment grade corporate bond yields over two day windows around QE events, against size of announcement relative to that economy’s GDP at the time.
Note: does not control for expectations of QE announcements.
Source: BoA Merrill Lynch and Bank calculations.
Portfolio balancing channel

Impact of QE on UK insurance companies and pension funds, ex-ante and ex-post QE effects, £ million

Source: Joyce, Liu and Tonks (2015)
Sterling corporate bond issuance has been strong since QE

Cumulative gross issuance of bonds by UK, US and EA19 PNFCs

Source: Dealogic and Bank calculations
(a) Issuance by UK, US and EA19 private non-financial corporations (PNFCs) or their financial vehicles. Includes investment grade and non-investment grade bonds. Data are subject to revisions. 2003-08 is an average over the period.
Bank lending channel

Bank lending to the real economy
Case Study:
The Bank Of England’s August 2016 Monetary Policy Package
The Package of Monetary Policy Measures

• Announced by the Bank of England’s MPC on 4 August 2016

• The package comprised:

1. **Rate cut:**
   - 25bp cut in Bank Rate to 0.25%;

2. **Targeted funding:**
   - A new Term Funding Scheme;

3. **Asset purchases:**
   - The purchase of up to £10bn of UK corporate bonds
   - An expansion of UK government bond purchases by £60bn to £435bn
## Immediate Financial Market Reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Point</th>
<th>1 day reaction (3-4 August)</th>
<th>2 day reaction (3-5 August)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK 3-year forward overnight index swap rate</td>
<td>-8bps</td>
<td>-5bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-year gilt yield</td>
<td>-17bps</td>
<td>-15bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>£ ERI</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>-1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTSE All Share</td>
<td>+1.5%</td>
<td>+2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling IG corporate bond spreads</td>
<td>-10bps</td>
<td>-18bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling HY corporate bond spreads</td>
<td>-8bps</td>
<td>-22bps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- fall in bond yields
- depreciation of sterling
- stock market rally
- sharp tightening in corporate bond spreads
Elements of Surprise

Market profile for Bank Rate before and after the August MPC announcement

- **[A]**: little reaction at the very short end of UK yield curve
- **[B]**: at longer horizons, large falls and curve flattening
Elements of Surprise

Non-financial corporate investment grade spreads, June-September 2016

- Sterling IG
- Dollar IG
- Euro IG

Referendum: July
July MPC
Aug MPC

Basis points

Jun 2016
Jul
Aug
Sep
Elements of Surprise

1-day change in sterling exchange rate index vs change in UK 2-year interest rates relative to US and German interest rates around UK monetary policy changes

- Rate Change
- Rate Change & QE
- QE

August 4
Local Supply Effects

Change in gilt yields-to-maturity and OIS curve on 4 August 2016

- Yields on sub 3y bonds fell less (ineligible for asset purchases)
- Yields on 3y+ bonds fell more (eligible for asset purchases)
- Gilt yields fell more than swap yields: swaps not eligible for asset purchases
Evidence on Second Leg More Mixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Episode</th>
<th>Real GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baumeister and Benati (2013)</td>
<td>UK/US QE1</td>
<td>1.8% / 1.08%</td>
<td>1.5% \ 0.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kapetanios, Muntaz, Stevens and Theodoris (2012)</td>
<td>UK QE1</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weale and Wieladek (2015)</td>
<td>UK/US QE1</td>
<td>2.52% / 0.72%</td>
<td>4.2% / 0.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giannone, Lenza, Pill and Reichlin (2014)</td>
<td>ECB Liquidity policy 2008/2009</td>
<td>2% in IP</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altavilla, Giannone and Lenza (2014)</td>
<td>ECB OMT Impact on Spain/Italy</td>
<td>2% / 1.5%</td>
<td>0.74% / 1.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schenkelberg and Watzka (2013)</td>
<td>Japan QE1</td>
<td>0.5% in IP</td>
<td>No impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bank of Japan (2015)</td>
<td>Japan QE2</td>
<td>1-3%</td>
<td>0.6-1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Curdia and Ferrero (2012)</td>
<td>US QE2</td>
<td>0.39%</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Negro, Eggertson, Ferrero and Kiyotaki (2015)</td>
<td>Fed MBS + Liquidity policies</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertler and Karadi (2013)</td>
<td>QE1 – MBS Purchases</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gertler and Karadi (2013)</td>
<td>QE1 – Sovereign Purchases</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Studies in yellow are empirical VAR studies, while those in white provide multipliers from structural empirical models.
Identifying QE’s Impact

- Most studies feed “event study” asset price responses through a macro model
- What if asset price responses are persistent?

### Summary of asset price movements* around BoE QE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Change around QE1 announcements (Feb 09, Mar 09, May 09, Aug 09, Nov 09, Feb 10)</th>
<th>Change 4 March 2009 – 22 Jan 2010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gilts (5-25 year average)</td>
<td>-104 (o/w -90 gilt-OIS spread)</td>
<td>-6 (o/w -41 gilt-OIS spread)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate yields (investment-grade)</td>
<td>-70</td>
<td>-387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate yields (high-yield)</td>
<td>-150</td>
<td>-1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTSE All-Share</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>+47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterling ERI</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>+3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* In basis points, unless otherwise specified.
Some New Estimates

• Estimated VAR for UK, US and Japan QE programmes

• Four different identification schemes:
  • Based on ordering, sign and variance restrictions

• Robustness check

• In line with Weale and Wieladek
Transmission of US QE
(1% of nominal GDP)

Note: Graph shows impulse responses to 1% surprise asset purchase announcement, identified with a Choleski decomposition. The unit on the x-axis is months. Gray error bands are 68% quantiles and the red lines show the median. Estimation period is March 2009 to February 2015. See Haldane et al (2016).
Impact of US QE
(1% of nominal GDP)

Note: Graph shows impulse responses to a 1% of nominal GDP surprise asset purchase announcement, identified with a Choleski decomposition. The unit on the x-axis is months. Estimation period is March 2009 to February 2015. The grey error bands are 68% quantiles and the red lines show the median. See appendix of Haldane et al (2016).
## Not all QE created equally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Programme</th>
<th>Real GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan - QE1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan - QE2</td>
<td>0.13*</td>
<td>0.093*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK – QE</td>
<td>0.24*</td>
<td>0.34*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US – QE</td>
<td>0.63*</td>
<td>0.63*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK - Historical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bank calculations.
Asterisk indicates that the estimated impact is statistically significant.
Based on a structural vector autoregression (SVAR) model containing, as endogenous variables:
CPI (natural logarithm); real GDP (natural logarithm); yield on 10-year government bond; real equity prices (natural logarithm);
size of the balance sheet divided by nominal GDP, scaled by the level of nominal GDP in the first period prior of the expansion.
Average of results of four different identification schemes is shown. The individual schemes are: zero restrictions; sign restrictions;
a combination of zero and sign restrictions; sign variance decomposition restrictions.
Some QE Programmes Work Better Than Others

QE1: April 2001 – July 2008

QE2: August 2008 – February 2015

Note: As explained in the appendix of Haldane et al (2016), the impulse responses shown above are from a VAR model estimated on the series of actual JGB asset purchases by the Bank of Japan, identified with a Choleski decomposition. The left hand chart suggests that QE1 in Japan did not have an impact on prices, which is roughly in line with the survey in Ugai (2007). The multipliers in the second panel suggest, once the total size of purchases is taken in account, a similar total impact as found in Bank of Japan (2015).
Liquidity Frictions

UK Market Liquidity Measure and Regime

US Market Liquidity Measure and Regime
State-dependence of QE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Regime</th>
<th>Real GDP</th>
<th>CPI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US / High financial frictions regime</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US / Low financial frictions regime</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK / High financial frictions regime</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK / Low financial frictions regime</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Bank calculations.
Asterisk indicates that the estimated impact is statistically significant.

Based on a structural vector autoregression (SVAR) model containing, as endogenous variables:
- CPI (natural logarithm);
- real GDP (natural logarithm);
- yield on 10-year government bond;
- real equity prices (natural logarithm);
- size of the balance sheet divided by nominal GDP, scaled by the level of nominal GDP in the first period prior of the expansion.

Regime dependence is factored into the contemporaneous covariance matrix and identified using an indicator of frictions in the government bond market.

Average of results of four different identification schemes is shown. The individual schemes are: zero restrictions; sign restrictions; a combination of zero and sign restrictions; sign variance decomposition restrictions.
International spillovers from QE
Exchange rate channel

FX dynamics around selected QE announcements

Evidence on spillovers to UK asset prices

Source: Rogers et al. (2014); Bank calculations; ECB refers to PSPP extension
Correlation of term premia estimates

10 year spot nominal government bond term premia estimates

The Transmission of US QE

US Response to US QE**

UK Response to US QE**

** 1% expansion of Fed balance sheet in terms of nominal GDP.
See Haldane et al (2016)
The International Transmission of US QE

**UK Response to UK QE\(^1\)**

- Real GDP
- CPI

\(^1\) 1\% expansion of BoE balance sheet in terms of nominal GDP

See Haldane et al (2016)

**UK Response to US QE\(^2\)**

- Real GDP
- CPI

\(^2\) 1\% expansion of Fed balance sheet in terms of nominal GDP

See Haldane et al (2016)
Conclusion

• **Aggregate impact of QE:**
  – reasonably well-defined
  – state-dependent
  – international

• **Distributional impact of QE:**
  – … for another day …